# Eighteenth ANNUAL REPORT OF THE

## NATIONAL MEDIATION BOARD

INCLUDING

THE REPORT OF THE NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD



For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 1952

## Eighteenth

## ANNUAL REPORT OF THE

## NATIONAL MEDIATION BOARD

INCLUDING

THE REPORT OF THE NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 1952

UNITED STATES
GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE
WASHINGTON: 1953

For sale by the Superintendent of Documents
U. S. Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.
Price 35 cents: paper cover

## NATIONAL MEDIATION BOARD Fiscal year ended June 30, 1952

LEVERETT EDWARDS, Chairman JOHN THAD SCOTT, Jr. FRANCIS A. O'NEILL, Jr.<sup>1</sup> EUGENE C. THOMPSON, Secretary

<sup>2</sup> Selected as Chairman, July 1, 1952.

#### LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL

National Mediation Board, Office of the Chairman, Washington, D. C., November 1, 1952.

To the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled:

Pursuant to the provisions of section 4, second, of Public, No. 442, approved June 21, 1934, I have the honor to submit the Eighteenth Annual Report of the National Mediation Board for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1952, together with the annual report of the National Railroad Adjustment Board, as required by section 3, first (v), of the same act.

Francis A. O'Neill, Jr., Chairman.

#### CONTENTS

Letter	of transmittal	- 1
I.	r of transmittalSummary and observations	
	1. General	
	2. Strikes and threatened strikes	
	3. Further developments in the National Wage-Rule dispute.	
	train, engine and yard service employees	
	4. Developments in the airline industry	
	5. Union shop amendment	
	5. Union shop amendment 6. Wage stabilization—Railroad and Airline Wage Board	
	7. Representation disputes	•
	8. Items of special interest	
	9. National Railroad Adjustment Board	
	10. Labor contracts	
II.	Record of cases	
	Record of cases  1. Cases handled by the Board	
	2. Disposition of cases	
	3. Carriers involved in disputes	
	3. Carriers involved in disputes4. Major groups of employees involved in cases	
III.	Representation disputes	
	Representation disputes  1. Elections and certification of representatives	
	2. Major groups of employees involved in representation dis-	
	putes	
	3. Certifications issued	
	4. Extent and nature of labor representation	
τv	Mediation disputes	
	1. Mediation and arbitration agreements	
	2. Other disposition of mediation cases	
	3. Airline mediation cases	
v	Arbitration and amorganay heards	_
٧.	Arbitration and emergency boards	-
	Arbitration boards     Emergency boards—section 10, Railway Labor Act————  W. Arbitration boards—section 10, Railway Labor Act————————————————————————————————————	
1/T	Wage and rule agreements	
٧1.	Wage and rule agreements  1. Agreements covering rates of pay, rules, and working con-	
	ditions	
	ditions	
	3. Agreements on principal carriers	
VII	3. Agreements on principal carriers	
A TT.	Interpretation and application of agreements  1. Interpretation of wage and rule agreements	
	2. Airling adjustment boards	
	2. Airline adjustment boards	
VIII	Organization and finances of National Mediation Pared	
4 TTT'	Organization and finances of National Mediation Board  1. Organization	
	2. Financial statement	
	2. Pinanciai statement	•
	APPENDIX A	
${f Repor}$	t of National Railroad Adjustment Board	
	APPENDIX B	
Neutra	al Arbitrators	,

## LIST OF TABLES

Table No.		P
1.	Number of cases received and disposed of, fiscal years 1935-52	-
2.	Number of cases disposed of, by type of case and method of disposition, fiscal years 1935–52	
3.	tion, fiscal years 1935-52	
4.	Number of cases disposed of by major group of employees, fiscal year 1952	
5.	Number of cases, crafts, or classes, and employees involved in representation disputes, by method of disposition, fiscal years 1935–52.	
6.	Number of crafts or classes and number of employees involved in representation cases, by major groups of employees, fiscal year 1952	
7.	Number of crafts or classes certified and employees involved in representation cases by types of results, fiscal year 1952	
8.	Number and mileage of principal carriers by railroad where employees are represented by various labor organizations, by crafts or classes, June 30, 1952	
8 <b>A.</b>	June 30, 1952 Representation of marine department and related miscellaneous groups of employees, by organization and crafts or classes, June 30, 1952	
$9_{\bullet}$	Issues involved in cases disposed of by mediation agreements, fiscal	
10.	years 1951-52  Number of labor agreements on file with the National Mediation Board according to type of labor organizations, by class of carriers, fiscal year 1935-52	
11.	fiscal year 1935–52	
	Collective labor agreements and employee representation on 136 selected rail carriers as of June 30, 1952	
	Collective labor agreements and employee representation on Pullman and express companies as of June 30, 1952	
	Collective labor agreements and employee representation on principal airline carriers as of June 30, 1952	
13.	Cases docketed and disposed of by the National Railroad Adjustment Board, fiscal years 1935-52, inclusive	

#### EIGHTEENTH ANNUAL REPORT

#### OF THE

## NATIONAL MEDIATION BOARD

## I. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

#### 1. GENERAL

The close of the fiscal year on June 30, 1952, saw the completion of the eighteenth year of the operation of the National Mediation Board under the Railway Labor Act as it was amended in 1934, and also rounded out the twenty-sixth year of continuous operation under the original Act, which became effective on May 20, 1926. record is by far the longest continuous period of operation of any administrative agency of the United States Government handling the delicate matters of labor and human relations under any single piece of Federal legislation. The original Railway Labor Act of 1926 was amended on June 21, 1934, to replace the original United States Board of Mediation with the present National Mediation The 1934 amendments also introduced the present section 2, under which the right of self-organization of employees was guaranteed, and made it the duty of this Board to conduct representation elections and certify the choice of representatives of the employees to the carriers. Under the operation of this section, the labor organizations have gained their present stature and prestige.

In an amendment approved April 10, 1936, title II was added to the act, placing common carriers by air and their employees under the Board's jurisdiction. Organization among the employees of the air carriers has proceeded rapidly in recent years and their activities, and the disputes arising therefrom, now constitute approximately

one-third of the Board's present workload.

The act was last amended by Public Law 914 of the 81st Congress, approved January 10, 1951, under which the negotiation of union shop agreements was legalized, and added to the law as section 2, Eleventh.

Under the Railway Labor Act, the National Mediation Board is charged with the primary duty of assisting the rail and air carriers and the organizations representing their employees to secure and maintain industrial peace in these vital arteries through which flow the vast bulk of our Nation's commerce. It has not been possible to make a perfect record in this respect, as is indicated in the tabulation of strikes in the transportation industry during the past fiscal year, shown below. By and large, however, the Board feels that its efforts during the past year have met with a reasonable degree of success. The necessity for special legislation to govern the field of labor relations in the transportation sphere was recognized many

years ago, in the passage of an act in 1888 providing for voluntary arbitration of labor disputes. This was followed by the Erdman Act, passed in 1898, the Newlands Act of 1913, the period of Federal control of the railroads during World War I, the Transportation Act of 1920, creating the United States Railroad Labor Board, and finally, the Railway Labor Act of 1926, which has been further amended as outlined above. The history of Federal legislation in the transportation field now covers a space of 64 years.

The work of the National Mediation Board under the Railway

Labor Act as presently amended falls into two main categories:

(1) The mediation of disputes between carriers and labor organizations which involve proposed changes, by either side or both, in

rates of pay, rules and working conditions.

(2) The designation of collective bargaining representatives for the various crafts or classes of employees in accordance with the provisions of section 2, Ninth, of the act, in order that the basic

purposes of the law may be fulfilled.

The combination of these functions in the National Mediation Board places it in a unique position, for in no other administrative agency of the Federal Government dealing with labor matters are they so combined. In addition to these primary functions, the Board has many other duties under the law, among which are: The interpretation of agreements made under its mediatory auspices; the appointment of neutral referees to sit with the various divisions of the National Railroad Adjustment Board; the appointment of neutrals, when necessary, in arbitrations held under the act; the appointment of neutrals, when requested, to sit with system and special boards of adjustment; certain duties prescribed by the act in connection with the eligibility of labor organizations to participate in the selection of the membership of the National Railroad Adjustment Board; and lastly, the duty of reporting to the President of the United States labor disputes which, in the judgment of the Board threaten to substantially interrupt interstate commerce to a degree such as to deprive any section of the country of essential transportation. In such cases, the President, may in his discretion, appoint an emergency board to investigate the issues in dispute and report thereon to the Chief Executive.

There are approximately 1,250,000 employees of the 783 common carriers by rail and about 85,000 employees of the 50 common carrier airlines under the jurisdiction of the Railway Labor Act and the National Mediation Board. These employees are covered by more than 5,000 labor agreements, copies of which are on file in the offices

of the Board.

#### 2. STRIKES AND THREATENED STRIKES

During the fiscal year 1952, actual work stoppages numbered 17, as compared with 24 strikes occurring in the fiscal year 1951. An important reason for this reduction was the fact that the trunk line rail carriers were under Army control during practically the entire fiscal year 1952. There were also a number of threatened work stoppages which were averted by the efforts of the National Mediation Board and the appointment of Presidential emergency boards.

With a single exception, all of the work stoppages occurred on individual carriers, and all but one were conducted by single organizations. Most of the strikes were brought about by issues local to the individual properties involved. Divided into main categories, the following tabulation shows the principal causes of the 17 actual

strikes which took place during the past fiscal year.

Wage increase demands	5
Grievance and time claimsUnion shop and wages	<b>2</b>
Total -	17

A tabulation describing the actual strikes occurring during fiscal year 1952 follows:

### Strikes in the Railroad and Airline Industries Fiscal Year 1952

<del></del>					····	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Case No.	Carrier	Organization	Craft or Class	Duration (days)	Disposition	Issues
17 fc-1911	Illinois Terminal Railroad	Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen and Enginemen.	Motormen and bus operators.	1	Agreement between the par- ties after carrier granted 13 cents per hour wage increase.	Requested increase in rates of pay.
PR A-3770	South Buffalo Railway	Brotherhood of Railroad   Trainmen.	Yard foremen and yard helpers.	18	Arbitration agreement	Discharge cases and unad- justed time claims.
12A-3775		do	Yard conductors	17	Mediation agreement	Requested air hose rule.
£ PA-3757	Chesapeake & Ohio Railway (Pere Marquette District).	Great Lakes Licensed Officers' Organization.	Licensed marine engineers employed on car ferries, Lake Michigan.	3	do	Increase in rates of pay and union shop.
		do	Licensed deck officers and	ĺ	do	Do.
			do		do	Do.
i	Wabash Railroad Chesapeake &Ohio Railway (Pere Marquette District).	do	Licensed marine engineers Licensed marine engineers (Detroit and St. Clair Rivers).	3 3	do	Do. Do.
₽ FJ L A-3827		Transport Workers Union of America, CIO.	Flight service, maintenance, and ground service-port stewards.	3	Closed by Board action— emergency board.	Revision of agreement and refusal of employees to perform overtime service.
FA C-1954	Valley Railroad	Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen.	Train and yard service	21	Direct settlement	Adjustment of wages.
A C A-3876	Trans Texas Airways	International Association of Machinists.	Mechanics	1	Mediation agreement	Increase in rates of pay and union shop.
€ A-3748	Copper Range Railroad Co.	United Steelworkers of America, CIO.	Shop craft employees	2	Direct settlement	Wages and union shop.
'	Copper Range Railroad Co.	Brotherhood of Mainte- nance of Way Employees.	Maintenance of way em-	49	Mediation agreement (increase in wages).	Wage increase.
yeo2 A-3437	New York Central, Lines West and Terminal Rail- road Association of St. Louis.	Brotherhood of Locomotive Engineers, Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen and Enginemen, Order of Rail- way Conductors of America.	Engineers, firemen and conductors.	3	Men returned to work on issuance of court injunction.	Rules.
,,	American Airlines, Inc	Transport Workers Union of America, CIO.	Cargo and plane handlers at Idlewild, LaGuardia, and Philadelphia.	2	Direct settlement	Unadjusted grievances.
-	do	l	Mechanics and cargo han- dlers, Idlewild and LaGuardia.	4	Still in mediation	transferred to LaGuardia
12 L A-3974	Long Island Rail Road Co	Brotherhood of Locomotive Engineers.	Engineers and motormen	2	Mediation agreement	Result of carrier's attempt to put into effect terms of national agreement of May 23, 1952.

For many years the National Mediation Board has declined to accept for mediation disputes involving time claims and grievances which are properly referable to the National Railroad Adjustment Board. The creation of the Adjustment Board was one of the principal results of the 1934 amendments to the Railway Labor Act. grievances and time claims having been previously mediated by the former U. S. Board of Mediation. However, the National Mediation Board has found it necessary in some instances during recent years to proffer its mediatory services under section 5, First (b) of the act when the failure of the parties to settle dockets of time claims and grievances, or to refer them to the proper tribual, the Adjustment Board, created emergency situations which threatened to result in strikes. There was only one instance of this nature in the past fiscal The practice of creating strike situations on dockets of time claims and grievances, resulting in protracted mediation proceedings and eventual reference to section 10, Emergency Boards, which was prevalent a few years ago, has almost entirely disappeared. Board notes with full approval the recent tendency to create special boards of adjustment to handle and finally dispose of these time claims and grievance dockets, and is hopeful that this trend will continue.

Two of the four strikes of the longest duration were unauthorized. These two situations, and the other two strikes of longer duration in

the past fiscal year, are described briefly below.

Case A-3770. Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen, representing the yard service employees of the South Buffalo Railway Co. Without authorization these employees refused to report for duty on the third shift on August 18, 1951, the dispute involving several cases of alleged excessive discipline, and a large number of unsettled time claims. The Board's mediation efforts were exerted throughout the work stoppage, which ended on September 5, 1951, by means of an agreement between the parties to submit their disputes to arbitration under the act.

between the parties to submit their disputes to arbitration under the act.

Case A-3775. Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen, representing yard conductors on the Birmingham Southern Railway. The yard conductors on this carrier left the service on an unauthorized strike at 3 p. m. September 2, 1951, to secure a hose-coupling rule carrying an arbitrary allowance for this service. The parties were in controversy over the proper application of Referee Cheney's hose-coupling award to the men on this property. This strike continued for 17 days, being settled under the terms of a letter agreement reached in the Board's

days, being settled under the terms of a letter agreement reached in the Board's Washington office on September 19, 1952.

C-1954, Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen, representing motormen, conductors, and trainmen of the Lackawanna & Wyoming Valley Railroad, a small electric line at Scranton, Pa. These employees left the service of the carrier at 4 a. m., December 19, 1951, to enforce certain wage demands. The strike continued until January 8, 1952, on which date the parties reached a settlement.

A-3935, Brotherhood of Maintenance of Way Employees, representing track men of the Copper Range Railroad. This strike commenced on March 7, 1952, to enforce demands for a wear increase similar to that received by nonopersting

A-3935, Brotherhood of Maintenance of Way Employees, representing track men of the Copper Range Railroad. This strike commenced on March 7, 1952, to enforce demands for a wage increase similar to that received by nonoperating railroad employees on other carriers in 1951. A representative of this Board discussed the situation with the parties at Houghton, Mich., and further conferences were held in the Board's Washington office, resulting in a settlement in mediation on April 24, 1952. This strike was in progress 49 days.

The procedures of mediation and arbitration have been incorporated into the Railway Labor Act for the express purpose of preventing strikes, with the resultant interruptions to interstate commerce, and ofttime hardships upon all concerned, including the employees involved and the general public. The law is basically founded on rights and procedures, and each side must contemplate the results of their actions with the sense of full responsibility therefor. The value of the procedures and principles of the law has been amply demonstrated during the past 18 years of the Board's history, particularly when the

services of the Board are utilized in situations not complicated by a strike threat.

As will be noted from the tables found on the succeeding pages of this report, 273 mediation disputes were settled or disposed of during the fiscal year 1952, the grand total of dispositions through the mediatory process in the 18 years of the life of the present Board being 3,910. The Board therefore urges again that the fullest possible utilization be made of the procedural steps set up in the Railway Labor Act to promote the peaceful settlement of disputes. It is not amiss to emphasize that the exercise of patience, forbearance, and calm and reasoned judgment by the representatives of both management and labor will in the vast majority of instances produce settlements and avoid work stoppages in which everyone loses, including the general public.

#### 3. FURTHER DEVELOPMENTS IN THE NATIONAL WAGE-RULE DISPUTE, TRAIN, ENGINE AND YARD SERVICE EMPLOYEES

As noted in the Seventeenth Annual Report of this Board for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1951, portions of this national dispute were settled in that year. On September 21, 1950, the wage-rules dispute involving the Switchmen's Union of North America and the carriers on which this organization holds representation rights was finally settled. The Railroad Yardmasters of America also settled their wage and rules case with the carriers on which they held contracts in an agreement executed on November 2, 1950. The Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen also settled their national wage-rules movement through an agreement dated May 25, 1951. The details of the settlements reached by these three organizations are carried in the Board's Seventeenth Annual Report.

This left the disputes involving the Brotherhood of Locomotive Engineers, the Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen and Enginemen, and the Order of Railway Conductors still unsettled at the close of the 1951 fiscal year on June 30. As noted in our Seventeenth Annual Report, the carrier representatives presented on June 14, 1951, proposed complete agreements to the three organizations named above for the settlement of the entire dispute. These proposals were re-

jected by the organizations on June 28, 1951.

No further handling of the dispute occurred until July 1951 when an exchange of correspondence was renewed between the National Mediation Board, the carrier representatives, and those of the three organizations. On July 24, 1951, the three organizations wrote the Board informing it that they were then prepared to submit "the controversy" to arbitration, providing a satisfactory agreement to arbitrate could be reached, and further, that the parties were able to agree upon the neutral arbitrator, or a satisfactory method of selecting him. In response to this proposal, the carriers' conference committees addressed a letter to the Board on August 9, 1951, containing a summary of the proposals made by the carriers for the settlement of the dispute, and stating specifically the subjects which the carriers were willing to arbitrate.

On August 21, 1951, the Board was informed by the representatives of the organizations that the carriers' proposals submitted in their letter of August 9, 1951, were unacceptable to them as a basis for settling the dispute. The Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen and

Enginemen then spread a strike ballot, and on November 6, 1951, that organization set a time of 3 p. m., Thursday, November 8, 1951, for the withdrawal from service of employees represented by that Brotherhood on the following carriers: Baltimore & Ohio Railroad Co.; Chicago & North Western Railway Co., including the Chicago, St. Paul, Minneapolis & Omaha Railway Co.; the Louisville & Nashville Railroad Co.; and the Terminal Railroad Association of St. Louis.

The Chairman of the National Mediation Board on November 6, 1951, notified the President of the United States, in accordance with the provisions of section 10 of the Railway Labor Act, that in the judgment of the Board this dispute threatened to interrupt interstate commerce to a degree such as to deprive various sections of the country

of essential transportation service.

The President on November 6, 1951, issued Executive Order 10303 creating an emergency board to consider and report on the issues in dispute between the carriers and the Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen and Enginemen. This emergency board commenced its hearings in Washington, D. C., on November 27, 1951, the hearings being concluded on December 17, 1951. The report of this emergency board to President was filed on January 25, 1952. Shortly thereafter, the President of the Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen and Enginemen notified the President that the report and recommendations of the emergency board were unacceptable.

On November 29, 1951, the Brotherhood of Locomotive Engineers requested the National Mediation Board to take the necessary steps to obtain the appointment of an emergency board to investigate and report on the issues in dispute between that Brotherhood and the

carriers. This request was withdrawn on January 15, 1952.

Further conferences were held between representatives of the carriers, the Brotherhood of Locomotive Engineers, the Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen and Enginemen, and the Order of Railway Conductors, and the members of the National Mediation Board, commencing on January 11, 1952, and continuing in February 1952, but these conferences proved fruitless.

On January 30, 1952, the Brotherhood of Locomotive Engineers submitted a report on the issues involved to all engineers represented by the organization, individually, together with a ballot on which the engineers were requested to indicate whether or not the Chief Executive of the organization would be empowered to call a strike. The

result of this strike ballot was not publicly announced.

At 9 a.m., Eastern Standard Time, March 9, 1952, employees in road and yard service represented by the Brotherhood of Locomotive Engineers, the Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen and Enginemen, and the Order of Railway Conductors on the New York Central Railroad Co., Lines West, including the Toledo & Ohio Central Railway Company, and the Terminal Railroad Association of St. Louis withdrew from the service of those carriers.

On the third day of the strike, March 11, 1952, the Government obtained a temporary restraining order from U. S. District Judge Emerich B. Freed, in the district court at Cleveland, Ohio, and on the same date, the strike was ended by the three organizations, in compliance with this order. The court scheduled March 21, 1952, for hearings on the extension of or making permanent the temporary injunction. A postponement was granted on request of the three brotherhoods to March 27, 1952, and on March 22 the organizations

filed suit in the Federal court at Cleveland charging that the existing Government seizure and operation by the Army is illegal. A preliminary injunction was issued by Judge Freed on April 11, 1952, to prevent further strike action.

During April 1952 conferences were resumed on the dispute under White House auspices, and on May 23, 1952, formal agreements were signed by the representatives of the three organizations and the carriers' conference committees settling the dispute with finality. Two days later, on May 25, 1952, the rail carriers under Army control were returned to private operation.

### 4. DEVELOPMENTS IN THE AIRLINE INDUSTRY

As noted in the Seventeenth Annual Report of this Board, the dispute between the American Airlines, Inc., and the Air Line Pilots Association, International, on revision of rates and rules remained unsettled at the close of the 1951 fiscal year. After further negotiations between the parties, assisted by a representative of this Board, a settlement was effected on November 5, 1951. This agreement retained the flight time of 85 hours per month. Increases were made in the payments to first pilots in the categories of flying pay, mileage pay, and gross weight of airplane pay. There was no mileage limitation feature in the mileage pay provision.

The copilots were placed on the so-called incentive pay formula for the first time on any airline since the issuance of Decision 83 by the old National Labor Board in May 1934. The new pay formula for copilots having more than 1 year's service included factors of base pay, flying pay, mileage pay, and gross-weight pay, the same as the first pilots, with the difference, however, that the flying pay, mileage pay, and gross-weight pay components are graduated in amount for the second, third, and fourth years of service as copilot, the maximum of one-half of the first pilot pay figures being reached in the fourth year, and continued thereafter.

The dispute between the pilots and United Air Lines, Inc., mentioned in our last report as unsettled, was also composed through direct negotiations between the parties on October 23, 1951. The settlement was formalized in a new agreement between the parties dated October 30, 1951, and effective on November 1 of that year. The terms of the settlement were similar to those described above in the American Airlines case, except that a previous terrain pay differential dating from Decision 83 was retained in the lower speed categories of the hourly pay factor, also a differential in the hourly rates for transoceanic flying.

Following the above settlements, agreements were reached between the Air Line Pilots Association, International, and several major air carriers using the same general formula but with certain variations on each carrier.

An important development during the fiscal year 1952 was a movement by the Flight Engineers International Association to improve their rates of pay and working conditions by the introduction of an entirely new pay formula for this class of employees. Flight engineers were first introduced into the airline industry in 1937 by the Pan American World Airways. At first their duties were those of flying mechanics, and the major part of them came from the mechanical

maintenance forces. With the advent of the Constellation and DC-6-type airplanes, the instrument panels formerly observed and operated by the first pilot and copilot became more and more complicated, which resulted in the need for a third man in the cockpit, designated as a flight engineer, to relieve the pilot and copilot of a part of the duties formerly performed by them. Subsequently, the Civil Aeronautics Board issued a rule requiring the employment of a flight engineer on all four engine aircraft having a gross weight of more than 80,000 pounds.

The flight engineer is required to report 1 hour before flight time during which he makes certain checks and inspections to determine to his own satisfaction that the air craft is airworthy and in safe flying condition. The flight engineer also participates with the captain and copilot in their required preflight checks. In flight, the flight engineer, under the direction of the captain, regulates the throttle to secure the required power for take-off, cruising, and landing. He also watches many indicators to see that the plane and engines are functioning properly. The position also has many other duties and functions in connection with the operations of the aircraft, which in airplanes not required to carry a flight engineer, are per-

formed by the captain and copilot.

The original request of the Flight Engineers International Association upon Eastern Airlines, Inc., contemplated the continuation of the straight monthly salary basis of compensation, with a sizable increase in the monthly rate, plus double time for all hours flown over 85 per month, \$1 per hour additional for night flying, the inclusion of deadheading as flight time, and a differential of \$1.25 per hour additional for foreign and overseas service. Extended mediation efforts proved unavailing to compose the dispute, and the parties agreed to arbitrate the controversy. In the arbitration proceedings, the organization advanced an entirely different compensation formula from that originally proposed to the carrier. They sought to have applied to flight engineers a basis of pay similar to that of the pilots and copilots, consisting of base pay plus increments computed on (a) hours flown, (b) gross weight of aircraft, (c) speed of the aircraft, and (d) mileage, including the usual differential for night flying. In addition to converting the basis of compensation, the flight engineers sought an increase in pay which would produce for their classification approximately 85 percent of the captain's pay scale.

The arbitration was conducted in Miami, Fla., commencing on March 18, 1952, and ending April 3, 1952. Judge Frank P. Douglass was the neutral arbitrator. The award, which was issued on April 15, 1952, provided for the conversion of the flight engineers' compensation from a monthly basis to a system consisting of: (a) base pay; (b) hourly pay; (c) mileage pay; (d) gross-weight pay. The award also provided for an additional payment of 45 cents per hour for foreign and overseas operations. A minimum monthly guarantee was also provided for flight engineers in their third year of service and thereafter. The rates of compensation for the various factors were intended to maintain the historical differential in pay between flight engineers and captains, taking into account the increases received by captains in 1951. The organization arbitrator declined to sign the award, and later, legal proceedings were instituted by the organization to impeach the award. This litigation has not yet

been concluded.

The flight engineers employed by Trans World Airlines, United Air Lines, Inc., and National Airlines, Inc., also represented by chapters of the Flight Engineers' International Association, instituted wage demands on those carriers in the early part of 1952. These disputes were mediated without success, and the cases were closed prior to the end of the fiscal year 1952. The flight engineers on Trans World Airlines set a strike date on that carrier for July 10, 1952. This date was withdrawn when an emergency board was created under section 10 of the act.

The flight engineers employed by Northwest Air Lines, Inc., have for many years been represented by the International Association of Machinists. They also have been on a straight monthly basis of compensation. This organization also instituted wage and rules demands early in 1952, but unlike the Flight Engineers International Association, they preferred to attempt to secure increases in their monthly compensation, together with additional compensation for an allowance known as "ground pay," which is extra pay for time spent in mechanical work on the ground during a flight interrupted by mechanical difficulties, and an increment for flying between 6 p. m. and 6 a. m. of \$1.50 per hour. Mediation was unavailing in composing this dispute, and the case was closed. The threat of a strike was made in July 1952, and an emergency board was appointed by the President under section 10 of the Railway Labor Act.

#### 5. UNION SHOP AMENDMENT

As noted in the Board's Seventeenth Annual report for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1951, the application for mediation filed by the Seventeen Cooperating Non-Operating Railway Labor Organizations was under correspondence with the approximately 400 rail carriers involved in this dispute. Many of the carriers replied to the effect that conferences had not been completed on the properties. Others took the position that the question of a union shop was an improper one for mediation. Practically all of the carriers concerned stated they would decline to participate in a mass or concurrent mediation. The application filed by the organizations was docketed on August

The application filed by the organizations was docketed on August 23, 1951, as this Board's Case No. A-3744. All parties were notified that the Board would conduct concurrent mediation in Washington.

D. C., commencing October 3, 1951.

A considerable number, but not all, of the carriers, parties to this case, sent representatives to Washington on the appointed date, and the full Board met with them, and also with the negotiating committee of the Seventeen Organizations, on October 3 and 4, 1951. During these meetings, and also in previous correspondence, the carrier representatives made various contentions respecting the propriety of the Board's action in docketing the disputes, and also the handling given by the Board in concurrent mediation proceedings. The Board reviewed carefully the contentions made by the carriers, and on October 5, 1951, wrote the carriers parties to the dispute reaffirming its action in docketing the case, and setting further concurrent mediation proceedings to commence in Washington on October 23, 1951.

Meetings were conducted by the Board separately with the organization and carrier representatives on October 23, 24 and 25, 1951. The Board reached the conclusion that it was unable to get the parties to come to an agreement, and proffered arbitration under the Railway

Labor Act on October 26, 1951. On October 27, 1951, the Seventeen Cooperating Railway Labor Organizations declined arbitration under the act. The Board notified the parties on November 6, 1951, that its services, except as provided in section 5, Third, and section

10 of the act were on that day terminated.

On November 6, 1951, the Seventeen Organizations requested the Board to arrange for the creation of an emergency board under section 10 of the Railway Labor Act, in view of the fact that were it not that the carriers were under the control of the Army, a strike ballot would be spread by the organizations. Such an emergency board was created by the President under an Executive Order dated November 15, The membership of this Board, and a description of its report, dated February 14, 1952, are carried in a later chapter of this annual

The report of the emergency board was accepted by the Seventeen Organizations on February 19, 1952. The organizations requested meetings with the carriers on March 3, 1952. The carriers in the eastern territory authorized a regional carriers' conference committee, which finally met with the employee representatives in Washington, D. C., on May 6, 13, and 14, 1952. A regional conference committee was constituted in the western territory, which, together with the eastern committee, met the representatives of the Seventeen Organizations in Washington on May 19, 20, 22, and 23, 1952. At that time the western committee did not have authorizations from its constituent carriers on the full scope of its authority to negotiate a settlement accepting the recommendations of the emergency board, and the conferences were recessed until June 30, 1952.

On June 30, 1952, the carrier committees gave the organizations a proposed agreement which the latter termed unacceptable, and it was rejected. Further conferences were scheduled in July 1952.

#### 6. WAGE STABILIZATION—RAILROAD AND AIRLINE WAGE BOARD

Shortly after the beginning of the fiscal year the Congress, in amendments to the Defense Production Act of 1950, provided for a separate agency to administer stabilization controls over railroad and airline employees. Section 403 of the amended Defense Production Act provided that "the President shall administer any controls over the wages or salaries of employees subject to the provisions of the Railway Labor Act, as amended, through a separate board or panel having jurisdiction only over such employees."

Section 502 specified the procedures to be followed in handling

wage stabilization cases, namely,

... That in any dispute between employees and carriers subject to the Railway Labor Act, as amended, the procedures of such act shall be followed for the purpose of bringing about a settlement of such dispute. Any agency provided for by such act, including any panel or panel board established by the President for the adjustment of disputes arising under the Railway Labor Act, as a prefor the adjustment of disputes arising under the Kahway Labor Act, as a pre-requisite to effecting or recommending a settlement of such dispute, shall make a specific finding and certification that the changes proposed by such settlement or recommended settlement, are consistent with such standards as may then be in effect, established by or pursuant to law, for the purpose of controlling in-flationary tendencies: *Provided further*, That in any nondisputed wage or salary adjustments proposed as a result of voluntary agreement through collective bargaining, mediation, or otherwise, the same finding and certification of consistency with existing stabilization policy shall be made by the separate panel, chairman thereof, or boards as established and authorized by the President.

Where such finding and certification are made by such agency, panel, chairman thereof, or boards, they shall after approval by the Economic Stabilization Administrator be conclusive and it shall then be lawful for the employees and carriers, by agreement, to put into effect the changes proposed by the settlement, recommended settlement, or voluntary proposal with respect to which such findings and certification were made.

These amendments became law on July 31, 1951. They followed, in general, the precedent established in World War II when the National Railway Labor Panel was created to handle railroad and airline wage stabilization problems. In contrast to the World War II procedure which allocated to the National Railway Labor Panel certain wartime dispute functions, the 1951 legislation expressly provided for the continued normal functioning of the regular dispute

procedures of the Railway Labor Act.

After an interim 30-day period during which a temporary panel, headed by Dr. William M. Leiserson, disposed of a number of accumulated cases, Economic Stabilization Administrator Eric Johnston replaced this panel, by General Order No. 7 (Revised), with the present Railroad and Airline Wage Board. With the issuance of this order on September 27, 1951, Nelson M. Bortz of the Department of Labor was named as Chairman. Subsequently, Francis A. O'Neill, Jr. of the National Mediation Board and Walter T. Nolte of the Department

of Justice were appointed as Board members.

The Railroad and Airline Wage Board is a constituent part of the Economic Stabilization Agency, reporting directly to the Administra-The Board determines the substantive policies necessary to administer the wage and salary stabilization program for employees subject to the Railway Labor Act. It issues general regulations and orders which are subject to review and approval by the Economic Stabilization Administrator. It may also make recommendations to the Administrator regarding appropriate stabilization policies for employees subject to its jurisdiction. Administration of the Board's policies is vested in the chairman, a full-time Board member.

As previously indicated, the amended Defense Production Act also provided that the disputes procedures established by the Railway Labor Act should remain unchanged. Thus any agency provided by the Railway Labor Act, including boards of arbitration and emergency boards, continues to function in its normal fashion. Such boards, however, are required by section 502 of the amended Defense Production Act to make a specific finding and certification that their award, or recommended settlement, is consistent with such standards as may be in effect, established by or pursuant to law, for the purpose of controlling inflationary tendencies. The actions of the chairman on nondisputed wage or salary adjustments must likewise contain a finding and certification of consistency with existing stabilization policy. Approval of the Economic Stabilization Administrator is required in all instances as a prior condition for placing the proposed changes in compensation into effect. Except as requested by a disputes board or the Administrator to act in an advisory capacity on stabilization issues, the Railroad and Airline Wage Board is not involved in labor-management controversies.

The regulations governing the actions of the Board were first set forth in General Railroad and Airline Stabilization Regulation 1 issued November 27, 1951. The regulation incorporated a number of applicable regulations and orders of the Wage Stabilization Board and the Salary Stabilization Board. Following conferences with carrier and union representatives in February 1952 to review Board policies and procedures, the Board revised its General Railroad and Airline Stabilization Regulation 1 on May 23, 1952. This revised regulation continued the policy of adopting regulations issued by the Wage Stabilization Board and the Salary Stabilization Board. Wage Adjustment Order 2, extending blanket authorization to make effective pay increases based upon the "pattern" settlements negotiated by the various groups of railroad operating employees, was issued on June 5, 1952.

Major actions of the Board during the period ending June 30, 1952, included approval of new contracts for pilots on practically all domestic airlines. These contracts—negotiated in most instances after several years of intensive bargaining and mediation—provided for wage increases of generally 11 to 14 percent and for the introduction of a flight pay formula for copilots. Among ground service employees of airlines, basic pay adjustments largely have been accomplished within the permissive limits of regulations 6 and 8. Other adjustments were handled as intercarrier inequities, especially as regards applications involving smaller "feeder-line" and cargo carriers whose operations have expanded substantially since 1949.

Railroad cases fell broadly into two major types. A substantial number of applications were processed as following the pattern of the national nonoperating employees agreement of March 1, 1951, the trainmen's agreement of May 25, 1951, and the agreements of May 23, 1952, involving engineers, firemen, and conductors. In general, these agreements provided for a 12½-cent per hour basic wage increase and adoption of a cost-of-living escalator clause. The agreements involving operating employees also included additional adjustments for yard service employees for whom a basic 5-day, 40-hour workweek was provided. Under the application of the escalator clause, which calls for an adjustment of 1 cent per hour for each one point change in the Bureau of Labor Statistics Consumers' Price Index (Old Series), rail wages for most employees were increased 6 cents per hour April 1, 1951, 1 cent per hour July 1, 1951, and 4 cents per hour January 1, 1952. They were reduced 1 cent per hour effective April 1, 1952.

The Board began operations in October 1951 with a backlog of 321 cases. These had originally been filed with the Wage Stabilization Board or the Temporary Emergency Railroad Wage Panel which functioned from mid-August to mid-September of 1951. During the 9-month period ending June 30, 1952, the Board received 601 new cases making a total of 922 docketed cases. Action was completed on 826 cases during this period. Of these, 608 involved rail

carriers and 218 air carriers.

#### 7. REPRESENTATION DISPUTES

Employees subject to the Railway Labor Act are free to join, organize, or assist in organizing the labor union of their choice. In exercising these rights the law protects employees against interference, influence, or discrimination by management.

The act also provides for majority rule and sets up procedures for settlement of disputes between employees as to who are their duly authorized collective bargaining representatives. Where such disputes arise, the Board, on application of either party to the dispute,

is required to investigate. In its investigation the Board is authorized to conduct a secret ballot or use any other appropriate method for determining the majority choice of the employees. Having determined the individual or organization designated and authorized by a majority of the employees, the Board is required to certify the name of the representative to the employees and the carrier. The statute directs the carrier to treat with the certified representative for the purpose of effecting prompt settlement of all disputes respecting rates of pay, rules, and working conditions.

The Board requires applications for its services in representation disputes to be supported by a sufficient number of signed authorizations from the employees involved to establish the existence of a dispute. Such authorizations serve as prima facie evidence of a dispute. Following verification of authorizations by an on-the-ground investigation by one of the Board's mediators, he is directed to conduct an election or use any other appropriate means for ascertaining

the duly authorized representative of the employees.

After certifications are issued, it is the policy of the Board not to conduct a repeat election until the organization certified has had a reasonable period to function as the duly authorized representative of the employees. Under rules promulgated by the Board effective May 1, 1947, a period of 2 years must elapse between representation elections. This policy derives from the law which imposes upon both carriers and employees the duty to exert every reasonable effort to make and maintain agreements. Obviously this basic purpose of the law cannot be realized if the representation issue is raised too frequently. In addition, representation elections and the organizing campaigns which necessarily precede them cause unsettled labor conditions and, in many cases, disturb employees substantially in the discharge of their duties.

The only exception to this rule is in unusual or extraordinary circumstances. During the fiscal year 1952, two disputes were considered under that part of the rule "unusual or extraordinary

circumstances."

One involved the terminal and dock guards, employees of the Texas City Terminal Railway Co. (R-2567). On October 1, 1951, the Associated Guards of Galveston County, Tex., was certified as the authorized representative of this group of employees. Subsequently this organization changed its name to Associated Guards of the United States. Because of the certification issued October 1, 1951, the carrier refused to deal with this organization. The Associated Guards of the United States then filed an application to investigate a representation dispute among these employees. On the basis of the circumstances indicated the Board waived the 2-year rule in this dispute and authorized an election.

The second dispute (R-2444) involved the Railway Employes' Department, AFL, seeking to represent a group of 5 shop crafts on the Pennsylvania Railroad for which the Industrial Union of Marine and Shipbuilding Workers of America, CIO, was certified on November 21, 1949. As mentioned in the previous annual report, this dispute was pending and a conclusion had not been reached as of June 30, 1951. In the early part of 1951, a new union was formed known as the United Railroad Workers of America, CIO, which took over representation of these employees from the certified organization. The Railway Employes' Department, AFL, contended that the relinquish-

ment of jurisdiction over the employees by the certified organization left the employees of the Pennsylvania in the 5 crafts without representation. The Board issued its Findings Upon Investigation July 5, 1951, which waived the 2-year rule on the basis that the continuity of representation of the employees in the 5 crafts or classes was broken when the previously certified organization was relieved of jurisdiction over railroad employees, and such jurisdiction was purported to be turned over to a "new union," the United Railroad Workers of America, CIO. There was no evidence showing that this purported change in representation was acquiesced in by the employees concerned through a referendum or otherwise.

During the 18-year period since the Railway Labor Act was amended to provide for settling representation disputes, the Board has disposed of 2,552 such controversies involving 987,474 employees. In 2,140 of these cases, or 84 percent, involving 889,179, or 90 percent, representation rights were established either by issuance of certifications or by voluntary recognition by the carrier management involved. During 1952, a total of 144 representation cases involving 84,676 employees were disposed of, compared to 120 involving 21,822 employees in 1951.

A more detailed discussion of the Board's work in the investigation of representation disputes is given in chapters II and III.

#### 8. ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

As mentioned in the last two annual reports, arbitration agreements were made on May 17, 1950, between the Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen and Enginemen and the Eastern, Western and Southeastern Carriers' Conference Committees on two disputes connected with the operation of Diesel locomotives; (1) in connection with the alleged violation of certain existing Diesel agreements, and, (2) with respect to the employment of firemen (helpers) on Diesel electric locomotives of not more than 90,000 pounds weight on drivers. Due principally to the extended handling of the national wage-rules dispute between the carriers and the four train and engine service brotherhoods, these arbitrations were not commenced during the fiscal year 1952.

During the fiscal year 1951, wage increase agreements were made with the following groups—all containing cost-of-living escalation provisions:

The base index figure used in the last three of the above settlements was 178. In the agreement made with the Switchmen's Union of North America, the base figure was 174, but this was revised on July 11, 1951, to the base index of 178. The Railroad Yardmasters of America settlement was with the base index of 174, which is still in effect.

Due to the rise in the cost-of-living index since these settlements were made, there have been increases on every quarterly adjustment date except two. There was no increase on the quarterly date of August 15, 1951, effective October 1, 1951, and on the quarterly date of February 15, 1952, effective April 1, 1952, a decrease of 1 percentage point occurred in the index figure, with a consequent downward adjustment. Increases have occurred in every other quarter to date.

As of August 15, 1952, the index figure stood at 192.3, the adjustment date being October 1, 1952. On the latter date, the cumulative escalation wage increases for the various groups listed above stood as follows:

Switchmen's Union of North America.	\$0.18 per hour
Railroad Yardmasters of America	36.00 per month
Nonoperating employee organizations	.14 per hour
Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen:	-
Trainmen and Yardmen	.14 per hour
Yardmasters	28.00 per month
Dining Car Stewards	28.70 per month
American Train Dispatchers Association	

The settlement of the national wage-rules case with the Brotherhood of Locomotive Engineers, the Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen and Enginemen and the Order of Railway Conductors made on May 23, 1952, included cost-of-living adjustment provisions similar to those in the agreement made between the carriers and the Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen on May 25, 1951, the same base figure of 178 being used. All of the cost-of-living adjustment agreements run until October 1, 1953. The same moratorium clause is carried in the last agreement with the three organizations as is found in the Trainmen's

agreement.

All of the moratorium clauses in the agreements listed above contain a provision that on or after July 1, 1952, if the Government wage stabilization policy permits so-called annual improvement wage increases, the parties may meet with the President of the United States, or such other person as he may designate to discuss whether or not further wage adjustments for the employees covered are justified, in addition to increases received under the cost-of-living formula. Meetings have been held between the organization representatives and various administration and stabilization representatives on this subject, but so far no determination has been made by Governmental authorities as to whether the national wage stabilization policy permits approval of additional wage increases based upon an annual improvement factor.

Mention was made in the Seventeenth Annual Report of the wage arbitration agreement between the Eastern, Western, and Southeastern Carriers' Conference Committees and the American Train Dispatchers Association. As outlined later in this report, the award of this arbitration board was issued on August 15, 1951, providing for a wage increase of \$35.76 per month, plus a cost-of-living adjustment based on an index figure of 178, adjusted quarterly at the rate

of \$2 for each change of one point in the base figure.

Special mention should be made of the dispute during the last fiscal year between the Order of Railway Conductors and the Pullman Co. The Order of Railway Conductors represents Pullman conductors as well as train conductors. The organization filed a request on the Pullman Co. for a wage increase of \$90 per month. Mediation was requested on January 8, 1951. After extended mediation proceedings in March, April, and July 1951, it was not found possible to compose this dispute. The controversy was placed before an Emergency Board created under section 10 of the Railway Labor Act, the Board commencing its hearings on September 10, 1951.

As noted in a later section of this report, the emergency board filed its report to the President on October 3, 1951. The report recommended that the wage offer made by the company, amounting to \$37.95 per month, be accepted by the employees. The recommendations of the emergency board were rejected by the employees, and a strike ballot was spread, which resulted in the setting of a strike date for 6 a. m., July 29, 1952. Through further mediation efforts exerted by representatives of this Board, an agreement was reached between the parties on July 24, 1952, providing for a wage increase of \$26.25 per month, retroactive to January 1, 1951, plus the application of the cost-of-living escalation on the national pattern, with a base figure of 178, and including the standard moratorium clause.

A recent development of national interest is the current movement by the Brotherhood of Railway Carmen of America for equalization of rates of pay for men in freight and passenger car repair service. For a great many years a differential has existed between the rates paid freight and passenger car repairmen, the differential at present being

0.084 cents per hour in favor of passenger carmen.

A uniform notice was served by the Brotherhood under date of July 20, 1950, on all carriers on which the Brotherhood holds representation for the wiping out of this differential. Application for mediation was filed by the organization on February 5, 1952, with the request that this Board give the application concurrent mediation. The great majority of the carriers involved took the position that the notice served upon them was not a proper one, for the reason that the contracts in effect covering all shop craft employees are customarily made in the name of each system federation, operating through the Railway Employes' Department of the AFL, rather than with the individual shop craft organizations, including the Carmen.

individual shop craft organizations, including the Carmen.

Countering this argument, the Carmen's organization produced evidence that the Railway Employes' Department, AFL, had approved and authorized the Brotherhood Railway Carmen of America

to handle this dispute on an individual basis.

During April 1952 certain carriers in the eastern territory authorized an Eastern Regional Carriers' Conference Committee to meet with the Brotherhood representatives to discuss this matter. At the close of the fiscal year, the carriers in the western and southeastern territories were considering the creation of regional carriers' conference committees to deal with this problem.

#### 9. NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

The 1934 amendments to the Railway Labor Act created the National Railroad Adjustment Board to hear and decide disputes involving employee grievances, application and interpretation of

agreements.

The Adjustment Board is composed of four divisions, on which the carriers and the employees are equally represented. The jurisdiction of each division is described in section 3, First (h), of the act. The headquarters of the Adjustment Board are established

in Chicago, Ill., by the law.

This Board is composed of 36 members, 18 representing, chosen, and compensated by the carriers and 18 likewise by the so recognized standard national railway labor organizations. The First, Second, and Third Divisions are composed of 10 members each, equally divided between representatives of management and labor. The Fourth Division is composed of six members, likewise equally divided between management and labor.

During the 18 years the Adjustment Board has been in existence the First Division has received a total of 29,676 cases, and has disposed of 25,490. At the close of the fiscal year 1952 the First Division had on hand and unadjusted 4,186 cases, an increase of 714 unadjusted cases compared with the fiscal year 1951, in spite of the fact Division One disposed of 203 more cases in the fiscal year 1952 than were disposed of in the fiscal year 1951. In referring to table No. 13 it is to be noted that this division docketed 612 more new cases than were docketed in the previous fiscal year, which reflects a heavier work load and with the assistance of two supplemental boards set up and begun functioning in 1950, it has not been possible as yet to show a reduction in the number of cases on hand at the close of the fiscal This figure is, however, expected to show a decline as time goes on due to the establishment of special boards of adjustment on the various properties during the coming year, which will result in a number of cases being withdrawn from Division One and returned to the properties for handling by such Boards.

During the fiscal year ending June 30, 1952, 11 special adjustment boards were set up which handled and disposed of approximately 1,605 cases. These 1,605 cases normally would have been presented to Division One of the National Railroad Adjustment Board. Table No. 13 for the First Division for the fiscal year shows that 383 cases were withdrawn from that Division, most of which went before these

special boards of adjustment.

At the close of the fiscal year 1952 other special boards were being considered and when they begin to function will further relieve the burden on Division One. Although the backlog of pending disputes continues to grow from year to year, it is felt that with increased assistance from the supplemental boards and the special boards of adjustment that the pending disputes at the close of the coming years will be lessened.

The Second, Third, and Fourth Divisions have received a smaller number of cases, as reflected by table No. 13, carried in chapter VII of this report and have been in a position to keep abreast of their

dockets.

#### 10. LABOR CONTRACTS

Section 5, third (e) of the Railway Labor Act requires all carriers subject to this law to file with the Board copies of each working agreement with employees covering rates of pay, rules, or working conditions. If no contract with any craft or class of its employees has been entered into, the carrier is required by this section to file with the National Mediation Board a statement of that fact, including also a statement of the rates of pay, rules, or working conditions applicable to the employees in the craft or class. The law further requires that copies of all changes, revisions, or supplements to working agreements or the statements just referred to be also filed with this Board.

As shown in table 10 of this report, as of June 30, 1952, a total of 5,118 working agreements were on file in the office of this Board, or an increase of 2,097 agreements on file as of June 30, 1935, at the close of the first year of operation of the present Board. In addition to these basic contracts, hundreds of revisions, supplements, and mem-

oranda of agreement are filed with the Board each year.

#### II. RECORD OF CASES

#### 1. CASES HANDLED BY THE BOARD

Labor disputes subject to the jurisdiction of the National Mediation Board are generally divided into three groups:

(1) Disputes involving representation of employees by various

labor organizations for the purposes of collective bargaining.

(2) Disputes between carriers and their employees concerning changes in rates of pay, rules or working conditions not adjusted by the parties in conference.

(3) The interpretation of agreements reached through mediation, where disputes arise between the parties as to the meaning or appli-

cation of such agreements.

Disputes in the above three categories are designated for purposes of the Board's records as representation, mediation, and interpretation

cases, respectively.

The total number of all cases docketed during the fiscal year 1952 was 448, as compared to 418 during the previous fiscal year. The number of mediation cases docketed during the fiscal year 1952 was 289, as compared to 284 during the previous fiscal year. The number of representation cases docketed during the fiscal year 1952 was 159, as compared to 133 during the previous fiscal year.

There were no interpretation cases docketed during the fiscal year 1952. During the fiscal year 1951 there was only one, while in the fiscal year 1950 there were no interpretation cases docketed, there being only 22 such cases handled since the amendment of the act in

1934.

Cases disposed of totaled 417 during the fiscal year 1952, as compared with 390 during the fiscal year 1951. Mediation cases disposed of during the same period were 273, as compared with 269 the previous fiscal year. Representation cases disposed of for fiscal year 1952 totaled 144, as compared with 120 for the previous year.

There were 133 mediation cases and 51 representation cases pending and unsettled at the end of the fiscal year 1952, which is 31 more

cases than on record at the close of the 1951 fiscal year.

Before applications are formally docketed they are subject to preliminary investigation with a view of developing necessary information. This procedure serves a dual purpose. First, in a considerable number of instances, preliminary investigation develops facts which show the application not in proper form for docketing. Thus the matter can sometimes be disposed of through correspondence without the need of on-the-ground investigation by a mediator. Second, this procedure serves to clarify obscure points and thus facilitates the work of the mediator in his handling of the case. During 1952, a total of 46 applications were disposed of by correspondence as a result of this preliminary investigation. Adding these to the 448 applications which were docketed, makes a grand total of 494 applications for

Board services received during the year. This compares with a grand total of 455 in 1951, 421 in 1950, 443 in 1949, and 520 in 1948.

Table 1 summarizes the various types of cases received and disposed of from June 21, 1934, when the Board commenced operations through June 30, 1952. During this 18-year period, 6,572 new cases were docketed. The inclusion of 96 pending disputes inherited from the former Board (United States Board of Mediation) increases to 6,668 the total cases requiring services of the present Board since it began operations. As of June 30, 1952, settlements had been effected in 6,484 of these cases. Except in the first year of the Board's operation, the number of mediation cases has run consistently ahead of representation cases. Mediation cases docketed during the 18-year period total 3,971, as compared with 2,579 representation cases. The percentage ratio is 61 and 39 for the 2 types of cases. During the 18-year period, 22 interpretation cases have been disposed of by the Board. This number is considerably less than 1 percent of the total.

Table 1.—Number of cases received and disposed of, fiscal years 1935-52

	All types of cases								
Status of cases	18-year period 1935–52	Fiscal year 1952	Fiscal year 1951	Fiscal year 1950	5-year period 1945-49 (average)	5-year period 1940–44 (average)	5-year period 1935–39 (average)		
Cases pending and unsettled at beginning of period	96 6, 572	153 448	125 418	93 394	172 463	126 381	151 219		
Total cases on hand and received.	6,668	601	543	487	635	507	370		
Cases disposed of	6, 484	417 184	390 153	362 125	496 139	347 160	220 150		
	Representation cases								
Cases pending and unsettled at beginning of period	24 2, 579	36 159	23 133	23 128	50 176	34 149	43 108		
Total cases on hand and received.	2,603	195	156	151	226	183	151		
Cases disposed of	2, 552 51	144 51	120 36	128	186	139	107		
			]	Mediatio	n cases		<u> </u>		
Cases pending and unsettled at beginning of period	72 3, 971	117 289	102 284	70 266	122 286	91 230	. 108		
Total cases on hand and received.	4, 043	406	386	336	408	321	218		
Cases disposed of	3, 910	273	269	234	309	206	. 112		
Cases pending and unsettled at end of period	133	133	117	102	99	115	106		
•	Interpretation cases								
Cases pending and unsettled at beginning of period New cases docketed	22		1		<u>1</u>	1 2	1		
Total cases on hand and received.	22		1		1	3	1		
Cases disposed of Cases pending and unsettled at end of period	22		1		1	2	1		

#### 2. DISPOSITION OF CASES

During the fiscal year 1952, the Board disposed of 417 docketed disputes. This total includes 144 representation cases, 273 mediation cases. There were no interpretation cases handled during the fiscal year. Table 2 summarizes by method of disposition all cases handled to conclusion during the 18 years of the Board's operation. Annual averages are shown for the 5-year periods 1935–39, 1940–44, and 1945–49.

Table 2.—Number of cases disposed of, by type of case and method of disposition, fiscal years 1935-52

	Fiscal year ended June 30—								
Type of case and method of disposition	18-year period, 1935–52	1952	1951	1950	5-year period, 1945–49 (average)	5-year period, 1940-44 (average)	5-year period, 1935–39 (average)		
Grànd total	6, 484	417	390	362	496	347	220		
Representation cases, total	2, 552	144	120	128	186	139	107		
Certification based on: Elections	1, 522 555 63 38 231 48 95	97 21 1 9 9 7	87 16 	62 39 · 13 3 11	113 37 2 5 16 6 7	74 38 6 3 11 4 3	68 21 4 8 2 4		
Mediation cases, total	3, 910	273	269	234	309	206	112		
Mediation agreements. Arbitration agreements. Withdrawn after mediation Withdrawn before mediation. Refusal to arbitrate by:	2, 073 150 596 360	146 6 35 13	145 15 36 11	129 14 41 11	161 16 32 25	116 6 39 22	52 2 26 18		
Carriers Employees Both parties Dismissal	352 142 168 69	33 7 5 28	31 15 3 13	14 11 12 2	38 16 19 2	9 4 9 1	8 2 2 2		
Interpretation of mediation agreements.	22	===	1	=====	1	2	1		

#### Representation Disputes

In the investigation of representation disputes under section 2, Ninth, of the Railway Labor Act the Board is authorized to conduct elections by secret ballot or to utilize any other appropriate method of ascertaining the name of the duly authorized employee representatives. The law specifies that any method employed by the Board must insure the choice of representatives by the employees without interference, influence, or coercion exercised by the carrier.

Of the 144 representation disputes disposed of during the year 97 were settled by secret-ballot elections. Thirty-four of these elections were conducted exclusively by United States mail. In practically all elections it is necessary to send out some ballots by mail in order to afford voting opportunity to those eligible employees who are off work due to sickness, vacations, or other reasons and are thus unable to vote at the polling place. In general, ballot-box elections are preferred, but elections are conducted entirely by mail where employees are widely scattered. The method is determined by the Board in each case after consideration of the circumstances.

Twenty-one representation disputes were settled by verifying signatures on authorization cards against signatures of employees as shown on carrier records such as canceled pay checks. This procedure is used in many cases where there is only one organization seeking representation of a group of employees. These 21 cases represent 15 percent of the total number of representation cases settled during 1951. The ratio for the 18-year period 1935–52 is 22 percent.

Of the remaining 26 representation cases disposed of during the year, 9 were withdrawn prior to a mediator's investigation, and 9 were withdrawn after such an investigation. Withdrawals are usually made when investigation shows an insufficient number of employee authorizations to warrant an election under applicable rules and regulations. The applications in 7 cases were dismissed. In one case, the carrier voluntarily granted recognition to the organization involved. A more detailed discussion of cases closed under these various designa-

tions may be found in chapter III.

As shown in table 2, a grand total of 2,552 representation cases have been disposed of by the Board since 1934 when the act was amended to provide for settlement of representation disputes. Of this number 2,077, or 81 percent, were closed by issuing certifications following elections or verifying signatures on employee authorization cards. In 63 additional cases, carriers voluntarily recognized the applicant labor organizations as representing the employees without issuance of a certification. Thus, collective bargaining representation has been established for a total of 889,179 employees, or 90 percent of the total of employees involved in all representation disputes disposed of by the Board during the period of 1934–52.

#### MEDIATION DISPUTES

As indicated by its name, the most important function of the National Mediation Board is the mediation of disputes between the rail and air carriers and the labor organizations representing their employees having to do with changes in rates of pay, rules, and working conditions. The various situations in which the mediatory services of the Board may be invoked are described in detail in sections 5 and 6 of the Railway Labor Act. The 1934 amendments to the original act of 1926 set forth the distinct line of demarcation between the duties and functions of the National Mediation Board and those of the National Railroad Adjustment Board. Disputes concerning the interpretation or application of agreement rules are placed under the jurisdiction of the latter agency by the provision of section 3 of the present act, which is a portion added by the 1934 amendments.

Previous reports of this Board have outlined the difficulties experienced some years ago by the practice of some organizations forcing mediation of grievances by the expedient of setting strike dates on large dockets of grievances and time claims. This problem was practically nonexistent during the period of Army control of the rail carriers, which terminated on May 25, 1952. A few instances of this nature occurred during Army control on carriers which were not under military operation. On the whole, however, the practice has not been too troublesome during the past fiscal year. In addition, as described elsewhere in this report, the growing trend to submit such dockets to special boards of adjustment has helped this situation

very materially. The Board hopes that the present trend will continue in this respect, as it benefits both the carriers and the employees by providing a prompt and final method of settling a great many disputes over rules interpretations which formerly stood on the docket of the First Division of the National Railroad Adjustment Board

for considerable periods of time.

It seems appropriate to again emphasize the fact that settlements of disputes arrived at through direct negotiations between the interested parties provide the most satisfactory means of establishing and maintaining proper labor relations between carriers and their When this is not found possible, the next best method is the disposition of such disputes in mediation under the auspices of this Board. All settlements in these two categories are made voluntarily, and in practically every instance result from compromises made in the original positions taken by both sides. When, however, it is not found possible to compose disputes by these two methods, the avenue of arbitration still remains available to the parties, and it becomes the duty of the Board to proffer arbitration under sections 7 and 8 of the act in cases where its mediatory efforts have failed to produce a settlement. Acceptance of the Board's proffer of arbitration is not compulsory on either party, but if accepted, the award of the arbitration board is final and binding on the parties to the dispute.

Arbitration boards set up under the act being tripartite in composition, each side has an advocate of their position in their party arbitrator, and the neutral, who is appointed by this Board in cases where the party arbitrators cannot agree, has the advantage of a full explanation of the position of each side in reaching a just and proper decision. During the fiscal year 1952 6 arbitration agreements were made under the auspices of the Board, compared with 15 such

agreements in fiscal year 1951.

In a good many instances, the parties to disputes reach agreement on the issues during mediation, but for their own reasons prefer to close the case by withdrawal of the application for mediation, rather than by the execution of a mediation agreement. In other cases, disputes may be settled by the parties before the commencement of mediation proceedings, or applications for mediation may be with-

drawn for the purpose of resuming direct negotiations.

A total of 273 mediation cases were disposed of by all methods described above. Of this number, 200 were settled by either mediation agreements, arbitration agreements, withdrawals during mediation, or withdrawals prior to mediation. This total is eight cases in excess of the record in the previous fiscal year. The 200 cases so disposed of in 1952 represents 73 percent of all dispositions of mediation cases in this fiscal year. A grand total of 150 arbitration agreements have been consummated during the 18 years' experience of the present Board.

#### PROBLEMS IN MEDIATION

As noted in the last two preceding annual reports of this Board, the practice of the concerted movements on the part of the rail labor organizations continued in some degree during the past fiscal year. Although there was a moratorium on changes in rates of pay in effect with all the organizations in the railroad industry, national movements took place among the nonoperating organizations on the union shop

demands, and on the part of the Brotherhood of Railway Carmen of America to wipe out the differential in pay which has existed for many years between passenger and freight car repairmen. These movements are mentioned at greater length elsewhere in this report. They are mentioned here again only to illustrate the growing and continued tendency in the rail industry to handle wage and important rules

changes on a national basis. While this trend cannot be criticized in and of itself in certain instances, the results of such national handling point up the fact that in recent years, only a very few of these national movements have been settled in mediation or arbitration, and the machinery of the section 10 emergency boards has grown increasingly ineffective in the settlement of such disputes. The experience with section 10 emergency boards during the past year is enlightening in this respect. During that period a total of six such boards were created by Executive order... Three of these were set up to consider disputes national in character. In only one of these six instances was the dispute settled on the basis of the emergency board recommendations. Such recommendations were useful only as the basis for further negotiations or mediation efforts looking toward a settlement. Lack of widespread publicity and understanding of the issues involved, and the resultant lack of mobilization of public opinion behind the reports of these boards has made this portion of the machinery set up in the Railway Labor Act less and less effective. As it has been used in the past few years, section 10 of the act has resulted only in an additional delay of 60 days or more before the parties finally find it necessary to settle their dispute in direct negotiations, usually under the auspicies of this Board or the executive branch of the Government. Unless this section of the act can be revitalized, and the recommendations of emergency boards again command respect, it may be necessary for the Congress to reexamine this procedure. After all, there is no satisfactory substitute for the time-tested methods of settlement through mediation or voluntary arbitration, and the Board hopes that these means will be more generally resorted to in the future.

Brief mention was made in the Board's sixteenth annual report of the practice of a few organizations setting strike dates on short notice, sometimes after only brief negotiations, on issues which are proper subjects for mediation. The Board regrets to note again several recent instances of this nature. This procedure has the practical effect of forcing immediate mediation efforts under the emergency provisions of section 5 of the Railway Labor Act, which in turn delays mediation service on other cases already standing on the Board's docket. In most instances of this sort, the issues are such that could and should be handled through the orderly procedure of invoking mediation under section 6 of the act. The Board and its staff are now prepared to handle promptly all applications for its services, and a return to the orderly processes of the law is recommended to the very few organiza-

tions which have recently again indulged in this practice.

During the past year the Board has been confronted with cases involving the desire of certain nonoperating rail organizations to expand their present scope rules, particularly since the passage of the union shop amendment to the Railway Labor Act, to include many so-called excepted positions. This movement has met with resistance on the part of the carriers, some of which have advanced the argument that the invoking organizations do not represent the em-

ployees concerned, particularly those in clerical positions, for the reason that such positions were excluded from the elections under which the organizations were certified by the Board some years ago. Some of these carriers claim that the right of the organization to represent such positions must be determined before negotiations can proceed regarding them. At the close of the fiscal year, none of these

disputes had been progressed to a final conclusion. Although there are many other problems arising in mediation, only one more will be mentioned in conclusion. This is the situation arising in the mediation of certain disputes on the airlines where the organizations insist that settlements made with the managements in mediation by the representatives of the employees must be ratified by the membership. While democracy in the handling of organization affairs is admirable, this practice could easily result in settlements arrived at through protracted and diligent mediation efforts being rejected by a membership not familiar with the details of the negotiations and considerations which brought them about. The Board believes it has the right to expect that the negotiators on both sides during mediation proceedings be clothed with full authority to settle disputes handled in mediation, and commends this thought to both managements and organizations for their earnest consideration in the prompt and final disposition of such controversies.

## 3. CARRIERS INVOLVED IN DISPUTES

Table 3 indicates the distribution of the Board's services among the various classes of carriers. During the year, 131 class I carriers by railroad reported to the Interstate Commerce Commission. Approximately 97 percent of the Nation's railroad workers are employed on class I line haul and switching and terminal railroads. As would be expected it was on such carriers, rather than the smaller railroads, that most of the Board's services were utilized. Thus of the 131 class I carriers 82, or 63 percent, were involved in disputes considered by the Board during the year.

It will be noted that during 1952 the Board considered disputes involving employees of 39 different airlines.

Table 3.—Number of different carriers involved in cases by classes with percentages, fiscal year 1952

Class of carriers		.+a1	Different carriers involved in—								
		Total carriers		All cases		Represen- tation cases		Mediation cases		Interpreta- tion cases	
	Num- ber	Per- cent	Num- ber	Per- cent	Num- ber	Per- cent	Num- ber	Per- cent	Num- ber	Per- cent	
Class I railroads Class II railroads. Class III railroads. Switching and terminal companies Electric railroads. Miscellaneous carriers. Air carriers.	1 131 1 177 1 170 1 249 1 49 (2) 3 111	100 100 100 100 100	82 12 3 37 5 17 39	63 7 2 15 10	39 8 2 24 3 6 21	30 5 1 10 6	65 4 1 20 -3 11 30	50 2 1 8 6			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Carriers reporting to Interstate Commerce Commission during 1951.

<sup>2</sup> Not available.

<sup>3</sup> Carriers filing tariff reports with Civil Aeronautics Board.

#### 4. MAJOR GROUPS OF EMPLOYEES INVOLVED IN CASES

Table 4 shows the number of cases settled during the year, classified according to the major groups of employees involved. As in previous years, train, engine, and yard-service employees accounted for the largest number of disputes among railroad workers. Other crafts or classes accounting for a large number of disputes are clerical, office, station, and storehouse employees, dining-car employees, maintenance of equipment, yardmasters, maintenance of way and signal, train dispatchers, and marine service employees.

While disputes among railroad workers constitute the major portion of the Board's work, the rapid growth of airline transportation since the end of World War II has been accompanied by a comparable growth in the number of labor disputes among employees of this industry. In 1952, airline employees accounted for 102 disputes, whereas rail carriers accounted for 315 disputes or 76 percent of the total. It should be noted that in 1950, 1951, and 1952 there were less than one-half as many representation disputes as mediation cases on the airlines. The proportion of airline cases to the total of all disputes has shown but little change during the past three years but compares with 10 percent in 1946 and 5 percent in 1945. The proportion of airline cases to the total of all disputes was 24 percent in 1952 and 1951 as compared to 20 percent in each of the 2 previous

Table 4.—Number of cases disposed of by major group of employees, fiscal year 1952

		Numb	er of	
Major groups of employees	All types of cases	Represen- tation cases	Mediation cases	Interpreta tion cases
Grand total, all groups of employees	417	144	273	
Railroad—total	315	114	201	
Combined groups, railroad Train, engine, and yard service	9 102	1 28	8 74	
Mechanical foremen	3 28	1 11	2 17	
Clerical, office, station and storehouseYardmasters	17	8 13	35 4	
Maintenance of way and signal Subordinate officials in maintenance of way	3	7 3	12	
Agents, telegraphers and towermen Prain dispatchers Technical engineers, architects, draftsmen, etc	10 26	5	10 21	
rechnical engineers, architects, draitsmen, etc. Dining car employees, train and pullman porters Patrolmen and special officers	16 9	11 6	5 3	
Marine service Miscellaneous railroad	17 13	11	6	
Airline—total.	102	30	72	
Combined airline	4	2	2	
Mechanics Radio and teletype operators	25	5 5	20 4	
Clerical, office, stores, fleet and passenger service Stewards, stewardesses, and flight persons	· 16	3 4	13 3	
Pilots	$^{23}_{4}$	2 1	21 3	
Mechanical foremen		1		
Flight engineers Miscellaneous	5	2 5	3 3	

During the year 1952 the increase in the number of airline cases disposed of under the terms of the Railway Labor Act continued, the total being 102 in 1952, as compared to 93 cases in 1951.

The growth in the number of airline disputes disposed of by the Board since airline employees became subject to the act is as follows:

Fiscal year	Representation cases	Media- tion cases	Total	Fiscal year	Representation cases	Media- tion cases	Total
1938	1 1 2 1 1 2 8 17 24	2 4 4 5 5 5 3 11 33	3 5 6 6 7 11 28 57	1947 1948 1949 1950 1951 1951 Total	42 46 32 21 27 30 255	36 50 63 48 66 72 408	78 96 95 70 93 102

#### III. REPRESENTATION DISPUTES

#### 1. ELECTIONS AND CERTIFICATION OF REPRESENTATION

The Board docketed 159 representation disputes during the fiscal year 1952. Adding this number to the 36 disputes pending at the beginning of the year makes a total of 195 representation cases requiring services of the Board. Of this total 144 were disposed of during the year leaving 51 disputes pending on the Board's docket on June 30, 1952.

The number of representation disputes docketed during 1952 is a reversal of the trend in effect since 1949. The 159 representation disputes docketed during 1952 is an increase of 20 percent over the 133 disputes docketed during the previous year and the largest number of disputes docketed since 1949. It represents, however, a decline of 10 percent from the average of 176 disputes docketed annually during

the 5-year period 1945-49.

The Board favors keeping its backlog of pending disputes low for this permits assignment of mediators to newly docketed cases with minimum delay. The desirability of prompt investigation of representation disputes was recognized by the Congress by including in section 2, Ninth, of the Railway Labor Act, provisions requiring the Board to investigate such disputes and issue certifications within 30 days after receipt of application for its services. Although the courts have held this requirement to be directory rather than mandatory, the Board strives to investigate such disputes as promptly as practicable in the interest of promoting stable labor relations.

The 144 representation disputes disposed of in 1952 is an increase of 20 percent over the 120 disputes disposed of in 1951. The number of employees involved in representation disputes settled in 1952 was 84,676 as compared to 21,882 in 1951. This represents an increase

of 288 percent over the previous year.

In the final analysis, the number of employees involved in representation disputes more accurately measures the volume of this phase of the Board's work than the number of cases closed. A case involving 20 to 40 employees usually can be disposed of by a single mediator within a few days. On the other hand, the Pennsylvania Railroad Shop Craft Case required the services of 1 mediator for over 3 months and during the time of the election 8 additional mediators were assigned to assist in the balloting which extended for approximately 40 days.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> District Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Virginia Equity No. 329. System Federa tion No. 40 v. Virginian Railway Co., decided July 24, 1935.

The Railway Labor Act requires that representation disputes be resolved by crafts or classes. Many docketed cases involve more than one craft or class and some involve as many as six or seven separate crafts or classes. Thus, the number of crafts or classes is generally greater than the number of cases settled. Table 5 shows a total of 161 crafts or classes in the 144 cases disposed of in 1952.

Of the 144 representation cases disposed of during 1952, certifications were issued in 118 cases involving 132 separate crafts or classes. Representation rights were thus determined under provisions of the act for a total of 62,458 employees. The remaining 26 cases were disposed of as follows: In 9 cases, the applications were withdrawn prior to investigation by a mediator; in 9 cases the applications were withdrawn following the mediator's investigation; in 7 cases, the applications were dismissed. Dismissals are made for various rea-Under the Board's rules a majority of eligible employees must cast valid ballots in representation cases before certifications are In elections where less than a majority participates, the cases are dismissed without certification. Four cases were dismissed when the results of the election showed less than a majority of the employees had cast valid ballots. In two cases, it was determined that the election covered only a part of an established craft or class. In view of the fact that the Board is not authorized to split an established craft or class under the act, there is no alternative when the applicant organization declines to withdraw but to dismiss the applications. In one case, investigation showed an insufficient number of valid authorization cards to warrant a representation election. such cases, the applicant organization is usually given an opportunity to withdraw. In this case, the suggestion to withdraw was declined and therefore the application was dismissed.

During the fiscal year 1952, 52,084 employees participated in cases where elections were conducted or authorizations were checked. This constitutes 83 percent of the employees involved in such cases. The percentage of 85 percent employee participation has remained high throughout the years the Railway Labor Act has been in effect and shows the high regard employees generally have for exercising their right to select collective bargaining representatives by majority vote.

Table 5 shows for the 18-year period, 1935-52, the number of representation cases, crafts or classes, employees involved, and participating in elections, subdivided by methods of disposition.

## 2. MAJOR GROUPS OF EMPLOYEES INVOLVED IN REPRESENTATION DISPUTES

Table 6 summarizes representation disputes settled during the year according to major occupational groups. It is noted that train, engine, and yard-service employees were involved in 28 cases in 1952 as compared to 32 in the previous year. Engine service employees were involved in only 8 cases as compared to 20 in 1951. This decrease was due to a nonraiding agreement between the standard engine-service organizations.

Table 5.—Number of cases, crafts or classes, and employees involved in representation disputes, by method of disposition, fiscal years 1935-52

·				Num	ber of cases	3				N	lumber o	of crafts or o	classes	
Method of disposition	18-year period.			Fis	cal year—			18-year period,	Fiscal year—					
Method of disposition	period, 1935-52	1952	.1951	1950	Average 5-year period, 1945–49	Average 5-year period, 1940–44	Average 5-year period, 1935–39	1935-52	1952	1951	1950	A verage 5-year period, 1945-49	Average 5-year period, 1940-44	Average 5-year period, 1935-39
Total, all cases	2, 552	144	120	128	186	139	: 107	3, 531	161	144	154	220	179	215
Elections Check of authorizations Representation recognized	1, 522 555 63	97 21	87 16	62 39	113 37 2	74 38	68 21	2, 194 755	111 21	108 19	77 46	136 43	101 49	142 42
Representation recognized Withdrawn after investigation Withdrawn before investigation Dismissal Closed without certification	231 48 95 38	1 9 9 7	13 1 3	13 3 11	16 6 7 5	6 11 4 3 3	4 8 2 4	82 258 93 111 38	1 12 9 7	13 1 3	15 5 11	3 19 6 8 5	7 11 5 3 3	7 13 4 7
							l	, ,						
\	- <del></del>		Nui	nber of e	mployees i	nvolved				Numt	oer of em	ployees par	rticipating	`
No.	18-year		Nui		mployees i	nvolved		18-year		Numt		ployees par	rticipating	
Method of disposition	18-year period, 1935-52	1952	Nui			Average 5-year period, 1940-44	A verage 5-year period, 1935–39	18-year period, 1935–52	1952	Numb			Average 5-year period, 1940-44	Average 5-year period, 1935-39
Method of disposition  Total, all cases	period,	1952 84, 676		Fis	A verage 5-year period,	Average 5-year period,	5-year period, 1935-39	period.	1952 52, 209		Fis	Average 5-year period,	Average 5-year period,	A verage 5-year period,
	period, 1935-52		1951	Fis	A verage 5-year period, 1945-49	Average 5-year period, 1940-44	5-year period, 1935–39 65, 053	period, 1935–52		1951	Fis	Average 5-year period, 1945-49	A verage 5-year period, 1940-44	Average 5-year period, 1935-39

Table 6 shows maintenance of equipment employees as accounting for the largest proportion of employees in representation cases. While it is not unusual for maintenance of equipment employees to bulk largest in the Board's representation cases, the total during the past year is sharply increased by reason of the Pennsylvania Railroad shop craft election.

The 30 cases involving 9.514 airline employees during 1952 compares with 27 cases involving 3,086 employees during the previous Of the 30 cases among airline employees, 18 were for designation of representation for the first time; 8 were disputes between contesting organization for representation rights; 3 were dismissed and

1 was withdrawn after investigation by the mediator.

Table 6.—Number of crafts or classes and number of employees involved in representation cases, by major groups of employees, fiscal year 1951-52

	Number	Number	Employees involved			
Major groups of employees	of cases	of crafts or classes	Number	Percer	ıt	
Grand total, all groups of employees	144	161	84, 676		100	
Railroad, total	114	129	75, 162		89	
Train service. Engine service Yard service Mechanical foremen. Maintenance of equipment Clerical, office, station, and storehouse. Yardmasters Maintenance-of-way and signal. Subordinate officials, maintenance-of-way. Agents, telegraphers and towermen. Dispatchers Technical engineers, architects, draftsmen, etc. Dining car employees, train and pullman porters. Patrolmen and special officers. Marine service Combined groups, railroad. Miscellaneous railroad	8 13 7 3 5	11 9 10 1 17 8 8 13 7 3 5	4, 105 1, 138 6, 579 7 50, 397 427 132 85 288 9, 468 33 950 6	(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	5 1 8 60 1	
Airline, total	30	32	9, 514		11	
Mechanics Radio and teletype operators Clerical, office, stores, fleet and passenger svc. Stewards, stewardesses and pursers Dispatchers Pilots Mechanical foremen Flight engineers Combined groups, airline Miscellaneous	3 4 1 2 1 2 2	5 5 3 4 1 2 1 2 4 5	960 450 1,873 183 4 129 14 246 5,455 200	(F)	1 2 7	

<sup>1</sup> Less than 1 percent.

## 3. CERTIFICATIONS ISSUED

Table 7 presents a distribution by types of labor organizations of certifications issued by the Board during the fiscal year 1952. The table shows, as in previous years, that the vast majority of employees prefer representation by national labor organizations rather than by local unions or system associations. During the year, certifications were issued for 62,458 employees and of this number, 98 percent designated national labor organizations.

The table also shows that of the 62,458 employees for whom certifications were issued, representation was changed as a result of elections for only 15 percent of the employees and remained unchanged for 79 percent. The table also shows that representation rights were acquired for only 6 percent of the employees covered by certifications issued during the year.

Table 7.—Number of crafts or classes certified and employees involved in representation cases by types of results, fiscal year 1952

		Total					Certifi	cations issu	ed to-			
Results				National organizations			]	Local union	ıs	System associations		
Route	Crafts or	Employee	s involved	Crafts or	Employee	s involved	Crafts or	Employee	s involved	Crafts or	Employee	s involved
	classes	Number	Percent	classes	Number	Percent	classes	Number	Percent	classes	Number	Percent
Grand total, 118 cases	132	62, 458	100	116	60, 973	98	13	631	1	3	854	1
Elections Proved authorizations	111 21	61, 454 1, 004	98 2	96 20	59, 976 997	96 2	12 1	624 7	(1)	3	854	1
Representation acquired	54	3, 769	6	50	3, 685	6	4	84	(1)			
ElectionsProved authorizations	35 19	3, 510 259	(1)	32 18	3, 433 252	(1)	3	77	(1)			
Representation changed	44	9, 247	15	35	8, 720	14	8	519	1	1	8	(1)
Elections Proved authorizations	42 2	8, 502 745	14 1	33 2	7, 975 745	13 1	8	519	1	1	8	(1)
Representation unchanged	34	49, 452	79	31	48, 568	78	1	28	(1)	2	846	1
Elections Proved authorizations	34	49, 452	79	31	48, 568	78	1	28	(1)	2	846	1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Less than 1 percent.

#### 4. EXTENT AND NATURE OF LABOR REPRESENTATION

Table 8 shows by organizations and crafts or classes, the number and mileage operated, as reported to the Interstate Commerce Commission, of principal rail carriers whose employees are represented by various organizations as of June 30, 1952. The table also includes for comparative purposes the percentages in previous years of mileage of carriers on which employees were represented by organizations. The total mileage used in this table is derived by adding the mileage of the carriers listed in table 12 on which table 8 is based.

Table 8.—Number and mileage of principal carriers by railroad where employees are represented by various labor organizations, by crafts or classes, June 30, 1952

	sentatio	of repre- n on June 1952	Pe	rcent o		mileage ne 30—	covered	l on
Organization and craft or class	Num- ber of carriers	Mileage covered	1952	1951	1950			4-year period 1936–39 (aver- age)
Total	136	226, 620						
Locomotive engineers	116	219, 196	97	93	97	96	97	98
Locomotive firemen, hostlers and hostler helpers. Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen and	4	1,014	(1)	(1)	3	(2)	(2)	(2)
Enginemen: Locomotive firemen, hostlers and hos-	129	225, 144	99	99	99	98	99	. 98
tler helpers. Locomotive engineers. United Mine Workers of America:	19	6, 597	3	(1)	(1)	3	2	1
Locomotive engineers Locomotive firemen, hostlers and hos-						(2) (2)		
tler helpers. International Association of Railway Em-								
ployees: Locomotive firemen, hostlers, and hostler helpers.	2	. 571	(1)	(1)	(1)	(2)	- <b></b>	
Railroad Industrial Union: Locomotive engineers	1	837	(1) (1)	(1) (1)	(1)	(2)		<del>-</del>
Locomotive firemen, hostlers and hos- tler helpers.  Order of Railway Conductors of America:	1	837	(1)	(1)	(1)	(2)		
Conductors (road)	101	198, 912	.88	87	86	85	95	
Brakemen, flagmen, baggagemen (road) Yard foremen, helpers, and switch- tenders.	6 2	711 8, 405	(1)	(1)	(1)	(2) 4	(2)	(2) 4
Yardmasters Dining car stewards	3	311 8,075	(¹) 4	(1)	4 3	4 4	6	5 10
Dining car cooks	3	15, 522	7	7	7	7	8	ě
Parlor and sleeping car conductors Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen: Conductors (road)	1 35	10, 671 27, 708	12	15	14	15	7	2
Brakemen, flagmen, baggagemen (road) Yard foremen, helpers, and switch-	128 118	223, 156 194, 112	98 86	99 90	99 93	99 89	99 92	99 92
tenders. Yardmasters	26	23, 932	11	12	13	11	13	7
Dining car stewards Dining car cooks and waiters	43 1	151, 963 324	67 (1)	65	73 (¹)	73 (2)	69 (2)	59
Passenger representatives	2	11,722	. 5	3 5	2	3		
Taproom attendants	1 1	8,873	4	3				
Bus and/or truck drivers	1	4, 316 8, 142	2 4	2 3	. 2	2		
Hump motorcar operators	î	10, 118	. 5	4	4	4		
Switchmen's Union of North America: Yard foremen, helpers, and switch- tenders.	10	31, 917	14	10	10	11	9	10
Railroad Yardmasters of America:		144 004	24		0.4		4.5	24
YardmastersStationmasters	43 1	144, 664 4, 780	64 2	60 4	64 4	61 4	45 (2)	(2) 34
Portmasters Railroad Yardmasters of North America:	1	10, 671	5	4	5	5		
Yardmasters Stationmasters	9 1	22, 079 10, 735	10 5	7	5 5	6 5	5 3	4 3

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 8.—Number and mileage of principal carriers by railroad where employees are represented by various labor organizations, by crafts or classes, June 30, 1952—Continued

	sentatio	of repre- n on June 1952	Pe	rcent o		mileage ie 30—	covered	on
Organization and craft or class	Num- ber of carriers	Mileage covered	1952	1951	1950	period	5-year period 1940-44 (aver- age)	period 1936–39
Brotherhood of Railway and Steamship								
Clerks, Freight Handlers, Express and Station Employes: Clerical, office, station, and storehouse	131	226, 166	99	99	99	99	98	96
employees. Red caps, ushers, and station attend-	2	18, 290	8	. 5	8	4		
ants. Stationmasters Grain elevator employees	1 2	5, 118 16, 837	2 7	2 7	2 7	2 7		
Coal pier foremenCoal cranemen		5, 118 968	(1)	(1)	2			
Coal dumper employees	1	564	(1)	(1)	(1)	(2) (2)		
Ore dock workers	3	13, 077 8, 142	6 4	5 4	6 4	6 4		
Bus and/or truck driversLaundry workers and/or seamstresses		6, 195 6, 195	3	3	3 7	3 4		
Hotel and restaurant employees	1	9, 721	4	4		4		
Telegraphers, towermen, and agents Timber treating plant employees	1	191 13, 095	(1)	(1)	(1)	(2)	(2)	(2)
United Transport Service Employees: Dining car cooks and waiters	8	33, 765	15	14	14	14	2	
Maids and chair car attendants	1	4,780	-10 10	2 9	2 5	2 6	(1)	
Train coach, parlor, sleeping and club car porters.	7	22, 046			l		(-)	
Taproom attendants	1 17	1,815 65,638	(1)	(¹)   25	(1)	33	27	12
ants. The Order of Railroad Telegraphers:		,						
Telegraphers, towermen and agents	128	225, 740	99 1	99 8	99	99 1	99	98 2
Train dispatchers Telegraph and telephone linemen	5 6	2, 862 10, 621	5	7	2	5	5	4
Brotherhood of Railroad Signalmen of America:			}	1			1	
Signalmen Telegraph and telephone linemen	105 4	217, 833 2, 935	96 1	92 1	96 1	95 2	91 1	87
American Train Dispatchers Association:	[				l	ŀ		
Train dispatchersBoat dispatchers	113 2	214, 753 14, 892	95	91	94	93	80	78
Power dispatchers Railway Employees' Department, A. F.	2	2, 285	1	1	1	(2)		
of L.:	_				١.			
Supervisors of mechanics	7	13, 299 6, 202	6 3	6 2	6 2	10	3	
Molders Laundry workers and/or seamstresses_ Motorcar repairmen	1	8, 142 1, 195	(1)	(1)3	(1)	(2)		
Brotherhood of Maintenance of Way Em-		1, 155		()	'	"		
ployees: Maintenance of way employees		226, 422	99	99	93	94	94 3	92
Shop laborersStockyard employeesCoal pier operators	1	8, 873	4	3	4	2 4		
Coal pier operators Drawbridge operators	1 2	968 3, 392	(1)	(1)	(1)	(2)		
Foremen in electric traction department.	.[ 1	10, 118	(1)	(1)	(1)	(2)		
Crossing tenders	í	4,645	2	2	2	2		
Hump motorcar operators. Water service employees	1 1	5, 118 6, 968	3	2 3	2 3			
International Association of Machinists:	128	224, 653	99	99	99	94	87	81
International Brotherhood of Boilermakers,	1	224,000	98	99	99	87	01	01
Iron Ship Builders, and Helpers of America:								
Boilermakers International Brotherhood of Blacksmiths,	126	214, 150	94	95	95	94	87	76
Drop Forgers and Helpers:		010 020			000	000	0.	
Blacksmiths	124	219, 952	97	95	96	89	81	77
tion: Sheet metal workers	126	224, 404	99	99	99	94	87	76
Molders	. 3	8, 645	4	3	4	4		.]
Foundry employees Water service employees		10, 671 5, 646	5 2	2	5 1	5 4		<b></b>

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 8.—Number and mileage of principal carriers by railroad where employees are represented by various labor organizations, by crafts or classes, June 30, 1952—Continued

	sentatio	t of repre-	Percent of total mileage covered on June 30—					
Organization and craft or class	Num- ber of carriers	Mileage covered	1952	1951	1950	5-year period 1945-49	5-year period 1940-44 (aver- age)	period 1936-39
International Brotherhood of Electrical								
Workers: Electrical workers Telegraph and telephone linemen Signalmen Coal pier operators	4 2	213, 533 114, 376 2, 055 5, 529	94 50 1 2	94 44 (1) 2	94 48 (1) 3	93 40 1 3	87 33 1	
Coal dumper employees	_ 1	5, 779 10, 671	5	2 5	5	5		
Carmen International Brotherhood of Firemen, Oilers, Helpers, Roundhouse, and Railway Shop Laborers:	129	214, 871	95	96	95	94	87	78
Powerhouse employees and railway shop laborers.  Hotel and Restaurant Employees Internanational Alliance and Bartenders Union:	123	213, 610	94	95	95	94	87	71
Cooks and waiters Coach, sleeping car, parlor car and club	49	142, 117	63	57	62	65	71	58
car porters Hotel and restaurant employees Bartenders Maids and chair car attendants Platform vendor service employees	3	39, 048 38, 578 25, 938 571 6, 543	17 17 11 (¹)	15 11 10 (1) 3	18 14 11 (1) 3	15 5 10	9	
American Railway Supervisors Association: Yardmasters Supervisors of mechanics Wire chiefs Stationmasters	30	10, 892 101, 350 8, 075	5 45 4 4	4 40 3 3	5 35 4 4	5 31 4 4	4 17	46
Roadmasters Technical employees Subordinate officials in maintenance of way and structures department	6	8,075 11,328 22,591	5 10	9	11 9	3 2		
Foundry employees  Brotherhood of Sleeping Car Porters: Coach, sleeping car, parlor car, and club	. 1	35, 025 6, 195	15 3	10 3		6		10
car porters	. 3	99, 753 23, 563 13, 095	10 6	47 9 5	49 9 6	45 8 5	31   	
Railway patrolmen Utility Workers Organizing Committee:	37	98, 143	43	43	47	46	17	<b>-</b>
Machinists Boilermakers Powerhouse employees and railway shop	1	97 97	(1)	(1)	(1)	(2) (2)	(2) (2)	
laborers. Brotherhood of Railroad Shop Crafts of	. 1	97	(1)	(1)	(1)	(2)	(2)	<b>-</b>
America: Machinists Boilermakers. Blacksmiths				25		4	34	
Sheet metal workers Electrical workers Carmen			(1)	(1)	(1)	(2) (2) (2) (2)	3 7 2 4 3 4 2 4	
Powerhouse employees and railway shop laborers					4	4	3 4	<b>-</b>
American Federation of Technical Engineers: Technical engineers, architects, draftsmen and allied workers. International Union of Steam and Operat-	2	6, 357	3	3	3	3		
ing Engineers: Hoisting and portable engineers in stores department Hoisting engineers. Grain elevator employees.	1 3	1, 712 15, 454	(1)	(1)	(¹) 7	1 4 3		
International Longshoremen's Association; Wharf freight handlers. Grain elevator employees. Coal dumper employees. Coal pier operators.	2	172 1, 424 1, 632 5, 238	(1) (1) (1) (1) 2	2 2 (1)	(1) (1) (1)	(2) (2) (2) (2) (2) 2		

Table 8.—Number and mileage of principal carriers by railroad where employees are represented by various labor organizations, by crafts or classes, June 30, 1952—Continued

	sentatio	of repre- n on June 1952	Pe	rcent o		mileage ie 30—	covered	on
Organization and craft or class	Num- ber of carriers	Mileage covered	1952	1951	1950	period	5-year period 1940–44 (aver- age)	period
International Brotherhood of Teamsters, Chauffeurs, Warehousemen and Helpers:								
Chauffeurs, Warehousemen and Helpers: Bus and truck drivers.	1	8, 316	4	3	4	4		<del>-</del>
American Brotherhood of Railway Police: Patrolmen	1	6, 889	3	3	3	3		
Patrolmen United Railroad Workers of America, CIO: Boilermakers	1	10, 118	5		4	4		
Blacksmiths					<b>-</b>	4		
Electrical workersSheet metal workers	1	10, 118	5			4		
Powerhouse employees and railway						_		
shop laborers	1	10, 118 10, 118	5 5		4	4		
Maintenance of way employees					6			
Grain boat captains Coal dumper employees	1	10, 118 661	(1) <sup>5</sup>					
International Longshoremen and Ware-	_ [	• • •	(/					
housemen's Unions, CIO: Coal dumper employees				(1)	(1)	(2)		
Coal dumper employees  Amalgamated Association Street, Electric Railway and Motor Coach Employees of					, ,	.,		
America, AFL:							ĺ	
Bus and/or truck drivers	1	602	(1)	(1)	(1)	(2)		
Locomotive engineers						·	1	1
Locomotive firemen, helpers, and hos- tler helpers							1	1
Yardmasters	6	8, 536	4	3	4	5	6	6
Clerical, office, station and storehouse employees				<u>                                     </u>			1	5
Telegraphers, towermen and agents		6 5/2	3	3	3	(1)	6	<sub>11</sub>
Dispatchers Maintenance of way employees	1	6, 543	0		3		11 6	8
Machinists Boilermakers	3	1, 212 1, 375				(3)	11 12	19
Blacksmiths	4	5, 580	2	(1)	(1)	2	17	23 23
Sheet metal workersElectrical workers	3 2	1, 264 1, 056	(2)	(!)	(1)	(2)	11 11	22 23
Carmen	4	1, 375	(1)	(i)	(i)	î	ii	22
Powerhouse employees and railway shop laborers	1	163	(1)	(1)	(1)	(2)	10	22
Dining car stewards.	2	1,712	(1)	1	(1)	2	3	4
Cooks and waiters Coach, sleeping car, parlor car, and club	1	2, 413	1	(1)	(1)	1	9	15
car porters				<u></u> -			6	14
Supervisors of mechanics		48, 110 14, 396	21 6	17.	20	22	16	17
Stationmasters	3	10, 867	Š	ă	5	4		
Foundry employees Printer	1	6, 202	3	2	3	3 3		
Wire chief	Ī	211	(1)	(1)	(1)	(2)		
Coal dumper employees Technical engineers, architects, drafts-								<b></b>
men, and allied workers Nurses	7	13, 444 8, 142	6	6 3	6 4	6		
Drawbridge operators	ı	29	(1)	(1)	(1)			
Subordinate officials in maintenance of way and structures department	9	15, 761	7	7	8	8	4	۱ ۵
Foremen in electric traction department.	2	364	(1)	(1)	(1)	(8)		
Telephone and telegraph linemenLocal unions:	1	211	(1)	(1)	(1)			
Firemen and hostelers	2 3	1, 033	(1)	(1)	(1)	(2) (2)	1	_ 2
Brakemen, flagmen, and baggagemen Yard foremen, helpers and switch-	3	1,558	(1)	(1)	(4)	(2)	(2)	(3)
tenders	3	1, 558	(1)	(1)	(1)	(2)	(2)	(3)
Cooks and waiters	1	539	(1)	(1)	6	6	5	
	2	4,856	2	3	3	3	8	
car porters		1,421	(1)	(1)	(1)	(2)	1	
Supervisors of mechanics  Technical engineers, architects, drafts-	1	,		Ì	l	1	ŀ	
Supervisors of mechanics Technical engineers, architects, draftsmen, and allied workers	1	1,480	(1)		(1)	1 1		
Supervisors of mechanics Technical engineers, architects, drafts- men, and allied workers Wharf freight handlers Car riders		i	(1) 3	3	(1)	(3)		
Supervisors of mechanics	1	1,480	(1) 3 4	3	3	3		

<sup>1</sup> Less than 1 percent.
2 Less than 1/2 of 1 percent.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> For fiscal year ended June 30, 1944 only.

Table 8A shows comparable information for marine and related employees of the 29 rail carriers included in table 8 reporting employees in these groups. Since the rail mileage of these carriers bears no relation to their marine operation, it is omitted from this section of the table.

Table 8-A.—Representation of marine department and related miscellaneous groups of employees, by organization and crafts or classes, June 30, 1952

			, , , , , , , ,		
	Numl	er of rai	roads as of	June 30—	
1952	1951	1950	5-year period 1945–49 (aver- age)	5-year period 1940-44 (aver- age)	4-year period 1936–39 <sup>1</sup> (aver- age)
20	19	20	22	23	23
9					3
	,	,	*		
15	16	16	17	20	18
				2	1
				· 2	6
. 1	1	1	1	4	5
	<b>-</b>			2	4
.] 2	2	2	2	4	9
3					2 6 3 5
. 2	2	2	1	6	2 6
.  7					1
1 2	1 2	1 2	1	6	6
1		1	1		
1 1			1		
	1	1			
. 5	5		5		
. 3	3	3	3		
i	1	1	1		
١,	3	3	3		•
. 1	1	1	1	1	
1	1	1	1	1	
.] 2	1	1	1		
4		5			
. 5	6	6	5	J	
.					
1 1		1			
. 1					
	3	2	2	  - <b>-</b>	
	4	4	2		
. 1	1	1	1		
. 1	1	1	1		 
				1	
2 2	2 2	1	i		
_	_				
1	1	1	1		
	1952 20 9 5 15 11 12 21 11 15 53 31 11 11 11 22 14 45 5	Number 1952   1951   20   19   9   5   5   5   15   16   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1	Number of rail  1952	Number of railroads as of period 1945-49 (average)  20	Number of railroads as of June 30—   1952

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 8-A.—Representation of marine department and related miscelluneous groups of employees, by organization and crafts or classes, June 30, 1952—Continued

	Number of railroads as of June 30—									
Organization and eraft or class	1952	1951	1950	5-year period 1945–49 (aver- age)	5-year., period 1940–44 (aver- age)	4-year, period 1936–39 t (aver- age)				
Great Lakes Licensed Officers' Organization: Licensed deck. Licensed engine. Hotel and Restaurant Employees and Bartenders International Alliance: Marine chefs,	2 3									
cooks, and waitersSystem associations:	1	1	1	1						
Licensed deck	1	1	1	1	2	3				
Licensed engine	1	1	1	1	2	6				
Unlicensed deck		1	1	1	1	1				
Unlicensed engine		2	2	2	[ 1	[ 2				
Coal-dumper employees					1					
Local Unions:										
Licensed deck					4 2	ļ				
Licensed engine	2	3	3	3	46					
Unlicensed engine		3	3	3	49					
Marine cooks and stewards		1	1	1	12	{				
warme cooks and stewards		1	1	1	1 12					

Figures not available for fiscal year ended June 30, 1935.
 For fiscal years ended June 30, 1938, and 1939, only.
 For fiscal years ended June 30, 1937, 1938, and 1939, only.
 For fiscal year ended June 30, 1944, only.

#### IV. MEDIATION DISPUTES

During the fiscal year 1952, the total number of mediation cases disposed of was 273 or an increase of 4 cases over the previous year. A total of 289 mediation cases were docketed during the year 1952, or an increase of 5 cases over the number docketed in the fiscal year 1951. The 269 cases docketed during the fiscal year compared with the previous years and the 5-year average 1945 to 1949 indicates a stabilization of the number of mediation disputes docketed over a period of the last 8 years.

As of June 30, 1952, there were 133 mediation cases remaining open and unsettled on the Board's docket, as compared with 117 on this date at the end of the previous fiscal year. Of these 133 cases, 94

were with railroad carriers and 39 with air carriers.

#### 1. MEDIATION AND ARBITRATION AGREEMENTS

As previously stated in chapter II of this report, a grand total of 200 mediation cases were settled and disposed of by the execution of mediation agreements, arbitration agreements, and withdrawals made by the parties either during or after mediation proceedings. These four methods of disposition accounted for 73 percent of the 273 mediation cases closed during the fiscal year. A total of 6 docketed mediation cases were referred to emergency boards created under section 10 of the Railway Labor Act during 1952, after arbitration had been declined by one or both parties, and strike dates were set which threatened serious interruption to interstate commerce.

During the present Board's life of 18 years, since the passage of the 1934 amendments to the act, mediation agreements have accounted for 53 percent of the total number of mediation cases disposed of. This percentage during the fiscal year 1952 was 53.4 or a decrease

of 0.5 percent from the previous fiscal year.

Since commencement of the Board's operation in 1934, changes in working agreement rules and requested increases in rates of pay have been the two principal subjects of mediation cases handled by the The negotiation of initial working agree-Board and its field staff. ments is now almost at an end in the railroad industry, as the result of practically complete representation having been established by various labor organizations since the passage of the 1934 amendments. During the past several years, the number of complete revisions of individual working agreements on the rail carriers has greatly diminished, since the trend now is toward major rules revisions through the medium of national wage and rules movements. As mentioned later, this situation does not yet exist on the air carriers. shows the division of mediation cases handled and disposed of among the four principal categories into which mediation cases are roughly divided.

Table 9.—Issues involved in cases disposed of by mediation agreements, fiscal years 1935-52

Issues involved	18-year period, 1935-52	1952	1951	1950	Average 5-year period, 1945-49	Average 5-year period, 1940-44	Average 5-year period, 1935–39
Total, all cases	2, 091	146	145	129	164	117	54
Negotiation of new agreements, etc	234 697 1, 031 129	1 63 73 9	12 62 57 14	9 29 71 20	16 45 95 8	15 50 46 6	12 14 25 3

During the fiscal year 1952, arbitration agreements were executed disposing of 6 docketed cases.

## 2. OTHER DISPOSITION OF MEDIATION CASES

In addition to the 187 mediation cases settled by mediation and arbitration agreements and withdrawals, 86 additional mediation cases were disposed of by other methods. Of this number, 45 were closed after one or both parties had declined to submit the dispute to arbitration. Thirteen other cases were withdrawn by the parties prior to mediation. Twenty-eight cases were dismissed by Board action.

Of the 45 instances in which proffers of arbitration were declined, this action was taken by the carriers in 33 cases and by the employees in 7. Five cases were closed in this manner after arbitration had been declined by both parties to the dispute.

## 3. AIRLINE MEDIATION CASES

During the fiscal year 1952, the Board handled and disposed of a total of 72 cases involving the commercial airlines and various groups of their employees. This figure is an increase of 6 cases over the total of 66 airline cases settled during the previous fiscal year. It also represents 26 percent of the total of 273 mediation cases disposed of during the year. The commercial airlines employ only about 6 percent of the total number of persons coming under the jurisdiction of the Railway Labor Act.

As mentioned in our previous reports, an important reason for the large amount of time spent in handling airline mediation cases is the prevailing practice of making agreements for a period of 1 year, and continuing thereafter unchanged from year to year unless either side presents a change within á 30-day period prior to the anniversary date of the agreement. This practice is in contrast with the usual method on rail carriers of making agreements subject to reopening on 30 days' notice. While the practice on the airlines provides a short period of rate and rule stability, it also results in the carriers receiving yearly demands for wage increases and many rules changes. These general schedule revision disputes often come to the Board for mediation with a great many issues unresolved, which has resulted in protracted mediation being required in many instances.

## V. ARBITRATION AND EMERGENCY BOARDS

### 1. ARBITRATION BOARDS

In disputes where the National Mediation Board or its representatives are unable to effect a settlement through mediation, the Board's next duty under the Railway Labor Act is to use its best efforts to induce the parties to submit their controversies to arbitration under the provisions of section 7 of the act. While there is no compulsion on either party to agree to arbitrate, the Mediation Board emphasizes the spirit and intent of the law to settle disputes peaceably. The Board does not consider the proffer of arbitration as a perfunctory action, and its efforts to induce the parties to submit their differences to arbitration are equally as intensive as those made in attempting to secure settlement by mediation. Arbitration under the act has the additional advantage of providing a definite and legally enforceable decision under which both parties to a dispute may operate in the

There were 14 arbitration agreements entered into during the current fiscal year, 11 of which were from cases that were handled in mediation and 3 arbitration agreements otherwise entered into between the parties. Summarized below are 11 arbitration cases disposed of during this year.

Case A-3521, Arb. 153.—Pennsylvania Railroad and Brotherhood of Locomotive Engineers, Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen and Enginemen, Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen and Order of Railroad Telegraphers

Members of the Arbitration Board were Mr. Winfield G. Salmonson, representing the carrier, Mr. C. H. Keenen representing the organizations and Mr. David L. Cole, Paterson, N. J., who was selected as the neutral arbitrator by the National Mediation Board. Mr. Cole was designated as chairman.

Hearings were held in Atlantic City, N. J., beginning August 15, 1951, and concluded on November 7, 1951, consuming 52 days. The question submitted for decision was whether train and engine service employees will be required or permitted to use telephone in connection with train movements or transmit or receive by telephone or telegraph, train orders, clearances, messages or reports of record, or to block or report trains except in cases of emergency where life or property are in jeopardy.

The award was rendered December 12, 1951, the employees' representative dissenting, and provided that, except in emergencies, train and engine service employees shall not be required to copy train orders at points where, and during the hours when, block or telegraph or telephone operators are scheduled to be on duty, or at block stations which have been closed or abolished since May 1, 1938, or at block limit stations which have been established since May 1,

1938, or which may hereafter be established.

Case A-3632, Arb. 155.—Northwest Airlines, Inc., and Air Line Communication Employees Association, Unaffiliated

Members of the Arbitration Board were Mr. Fred J. Wilt, representing the carrier, Mr. Mil Senior, representing the organization, and Mr. Aaron Horvitz of New York City was selected as the neutral arbitrator by the National Mediation Board. Mr Horvitz was selected as chairman.

Hearings were held in St. Paul, Minn., on September 19, 20 and 21, 1951. The issues submitted to the Board for discussion were as follows:

(1) Shall Article XVIII, Compensation, as contained in the current agreement dated September 12, 1949, between the parties, be revised, if so, to what extent.

(2) Shall Article XVII of the current agreement dated September 12, 1949, between the parties, be revised to include shift premiums,

if so, to what extent.

The award was rendered on October 20, 1951, the carrier member dissenting, and provided for retroactive increases in monthly rates of compensation in varying amounts for different occupational classifications from a minimum of \$20 to a maximum of \$35 in addition thereto the award provided for shift premiums of 5 and 10 cents per hour.

Case A-3643, Arr. 156.—Railroads represented by Eastern, Western and Southeastern Carrier's Conference Committees and American Train Dispatchers Association

Members of the Arbitration Board were Mr. C. H. Buford, representing the conference committees, Mr. J. B. Springer, representing the organization, and Judge Frank P. Douglass, of Pine, Colo., selected by the party arbitrators as the third member and designated as chairman.

Hearings were held in Washington, D. C., commencing July 23, 1951, and concluded on August 3, 1951. The award was rendered on August 13, 1951. The specific questions submitted for arbitration were (a) request of the employees to increase existing rates of pay by \$50 per month effective December 6, 1950, and (b) request of the employees for additional paid vacations over those granted under existing agreements. The award provided for an increase of \$35.76 in the existing basic monthly rates of pay and a cost of living adjustment based on an index of 178 and adjusted quarterly \$2 per month for each one point change in the BLS Consumers price index, both awards to be retroactive to February 1, 1951. The request for additional paid vacations was denied.

Arb. 157.—The Cuyahoga Valley R. R. Co. and Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen Members of the Arbitration Board were Mr. H. R. Richardson, representing the carrier, Mr. Earl B. Welcome, representing the organization and Mr. Frank M. Swacker of New York City, selected by the party arbitrators as the third arbitrator. Mr. Swacker was designated as Chairman.

Hearings were held in Cleveland, Ohio, July 23 to 26, 1951. The questions submitted for arbitration involved seven time claims. On July 26, 1951, the questions set out in the fourth section of the arbitration agreement of July 11, 1951, were disposed of by mutual agreement between the parties, thus, no award was rendered by the Board.

Case A-3297, Arb. 158.—Houston Belt & Terminal Ry. Co. and Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen

Members of the Arbitration Board were Mr. H. M. Lawler, representing the carrier, Mr. R. P. Stevens, representing the Organization and Mr. F. M. Swacker, of New York City, Neutral Member, who was selected by the parties and designated as chairman.

Hearings were conducted in Houston, Tex., on October 29, November 1 and 2, 1951, and the award was rendered on November 5, 1951, unanimously.

The questions submitted for arbitration were:

(1) Claim of Houston Belt & Terminal yardmen that movements of Fort Worth & Denver City-Rock Island (B-R-I) freight engines

between McKinney Avenue, and/or Melby Street Roundhouse and trains in New South Yard at beginning and ending of trip should be in charge of an Houston Belt & Terminal yardman pilot-herder.

(2) Claim of Houston Belt & Terminal yardmen that movements of G. C. & S. F. freight engines between McKinney Avenue and/or New South Yard or in turning on the Wye near the Union Depot should be in charge of an Houston Belt & Terminal yardman pilot-herder.

(3) Request that cabooses, properly supplied and equipped, will be furnished the yardmen in the consolidated terminal facilities on runs

of four miles or more in one direction.

The Board rendered an award as follows:

(1) Claim denied on basis not a violation of existing agreement.

(2) Claim denied on basis there is no violation of the Belt trainmen's agreement.

(3) Claim sustained, to be made effective in 90 days or in a reasonable time thereafter.

Arb. 159.—Boston & Maine R. R. Co. and Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen

Members of the Arbitration Board were Mr. Frank Aldrich, representing the organization; Mr. Frank Reynolds, representing the carrier, and Judge Curtis G. Shake of Vincennes, Indiana, who was named by the National Mediation Board as the third arbitrator. Judge Shake was selected as chairman.

Hearings were held in Boston, Mass., from November 26 to 29, 1951, inclusive, and the award was dated December 17, 1951. Mr. Frank Aldrich, member, representing the organization, did not sign the award. The question submitted for arbitration consisted of 45 cases involving time claims. The award denied 40 cases and sustained 5 cases.

Case A-3770, Arb. 161.—South Buffalo Ry. Co. and Brotherhood of Railroad Train-

Members of the Arbitration Board were Mr. R. F. Handwerk, representing the carrier; Mr. Frank Aldrich, representing the organization, and Judge Frank P. Douglass of Pine, Colo., appointed by the National Mediation Board as the third arbitrator, who was selected as chairman.

Hearings were held in Buffalo, N. Y., beginning October 16, 1951. The award was made on October 29, 1951.

The subjects submitted to arbitration were seven time claims and

one discipline case in which two employees were involved.

The award provided for the denial of the seven time claims and partially sustained the grievance case of one employee and denied the The award was not signed by Mr. Frank Aldrich, representing the organization.

Case A-3734, Arb. 162.—National Airlines, Inc., and International Association of Machinists, Air Transport Division, District 145

The specific issues to be submitted to the Board for decision as stated in Arbitration Agreement dated September 27, 1951, were:
(1) Shall the scale of the radio and teletype operators be increased; if so, in

what amounts?

(2) What shall be the effective date and duration of the award?

The issues were disposed by mutual agreement, dated October 29 1951, between the parties prior to completing the appointment of a Thus the Board did not convene. Board.

Case A-3802, Arb. 163.—The Aliquippa & Southern R. R. Co. and the Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen

Members of the Arbitration Board were Mr. H. E. Nevala, representing the organization; Mr. Andrew P. Martin, representing the carrier; and Judge Edward M. Sharpe of Lansing, Mich., the third arbitrator, was appointed by the National Mediation Board, and was selected as chairman. Hearings were held by the Board in Pittsburgh, Pa., on February 18, 1952, and were adjourned until February 21, 1952, when it reconvened and continued in session to and including February 25, 1952, the date the award was made unanimously.

The question submitted for arbitration is as follows:

Is the carrier prevented under the current agreement, Article 1, Section (B) from deducting any portion of ore tonnage which is used in making computations under the Bonus Plan?

The award provided that the carrier is not prevented from deducting any portion of ore tonnage in determining the tonnage which is used in making computations under the Bonus Plan.

Case A-3733, Arb. 164.—Eastern Air Lines, Inc., and Flight Engineers International Association (EAL Chapter).

Members of the Arbitration Board were Mr. Bernard Cushman, representing the organization; Mr. F. A. Stone, representing the carrier; and Judge Frank P. Douglass, of Pine, Colo., third arbitrator, appointed by the National Mediation Board, who was selected as chairman.

Hearings were held in Miami, Fla., commencing on March 3, 1952. The award was rendered on April 15, 1952. Mr. Cushman, organization representative, did not sign the award. The specific question submitted to the Board for decision was:

Shall the existing rates of compensation for the Flight Engineers be changed, and if so, in what respect and to what extent?

The award provided for the conversion from a straight monthly salary basis, under which flight engineers have been compensated since they became members of the flight crew, to a formula patterned after the increment method of payment now in vogue in agreements for pilots. This formula, which was intended to maintain the historic differential in pay between captains and flight engineers, contains the following factors:

1. Base pay, patterned after the captains' formula, containing increment steps to and including the eighth year of service.

2. Hourly flight pay, commencing during the third year of service, and payable

thereafter, with a differential for night flying.

3. Gross weight pay, commencing in the third year of service, of one-half cent for each 1,000 pounds of the maximum certificated gross weight of the aircraft for each hour flown.

4. Mileage pay, commencing in the third year of service, of one-half cent for each mile flown per month, based upon a pegged speed per hour of the aircraft

flown.

5. Foreign and overseas pay of 45 cents per hour for each hour flown in such

operations, whether day or night flying.

6. Minimum monthly guarantee during third year of service and thereafter of \$485 per month.

The award was made retroactive to November 1, 1951.

Case A-3852, Arb. 165.—Pan American World Airways, Inc., and Air Line Pilots Association, International

This arbitration resulted from the acquisition by Pan American of the routes, equipment, and personnel of American Overseas Airlines under an order of the Civil Aeronautics Board, dated July 10, 1950. A dispute arose between the two groups of pilots regarding the creation of an integrated seniority list. On November 27, 1951, the CAB issued an order providing that the seniority lists of the two groups of pilots be integrated, giving the Ex-AOA pilots credit for service with AOA, American Export Airlines, and other predecessor companies. This was objected to by the Pan American pilots, resulting in this arbitration of the seniority dispute.

Members of the Arbitration Board were Mr. Emery J. Martin, representing the carrier, Mr. Frank W. Saul, representing the organization, and Mr. David L. Cole of Paterson, N. J., who was appointed by the Board as the third arbitrator, and was selected as chairman.

Hearings were held in New York City from March 24 through April 16, 1952, and the award was dated May 1, 1952, the organization representative dissenting.

The specific question to be submitted to the Board is:

To write a composite seniority list of veteran PAA pilots and ex-AOA pilots who were in the employ of Pan American World Airways, Inc., on September 25, 1950 (including persons on leave and on furlough); upon which composite list shall be shown and determined the proper position of each ex-AOA pilots; giving each of such ex-AOA pilots a reference date consistent with the position he shall have been assigned by the Arbitration Board on the above composite list.

As its award the Board wrote a composite seniority list for pilots showing the proper position of each ex-AOA pilot and each veteran PAA pilot to be effective January 11, 1952. In arriving at the consolidated list, the majority of the Arbitration Board prepared two complete integrated lists, one based on straight length of service with Pan American and AOA-American Export, and the other based on a "ratio by category" formula, which was designed primarily to carry the relative seniority status of Ex-AOA pilots on their former AOA roster to the integrated seniority list including Pan American pilots. The difference between the position of each pilot on the two lists was On the theory that both length of service and status should have weight, but length of service the greater, the majority determined one-third of the difference between the seniority numbers of each pilot on the two lists, then, when the length-of-service number was the larger, subtracted from it one-third, producing the new seniority number for the integrated list. Where the length-of-service number was lower than the "ratio by category" number, the one-third was added to the length-of-service number. The resulting numbers thus reflected the influence of both lists.

Under the provisions of the fifth paragraph of the arbitration agreement, since a retroactive date was given by the award to the composite seniority list, a further hearing was held before the neutral arbitrator on May 15, 1952, and a supplemental award was made by him on May 24, 1952, determining the personnel actions to be taken to make effective the provisions of the award of May 1, 1952. This action was objected to by the attorney for the veteran Pan American pilots.

#### 2. EMERGENCY BOARDS—SECTION 10. RAILWAY LABOR ACT

Under the terms of section 10 of the Railway Labor Act, if a dispute between a carrier and its employees be not adjusted through mediation or the other procedures prescribed by the act, and should a situation arise which, in the judgment of the National Mediation Board, threatens to interrupt interstate commerce to a degree such as to deprive any section of the country of essential transportation service, the Board shall notify the President who may, thereupon, in his discretion, create an emergency board to investigate and report to him respecting such dispute.

After the creation of such board, and for 30 days after its report is made to the President, no change, except by agreement, shall be made by the parties to the controversy in the conditions out of which

the dispute arose.

The President created six such emergency boards during the fiscal year ended June 30, 1952. Reports made by emergency boards during the fiscal year are summarized below:

Case No. A-3563, Emergency Board No. 95. Brotherhood of Locomotive Engineers and the Denver & Rio Granae Western R. R. Co., including the Denver & Salt Lake R. R. Co.

The emergency board created under the President's Executive order dated September 6, 1951, was composed of Honorable Frank P. Douglass of Pine, Colo., as chairman, Hon. Robert G. Simmons, Chief Justice, Nebraska Supreme Court, and Mr. Frank M. Swacker, attorney, New York City. Hearings were held in Denver, Colo., beginning September 10, 1951.

The dispute involved (1) mileage limitations, (2) promotion rules to be incorporated in the schedule of working conditions in the course

of preparation between the parties.

The report to the President on September 19, 1951, recommended that the parties agree upon mileage limitations comformable with the standard ones in effect on most carriers, and that the promotion rule remain unchanged.

Case No. A-3637, Emergency Board No. 96.—Order of Railway Conductors and the Pullman Co.

The emergency board created under the President's Executive order dated September 6, 1951, was composed of Mr. Carroll R. Daugherty, professor of economics, Northwestern University, Evanston, Ill., as chairman; Mr. Andrew Jackson, attorney of New York City, and Mr. Robert Cheney, labor relations consultant of San Diego, Calif. Hearings were held in Chicago, Ill., beginning on September 10, 1951.

The dispute involved a request that all rates per month now appearing in rule 1 (a) of the agreement, effective September 1, 1945, revised effective January 1, 1948, be increased \$90 per month, effective January 8, 1951, rates per hour to be correspondingly increased.

The report to the President on October 3, 1951, recommended an in-

crease of \$37.95 per month, as offered by the company.

During the course of mediation and in the proceedings before the Emergency Board, the issues were expanded by the carrier, the most important one being request for a moratorium on future proposals for changes in rates of pay, rules, and working conditions until October 1, 1953. The recommendation of the Emergency Board on this issue was that such a moratorium should be contained in the agreement between the parties. In addition, the Board recommended the adoption of provision for an "improvement factor" similar to that contained in the national agreement between the carriers and the Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen.

Case No. (None), Emergency Board No. 97.—Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen and Enginemen and the Eastern, Western and Southeastern Carriers' Conference Committees

The emergency board created under the President's Executive order, dated November 6, 1951, was composed of Mr. Carroll R. Daugherty, professor of economics, Northwestern University, Evanston, Ill., as chairman; Mr. Andrew Jackson, attorney of New York City, and Mr. George Cheney, labor relations consultant of San Diego, Calif. Hearings were held in Washington, D. C., on November 27, 1951. Following an opening statement, counsel for the Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen & Enginemen announced: "We do not plan to be present further in the proceedings you have been appointed to conduct." Thereupon the organization representatives left the hearing rooms and remained away during the entire proceedings. The carrier representatives presented their case. The public héaring extended from November 27 through December 17, 1951. The President extended the time for the Board to prepare and file its report to December 26, 1951, and later a further extension to January 30, 1952.

The dispute involved (1) for roadmen, a request of the organization for an increase in basic wages of 18.5 cents per hour plus cost-of-living increase under an escalator agreement which would give an additional increase of 1 cent per hour as of July 1, 1951; (2) for men in yard service, the organization requested a 40-hour, 5-day workweek, at the option of the employees upon 60 days' notice at any time after July 1, 1951. At the time of conversion, the take-home pay for 6 days to be maintained; in other words, an increase in basic wage rates of 20 percent at the time of going on the 40-hour week. In addition, the employees demanded a basic wage increase of 19.5 cents per hour, plus an increase of 6 cents per hour under the escalation agreement in effect with the nonoperating employees, as of April 1, 1951. The total wage demands, including the 20 percent conversion cost, would amount to about 57.5 cents per hour.

The report to the President on January 25, 1952, recommended that the parties conclude an agreement incorporating the carriers' offer for road-operating employees as follows: 12.5 cents per hour in basic rates, plus 7 cents per hour under an escalation agreement, based on price index of 178.0; or a total of 19½ cents per hour; for yard-service employees the Board recommended that the organizations conclude an agreement with the carrier incorporating the offer of the carrier as follows: Basic rate increase of 23 cents per hour effective October 1, 1950, 2 cents per hour effective January 1, 1951, and 2 cents per hour effective March 1, 1951, total 27 cents, plus an escalator agreement producing an additional 7 cents per hour, all increases totaling 34 cents per hour. In addition, 4 cents per hour increase, independent of all others, to be made effective at the time the 5-day workweek is adopted for men in road service.

The Board also made certain recommendations in connection with the following rules changes requested by the carriers:

(1) More than one class of service.

(2) Designation of switching limits.

(3) Interdivisional runs.

(4) Reporting for duty in road service.

(5) Moratorium on wage and rules changes.

The report of the Emergency Board was rejected by the organization.

Case No. A-3744, Emergency Board No. 98.—Seventeen Cooperating (Nonoperative) Railway Labor Organizations and some 390 carriers, most of them combined for representation by Eastern, Western and Southeastern Carriers, Conference Committees

The emergency board created under the President's Executive order dated November 15, 1951, was composed of Mr. David L. Cole, labor consultant, Paterson, N. J., as chairman; Mr. Aaron Horvitz, attorney, New York City, and Mr. Geo. E. Osborne, professor of law, Stanford University, Palo Alto, Calif. Hearings were held in Washington, D. C., from December 11 to 17, 1951, and January 8 to 29, 1952.

The dispute involved a request by the unions for a union shop and check-off agreement.

The report to the President on February 14, 1952, recommended that:

(1) The parties enter into a Joint National Agreement, through their duly designated representatives in accordance with the usual custom, providing for a union-shop agreement as proposed by the organizations in their notices of February 5, 1951, to the several carriers, parties to this dispute, in the form substantially as used in the union-shop agreements with the New York Central System Lines, with certain exceptions;

(2) The afore-mentioned Joint National Agreement to provide for the deduction of dues, initiation fees, and assessments and that the details be worked out in substantially the same manner as is provided for in the agreement of August 3, 1951, between the New York Central Railroad System Lines and these organizations, with certain modifications.

Among the exceptions recommended by the Emergency Board were:

(1) That all positions not represented by the organization, all fully excepted positions, and all positions covered in the scope rule only in a nominal or token manner, be covered by a union shop

agreement;

....

(2) Provide that no claims against the carrier shall arise or begin to accrue in favor of a discharged employee or any other employee or the union prior to a final determination of the dispute, such time to include the time during which action against the carrier is stayed by any court.

Case No. A-3827, Emergency Board No. 99.—Transport Workers Union of America, CIO, and Pan American World Airways, Inc.

The emergency board created under the President's Executive order dated December 17, 1951, was composed of Mr. Curtis G. Shake, attorney, Vincennes, Ind., chairman; Mr. Walter Gilkyson, arbitrator, New Haven, Conn., and William G. Grady, attorney, New York City. Hearings were held in New York City beginning January 15, 1952. The report to the President was issued February 16, 1952.

The dispute involved seven major issues in rules changes as submitted by the union and six issues of major importance submitted by the carrier. The dispute covered three categories of employees, namely, airline mechanics, flight-service personnel, and port stewards. The issues included requests for rules changes and wage adjustments.

The report to the President on February 16, 1952, made recommendations for settlement of the changes proposed by the union and the carrier. The recommendations regarding rules changes are involved and voluminous, and will not be reproduced here. The rate changes recommended are as follows:

Inspectors, 10 cents per hour.
Master mechanics, 12 cents per hour.
Mechanics, first-class, 13 cents per hour.
Mechanics, 14 cents per hour.
Mechanic's helpers, 15 cents per hour.
Ground-service personnel, 15 cents per hour.
Flight-service personnel, \$16 per month.

The rates recommended were to be retroactive to December 1, 1951. The Board further recommended that the rules contract be of 2 years' duration, with either an escalator clause on wages subject to the cost-of-living index, or a provision that the wage schedules can be reopened at the expiration of 1 year from the date of execution of the agreement.

Case No. A-3566, Emergency Board No. 100.—International Association of Machinists and Northwest Airlines, Inc.

An emergency board was authorized under the President's Executive order dated January 4, 1952, and provided that the Board shall report its findings within 30 days of this order. Subsequent to the date of the order, the parties entered into direct negotiations on the issues in dispute and jointly requested an extension of time to permit them to endeavor to reach an agreement prior to creating an emergency board. The President extended the time limits three times, the last date being to May 4, 1952.

On April 24, 1952, the parties entered into an agreement disposing of all points of issue; therefore, the members of the emergency board

were not appointed by the President.

## VI. WAGE AND RULE AGREEMENTS

The Railway Labor Act places upon both the carriers and their employees the duty of exerting every reasonable effort to make and maintain agreements governing rates of pay, rules, and working conditions. The number of such agreements in existence indicates the wide extent to which this policy of the act has become effective on both rail and air carriers.

# 1. AGREEMENTS COVERING RATES OF PAY, RULES, AND WORKING CONDITIONS

Under section 5, Third (e), all carriers subject to the Railway Labor Act are required to file with the National Mediation Board copies of all their agreements with employee representatives governing rates of pay, rules, and working conditions. As of June 30, 1952, there was on file with this Board a total of 5,118 such agreements, or an increase of 16 new agreements received during the year. Of this increase, 10 new agreements covered airline employees and the remainder are applicable to railroads or miscellaneous employees. Table 10 shows for the 18-year period, 1935–52, the number of agreements filed with the Board, subdivided by classes of carriers, and by types of labor organizations.

In addition to the formal agreements recorded in table 10, the Board also receives each year many supplemental agreements and amendments to existing agreements. During the fiscal year ended June 30, 1952, a total of 1,068 such revisions and supplements were filed with the Board. Of this total 151 were revised or amended agreements. One of the supplemental agreements received during the year provided for the transfer of existing agreements from one organization to another, after change in representation. Adding the 1,068 revised and supplemental agreements to the 16 new basic agreements produces a total of 1,084 agreements of all types received in

the Board's office during the fiscal year 1952.

Table 10.—Number of labor agreements on file with the National Mediation Board according to type of labor organizations, by class of carriers, fiscal years 1935-52

Types of labor organizations and fiscal years	All carriers	Class I	Class II	Class III	Switch- ing and termi- nal	Electric	Express and Pull- man	Miscel- laneous carriers	Airline carriers
All organizations: 1952	5, 118 5, 102 5, 092 5, 060 5, 002 4, 937 4, 833 4, 665 4, 563 4, 466 4, 300 4, 202 4, 193 4, 095 3, 836 3, 485 3, 485 3, 021	3, 102 3, 094 3, 084 3, 084 3, 008 3, 002 2, 913 2, 858 2, 807 2, 768 2, 768 2, 678 2, 678 2, 678 2, 688 2, 897 2, 789 2, 688 2,	638 638 638 636 634 629 627 623 618 614 605 591 582 573 548 471 451 319	115 114 114 113 112 112 112 112 107 104 102 101 98 98 98	752 750 749 747 743 735 724 705 697 672 646 627 603 578 541 501 464	160 160 159 149 159 158 153 150 143 135 129 121 108 98 77 47	13 13 13 13 13 13 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 5 5	84 84 84 83 81 178 68 68 68 46 40 39 38 37 37	254 244 241 224 191 168 139 98 97 77 71 59 44 434
National organizations: 1952	4, 378 4, 324 4, 227 4, 070 3, 981 3, 897 3, 834 3, 761 3, 672 3, 570 3, 372	2, 782 2, 779 2, 774 2, 764 2, 748 2, 748 2, 688 2, 690 2, 550 2, 507 2, 456 2, 421 2, 367 2, 258 2, 180 4,	547 547 547 546 544 539 537 533 528 525 519 508 501 492 467 389 370 265	98 97 97 97 96 96 96 96 98 96 98 88 86 86 86 86	516 491 451 414 384	133 133 132 132 131 126 123 116 108 105 99 81 66 36 15	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 5 6 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 5 5	69 69 69 67 65 56 47 39 38 33 32 31 31	192 182 179 164 135 117 92 55 41 40 39 34 42 20 14 8 8 2
tions: 1952 1951 1950 1950 1949 1948 1947 1946 1945 1944 1942 1941 1940 1939 1938 1937 1936 1935 Local unions:	539 539 537 537 534 528 524 515 503 490 479 462	266 265 265 261 253 253 247 247 262 380 418 487	89 89 88 88 88 88 88 88 87 87 87 97 97 98 81 81	155 156 157 158 158 158 158 158 158 168 168 168 168 168 168 168 168 168 16	79 79 79 79 79 79 79 79 79 79 79 79 79 7	23 23 23 23 23 23 23 24 25 25 26 26 27 27 28 28 29 20 20 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21	3 2	- 9 9 8	
Local unions:  1952	93 93 91 96 85 86 77 77 66 66 111	54 54 54 54 50 62 62 63 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64	2 2 2 2 1		2	33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33		1 1 1	12

## 2. CLASSES OF EMPLOYEES COVERED BY AGREEMENTS

Table 11 shows the extent of coverage by collective-bargaining agreements for the various crafts or classes of employees on the principal rail carriers of the United States. The data in this table summarizes the detailed information for the individual carriers shown in table 12A, and indicate the scope of representation by the various national labor organizations.

Table 11.—Number of agreements between 136 \(^1\) carriers and their employees by crafts or classes of employees, according to types of labor organizations holding the agreements, June 30, 1952

·	Number	of agreeme	ents held	No	Number of carriers employ-
Craft or class of employees	National labor organiza- tions	System associa- tions	Local unions	organiza- tion	ing no person- nel in craft or class
Engineers Firemen and hostlers Conductors Brakemen, flagmen and baggagemen	136 135 133 133 129 127 125 123 132 130 129 110 129 120 50 63 28 28 28	4 3 4 4 3 2 4 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 2	1 3 3 3	1 17 22 1 3 5 5 5 5 1 5 7 7 8 8 4 4 8 8 1 1 2 2 3 3 3	1 4 6 1 3 4 4 5 5 5 5 1 1 2 20 7 7 80 65 107 107 106

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See table 12.

#### 3. AGREEMENTS ON PRINCIPAL CARRIERS

Tables 12A and 12B present a summary of the collective-bargaining agreements in effect as of June 30, 1952, on carriers subject to the Railway Labor Act. It will be noted that table 12A is devoted to agreements on class I railroads while table 12B summarizes agreements in effect on the Pullman Co. and the Railway Express Agency, Inc. Similar information respecting labor agreements on the major scheduled airlines subject to the Railway Labor Act is presented in table 12C.

Opposite the name of each carrier shown in the tables is given the initials of the name of the organizations holding the agreement for each craft or class of employees. National organizations are shown by the initials of their names, local unions by the designation "LU" and system associations by the letters "SA." The tables carry all current agreements for the carriers named which are on file with the Board with effective dates not later than June 30, 1952.

#### FOOTNOTES TO TABLES 12A AND 12B

- Train, coach, parlor-, sleeping-, and club-car porters.
   Unlicensed deck personnel.
   Unlicensed engine personnel.
   Marine cooks and stewards.

- System agreement.

  Hotel and restaurant employees.
- 7 Mechanical department foremen and/or supervisors of mechanics.
- 8 Molders.
- Ore-dock workers.
- Printers.
  Wire chiefs
- 12 Wharf freight handlers.
- Taproom attendants.
  Coal-dumper employees. 15 Longshoremen.
- 16 Redcaps, ushers, and station attendants.
- 17 Roadmasters.

- Nurses.
   Float watchmen, bridgemen, and bridge operators.
   Not an operating class I carrier but included to show extent of system agreements
- <sup>22</sup> Technical engineers, architects, draftsmen, and allied workers. 23 Hoisting engineers.

- 24 Bricklayers. 25 Grain-elevator employees.

- 26 Grand-elevator employees.
  27 Foundry employees.
  28 Formerly class I but now class II carrier.
  29 Formen only.
  30 Powerhouse employees only.

- 31 Shop laborers.

- 32 Hump motorcar operators.
  32 Crossing tenders.
  34 Motorcar operators.
  35 Police department employees.
- 36 Firemen only.
- 87 Hostlers.
- Notices.
   Telephone and telegraph linemen.
   Substation operators.
   Lighter captains.

- 41 Stockyard employees.
- 42 Cooks only. 43 Waiters only.
- 44 Coal-pier operators.
  45 Water service employees.
- 46 Pursers and assistants. 47 Bartenders.
- 48 Laundry workers and seamstresses.
- 49 Gatemen.
- 50 Drawbridge operators.
  51 Coal-pier foremen.
  52 Car riders.

- 5,3 Foremen in electric traction department.
- 4 Purser-radio operators.

- Marine shop employees.
   Maids and chair-car attendants.
   Hoisting and portable engineers in stores department.
   Parlor- and sleeping-car conductors.
   Coal representation.

- © Coal cranemen.

  Subordinate officials in maintenance-of-way and structures department.

- 2 Platform vendor-service employees.
  3 Power dispatchers.
  4 Boat dispatchers (including captains).
  Motorcar repairmen.
- 66 Porter brakemen.
- 67 Marine chefs, cooks, and waiters. 68 Baggagemen not included.
- 69 Portmaster
- 70 Watch engineers, stokermen, and assistant stokermen in maintenance-of-way and structures department.
- Grain-boat captains.
   Hostesses.
- 73 Timber-treating-plant employees.

			Di l		Brakemen,	Yard foremen,	371	Marke	Daffer	Black-	Sheetmetal	Electrical C	armen 1	Powerhouse em-	Clerical, office,	Maintenance of	Teleg-			Dining-car	Dining-ear cooks	Mechanical		Marine	employees	
	Railroad	Engineers	Firemen and hostlers	Con- ductors	flagmen, and	helpers, and	Yard- masters	Machin- ists	Boiler- makers			THE PROPERTY	d coach leaners	ployees and railway shop laborers	station, and store- house employees	way employees	raphers	Signalmen	1 Dispatchers	stewards	and waiters	foremen and/or supervisors	Masters, mates, and pilots	Marine engi- neers	Others	All other employees, miscellaneous groups
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23 7	24	25
 1	Akron, Canton & Yeungstown Railway Co	BLE	BLF&E BLF&E	BRT.	BRT BRT	BRTBRT	BRT	IAM	IBBISB	IBBDF	SMWIA	irew iri	RCA	IBFO	BRC	BMWBMW.	ORT	BRSA BRSA	ATDA	(*)	(*)	(*)	GLLOO	(°) GLLOO	(*) ORT 5; NMU : 14	(x) ARSA 60; RPU 35; IBEW 35 IBEW 35 5; RPU 35; HRE 6; BRC 75;
ŝ	Ann Arbor R.R. Co. Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Ry. Co. Gulf, Colorado & Santa Fe Ry. Co.	BLE	BLF&E	ORC		BRT	RYA	IAM 4 (#)	(#)	IBBDF 1	SMWIA 5   1	BEW BI	RCA 3 1	IBFO	(#)	BMW	ORT 6	BRSA 4	(#)	(*)	(*)	(*)	(*)	(Y)	(*)	IBEW 33 5; RPU 33; HRE 6; BRC 73; ATDA 64; BSCP 1 56 65; IBFO 36.
į	Panhandle & Santa Fe Ry. Co	BLE	BLF&E	ORC ORC ORC	BRT BRT BRT	BRT	(x)	(#) IAM (*) IAM	(#) IBBISB (#) IBBISB	(f)	SMWIA (	(第)	RCA 5	(#) IBFO : (t)	(#) BRC : (#)	(#) BMW 5 (#) BMW	(#) ORT 4 (#)	(#) BRSA \$	(#)	(*)	(*)	(*) (*)	(*) (*)	8	(*) (*) (*)	(#) UTSE 15; RPU \$: 5
9	Atlantic Coast Line R. R. Co Baltimore & Ohio R. R. Co Bangor & Arostook R. R. Co	BLE BLE BLF&E	BLF&E BLF&E BLF&E	ORC ORC BRT	BRT BRT BRT	BRT BRT BRT	RYNA RYA	IAM	IBBISB	IBBDF	SMWIA	IBEW BI IBEW BI IBEW BI		IBFO IBFO	BRC BRC	BMW	ORT	BRSA BRSA	ATDA ATDA	BRT. (*)	UTSE	RED	MMP-ILA	MEBA-ILA (*)	MMP 2; ILA 15 ILA 23 40; IUMSW 3; MMP 2 19 (*)	(#). BSCP <sup>1</sup> ; IBEW <sup>25</sup> ; BRT <sup>61</sup> . BSCP <sup>1</sup> ; RED <sup>5</sup> ; SA <sup>16</sup> ; BRC <sup>25</sup> ; RPU <sup>25</sup> . AASER <sup>27</sup> .
11	Bessomer & Lake Erie R. R. Co Boston & Maine R. R	BLF&E BLE	BLF&E BLF&E	BRT	BRT	BRT-SUNA. BRT	RYA	IAM		IBBDF	SMWIA	IBEW BI	RCA	IBFO	BRC	BMW	ORT	BRSA	ÀTDA	(*) SA	UTSE	RED	(*)	(*) (*)	(*)	LU 7; SA 11 28; RPU 20; SMWIA 8 UTSE 10; AFTE 20; RPU 20; SA 50; IBEW 20; ISOE, 51
13 14	Burlington-Rock Island R. R. Co Cambria & Indiana R. R. Co Canadian National Lines in New England	BLE BLF&E BLE	BLF&E BLF&E BLF&E	ORC BRT ORC BRT	BRT BRT BRT	BRT (*)	(x)	USA	USAIBBISB	USA IBBDF	SMWIA.	IBEW BI USA US IBEW BI	RCA	BMW (*) IBFO	BRC (x) BRC	BMW USA BMW	ORT	(*) (*) BRSA	(x)	(*) (*) (*)	(*) (*)	(*) (*)	(*) (*)	(*) (*)	(*) (*) (*)	HRE 1
16 17	Canadian Pacific Lines in Maine and Vermont. Central of Georgia Ry. Co. Central R. B. of New Jersey.	BLE BLE	BLF&E BLF&E BLF&E	ORC	BRTBRT	BRT BRT BRT	BRT BRT RYNA	IAM	IBBISB IBBISB IBBISB	IBBDF		IBEW BI		IBFOIBFO	BRCBRC	BMW	ORT	BRSA BRSA	ATDA	(*) (*)	UTSE	ARSA	URRWA	URRWA	(*) (*) URRWA <sup>14</sup> ; ILA <sup>40</sup> ; URRWA <sup>22 61</sup>	IBEW 35; BSCP 1; UTSE 13; ARSA 60 22   1
19 2(	Central Vermont Ry. Co., Inc. Charleston & Western Carolina Ry. Co. Chesapeake & Ohio Ry. Co.	BLE BLE	BLF&E BLF&E BLF&E	ORC ORC	BRTBRT	BRT BRT BRT	BRT (x) RYNA	IAM	IBBISB IBBISB IBBISB	IBBDF	SMWIA	IBEW BI	RCA	IBFO IBFO IBFO	BRCBRC.	BMW BMW	ORT	(*) (*) BRSA	ATDA (x) ATDA	(*) (*) BRT	(*) HRE	(*) (*) ARSA	MMP	(*) NMP	(*) (*) MMP <sup>2</sup> <sup>16</sup> ; SA <sup>5</sup> ; BRC <sup>46</sup> ; ILA <sup>15</sup> <sup>32</sup>	(x) BSCP 1; ILA 4; BRC 31 31; IBEW 14 44; BMWE.32
25 28	Pere Marquette Division. Chicago & Eastern Illinois R. R. Co.	BLE BLE BLE	BLF&E	ORC BRT BRT	BRT.	BRT	RYNA	IAM	IBBISB	IBBDF	SMWIA	IBEW BI	RCA	IBFOIBFO	BRC	BMWBMW	ORT	BRSA BRSA	ATDA	BRT.	HRE HRE	(*)ARSA	MMP	GLLOO	NMU * * 4	RPU 13 BSCP 1; RPU 15
	Chicago & Illinois Midland Ry, Co		BLF&E BLF&E	ORC	1	ı	ARSA		IBBISB	IBBDF	34,0%	IBEW BI	RCA	IBFOIBFO	BRC	BMW	ORT	BRSA	ATDA	BRT.	UTSE	ARSA	(*)	₹*)	(*)	ARSA 11 21 22 21 UTSE 16; BSCP 1; RPU 11; ISOE 22; HRE 47 UTSE 16; BSCP 1; BRT 22; IBEW 18; HRE 6;
26 27	Chicago Great Western Ry, Co	BLE	BLF&E	ORC	BRT	SUNA	RYA BRT	IAM	IBBISB IBBISB	IBBDF	100	IBEW BI	RCA	IBFOIBFO	BRCBRC	BMWBMW	ORT	BRSA	ATDA	(*)	HRE HRE	ARSAARSA	(*)	(*)	(*)	SA 25; LU 25 60 IREW 25: RPH 25
28 20	Chicago, Indianapolis & Louisville Ry. Co	BLE	BLF&E	ORC	BRT #	BRT	RYA	IAM	IBBISB	IBBDF	SMWIA	IBEW BI	RCA	IBFO	BRC	BMW	ORT	BRSA	ATDA	BRT	HRE	(#)	MMP	MEBA	TÚP 22	SMWIA 26; ORC 55; IBEW 39; BSCP 1; RYA 65; BRC, 16 UTSE 1; SA 65; RPU 35; IBEW 28; BRC 16.
30 31 32	Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific Ry. Co	BLE BLF&E BLE	BLF&E BLF&E	ORC ORC BRT	BRT BRT	BRT	RYA ARSA RYA BRT	IAM IAM IAM	IBBISB	IBBDF	SMWIA	IBEW BI	RCA	IBFOBMW 41	BRCBRC	BMW BMW BMW	ORT	BRSA BRSA	ATDA ATDA	BRT	HRE ORC 42 UTSE	ARSA	() ()	(*) (*)	(a)	HRE '; ARSA " 00 (x) BSCP 00 3
35 36 36	Colorado & Southern Ry. Co	BLE BLF&E BLE BLE	BLF&E BLF&E BLF&E BLF&E	BRT BRT ORC ORC BRT	BRT	BRT BRT BRT	BRT (x) RYA	IAM	IBBISB IBBISB IBBISB	IBBDF	SMWIA	(*) BI (*) BI BEW BI	RCA	IBFOIBFO	BRCBRC	BMW	ORT	(*) (*)	ATDA	(*) (*) BRT	(*) (x) HRE	(*)	()	(*) (*)	(*)	(x) 3 (x) 3 RPU 14 3
30 37	Delaware & Hudson R. R. Corp.  Delaware, Lackawanna & Western R. R. Co.  Denver & Rio Grande Western R. R. Co.	BLE	BLF&E BLF&E	15	1	SUNA	RYA	IAM	IBBISB	IBBDF		BEW BI	RCA	IBFO	BRC	BMW: SMWIA 4.	ORT	BRSA	ATDA	BRT	HRE	(*)	MMP	MEBA	ÙRRWA 19 55; ILA 40; URRWA 2 3	RPU 35; ILA 14; ATDA 53; BSCP 1; BRC 55; BMW 44; IBFO.70 BSCP 1; SA 35; ORT 35.
36 39 40	Denver & Salt Lake Ry. Co	BLEBLF&E	BLF&E	ORCBRT	BRT	BRT BRT BRT	RYA (*) ORC	IAM IAM IAM	IBBISB IBBISB IBBISB	IBBDF	SMWIA.	IBEW BI	RCA	IBFOIBFO	BRC BRC	BMW BMW BMW	ORT	BRSA (*) BRSA	ATDA	(*) (*)	(*)	(*)	8	(*) (*) (*)	(*) (*)	(x) 3 (x) 4 RPU 25 4
41 45 43	Detroit & Toledo Shore Line R. R. Co- Detroit, Toledo & Ironton R. R. Co- Duluth, Missabe & Iron Range Ry. Co- Duluth, South Shore & Atlantic R. R. Co.	BLE	BLF&E	BRT		BRTBRT	BRT	IAM IAM IAM	IBBISB IBBISB IBBISB	IBBDF	SMWIA I SMWIA I		RCA	IBFOIBFO	BRCBRC	BMWBMW	ORT	BRSA BRSA	ATDA	(*)	(*) LU	(*) (*) ARSA	(*) S.A (*)	(*) SA (*)	(*) SA 22 (*)	(x) BRC 9 14; RPU 31
4. 4. 4.	Duluth, Winnipeg & Pacific Ry.Co Elgin, Joliet & Eastern Ry. Co	BLE BLF&E BLE BLE	BLF&E BLF&E BLF&E	ORC ORC BRT		BRTBRT.	BRT	IAM	IBBISB IBBISB IBBISB	IBBDF IBBDF	SMWIA		RCA	IBFOIBFO	BRCBRC.	BMWBMW	ORT	BRSA	ORT ATDA	(*)	(*) (x) HRE	(*) (*)	UMWA	(C)	(*) (*) URRWA <sup>19</sup> ; ILA <sup>40</sup>	(x) RPU <sup>15</sup> IBEW <sup>26</sup> ; RPU <sup>25</sup> ; BMW <sup>20</sup> ; DCEU <sup>45</sup>
45		BLE	(BLF&E W IARE & BLF&E	ORC		BRT	RYA		IBBISB	IBBDF	SMWIA.	IBEW BI	RCA	IBFOIBFO	BRC	BMW	ORT	BRSA	ATDA	(*) BRT	(x) HRE	ARSA	(*) (*)	(*) (*)	(*)	UTSE 16; HRE 1 46 4 BSCP 1; BRSA 18 4
50 51	Georgia & Florida R. R. Co. Georgia R. R., lessee organization. Grand Trunk Western R. R. Co.	BLE	BLF&EBLF&E	ORC	BRT BRT BRT	BRTBRT.	(x) (x) RYA	IAM	IBBISB IBBISB IBBISB	IBBDF	SMWIA ]	IBEW BI	RCA	(x) IBFO IBFO	BRCBRC.	BMW BMW BMW	ORT	BRSA BRSA	ATDA ATDA	(*) BRT	(*) (*) HRE	(*) (*) ARSA		GLLOO	(*) NMU:	(x) (x) ARSA 22; RPU 35; HRE 1
50	Great Northern Ry. Co	BLE BLE	BLF&E BLF&E	ORC	BRT	SUNA	(x)	IAM	IBBISB	IBBDF	SMWIA.	(x)BI	RCA	BMW #	BRC	BMW	ORT	BRSA	(x) ATDA	(*)	HRE 45; ORC 42.	(*)	(*)	(0)	(*)	HRE '; SMWIA '; BRC '; ARSA 22 00; IBTCW&H 27; IBEW 26; RPU. 22 (x)
5: 5:	Gulf, Mobile & Ohio R. R. Co. Eastern & Western Divisions (Alton R. R.).	BLE BLE.	BLF&E BLF&E	ORC ORC ORC	BRTBRT	BRTBRT.	RYA	LTAME I	IBBISB . 1	IBBDF IBBDF	SMWIA SMWIA SMWIA!	IBEW BI	RCA I RCA I RCA 5 I	IBFO IBFO IBFO !	BRCBRC	BMW BMW BMW	ORTORT	BRSA BRSA	ATDA 5 (#) SA 5	BSCP SA BRT	HRE HRE HRE	RED RED (*)	8	(*)		BSOP 1; IBEW 18.  BSOP 1; RPU 18.  BSCP 2; UTSE 16; HRE 62; RPU 14; EEW 18.
51	Gulf, & Ship Island R. R. Co	BLE	IARE BLF&E	ORC	BRT	BRT	(#) (#)	(#) (#)	(‡)(#)	(#) (#)	(#) (#)	(#) (#) (#) (#)	)	(#) (#)	(#)(#)	(#) (#)	(#) (#)	(#) (#) IBEW	(#) (#)	(*):	8	(*)	(3)	MEBA:	(*)	SA 35 BRT 36; RPU 35 (#)
6	Illinois Terminal R. R. Co Kansas City Southern Ry. Co Kansas, Oklahoma & Gulf Ry. Co	BLE BLE	BLF&E BLF&E BLF&E BLF&E	BRT ORC	BRTBRT	BRT BRT BRT	BRT RYA	IAM (x)	IBBISB (*)	IBBDF (*)	SMWIA SMWIA (*)			IBFOIBFO	BRCBRC.	BMW BMW	ORT	BRSA	ATDA	(x) (*)	HRE.	ARSA	8	(*)	§	BSCP 1; BRSA 35
6 6 6	Lake Superior & Ishpeming R. R. Co. Lehigh & Hudson River Ry. Co. Lehigh & New England R. R. Co.	BLE BLF&E	BLF&E BLF&E	ORC ORC	BRTBRT	BRTBRT.	(x) (*) BRT RYA	IAM	IBBISB	IBBDF IBBDF IBBDF	SA (x) SMWIA	(x) 8.4 (x) BI IBEW BI	RCA	IBFOIBFO	BRCBRC	BMW BMW BMW BMW	ORT BRC	BRSA	ATDA	(*)	()	8	(*)	URRWA	(*) (*) ILA 4071: URRWA 2192	(x) (x) (x) UTSE 15; RPU 14; IBEW 18; BSCP 1;
6	Lehiğh Valley R. R. Co	BLE	BLF&E	ORC	BRT-LU	BRT-LU	BRT	IAM	IBBISB	IBBDF	SMWIA.	BEW B	RCA	IBFO	BRCBRC	BMW	ORT		ATDA	(*)	(*)	RED	(*)	(*)	(*)	RRMW-ILA. <sup>13</sup> BRSA <sup>18</sup> UTSE <sup>1</sup> <sup>16</sup> ; ORT <sup>18</sup> ; RPU <sup>18</sup> ; RYA <sup>21</sup>
6 6 7	Louisville & Nashville R. R. Co	BLE BLE	BLF&E BLF&E BLF&E	ORC	BRT BRT	BRT BRT	BRT	IAM IAM	IBBISB IBBISB	BRSCA IBBDF	SMWIA SMWIA SMWIA	IBEW BI	RCA	IBFOIBFOIBFO	BRCBRC.	BMW BMW BMW	ORT	BRSA	ATDA ATDA	(*)	(*)	(*)	<u> </u>		(*)	BMW **
7 7. 7.	Minneapolis & St. Louis Ry. Co	BLE BLE BLE	BLF&E BLF BLE BLF&E	ORC ORC BRT	BRTBRTBRT	BRT BRT BRT	RYA RYA (*) BRT	IAM IAM IAM	IBBISB IBBISB IBBISB	IBBDF.	SMWIA	IBEW BI	RCA	IBFO	BRCBRC.	BMW BMW BMW	ORT (x) ORT	BRSA BRSA	ATDA	(x) (*) BRT 5	HRE (*) HRE	ARSA	<u> </u>		(a)	BSCP 1; ARSA 00; BRC 0; IBEW 80; (X) BSCP 1 5; ARSA 11 00; IBEW 80 0; RPU 80 0; SMWIA 40
7	Missouri-Kansas-Texas R. R. Co. Missouri-Kansas-Texas R. R. Co. of Texas	(#)	(4)	ORC-	(#)BRT	BRT	(#) RYA	(#) IAM	(#) IBBISB	(#)	(#) SMWIA	(#) (#)	.	(#)IBFO	(#)	(#) BMW	(#)	(#) BRSA	(#)	(#) BRT	(#) HRE5	(*)	(2)	(3)	(*)	SMWIA.45 (#) BSCP <sup>1</sup> ; ISOE <sup>13</sup> ; RPU <sup>16</sup> ; LU <sup>10</sup> ; BMW <sup>41</sup>
777	Missouri Paeifie R. R. Co. Missouri-Illinois R. R. Co. International-Great Northern R. R. Co.	BLF&E	BLF&E BLF&E BLF&E	ORC ORC	BRT	BRTBRT	BRT	IAM	IBBISB	IBBDF	SMWIA 5	IBEW BI	RCA	IBFO	BRC. BRC.	BMW BMW 5	ORT	(*) BRSA !	(*) ATDA *	(*) (#)	(*) (#)	(*)	ММР (3)	MEBAL (*)	(*)	(x) BSCP <sup>1</sup> ; ORT <sup>18 5</sup> ; SA <sup>29 60</sup> ; UTSE <sup>16</sup> (#) SA <sup>22</sup>
7 8 8	San Antonio, Uvalde & Gulf R. R. Co. New Orleans, Texas & Mexico Ry. Co. Beaumont, Sour Lake & Western Ry. Co.	BLE 5	BLF&E LU 26 5; BLE 37 8 (#) BLF&E	ORC	BRT-LU	BRT-LU	SA	IAM	ĬBBISB : (#)	ĬBBDF↓ (#)	SMWIA 5. (#)	ÎBEW BÎ (#) (#)	RCA 5	ÌBFO 5 (#)	(#) (#) (#)	(#) (#)	(#) (#)	(#) (#)	ATDA 5	(#) (#) (#)	(#) (x) (#)	(*)	MMP	MEBA.	[ARE 33 (*) (*) (*) (*) (*) (*) (*) (*) (*) (*)	(#) SA <sup>22</sup>
8 8	St. Louis, Brownsville & Mexico Ry. Co	BLE BLF&E BLF&E	BLF&E BLF&E BLF&E	ORC BRT	BRTBRT.	BRT BRT BRT	RYNA (x) RYA	IAM IAM	IBBISB IBBISB	IBBDF IBBDF IBBDF	SMWIA SMWIA		RCA	IBFOIBFO	BRC BRC	BMW BMW BMW	ORT ORT	BRSA (*) BRSA	ATDA	(*) BRT	(*) (*) HRE	(*)	(x)	(*) (x)	(x) (*)	(x) (x) BRC 16; ORT 28; BMW 80; UTSE 1
8 8	Nevada Northern Ry. Co	BLE	BLE BLF&E	BRT ORC	BRT	BRT	RYNA		SA IBBISB 4	SA IBBDF	SAI	IBEW 5 BI	RCA	IBFO -	BRC 4	BMW ?	ORT	BRSA	ATDA 5	ARSA	HRE	ARSA	ММР	URRWA	MMP * 10; URRWA *; ILA *	UTSE 16; RYNA 21 1; BRC 25; HRE 1; RPU, 25
8	Ohio Central Lines <sup>20</sup> Cleveland, Cincinnati, Chicago & St. Louis Ry. Co. <sup>20</sup> Michigan Central R. R. Co. <sup>20</sup>	BLE BLE	BLF&E BLF&E	ORC ORC	BRT BRT BRT	BRT BRT BRT BRT BRT BRT	BRT SA RYNA RYNA	(#) IAM (#)	(#) IBBISB (#)	(#)	SMWIA	(#) (#) IBEW B (#) (#)	RCA	IBFOIBFO	BRC.	BMW BMW	ORT	BRSA BRSA	ATDA	(#) (#)	(#) (#)	(*)	(#) (#)	(*)		(#) (#) (#) TIMED 14. (4) DRAWE 14.0
9	Boston & Albany R. R. Co. <sup>20</sup> New York, Chicago & St. Louis R. R. Co. New York, New Haven & Hartford R. R. Co.	BLE BLE	BLF&E BLF&E BLF&E	ORC ORC BRT	BRT	BRT BRT	RYNA RYA	(#) IAM IAM	IBBISB IBBISB	IBBDF IBBDF	SMWIA SMWIA		RCA	IBFOIBFO	BRCBRC	BMW BMW BMW	ORT	BRSA BRSA	ATDA	BRT (*) BRT	HRE (*)HRE	ARSA	MMP	MEBAL	MMP 119; URRWA 8; ILA 41	RPU's; SA'2; UTSE'; ARSA 60 UTSE's; BSCP'; ATDA 66; ARSA 60 22; RPU'.55
9	New York, Ontario & Western Ry. Co	BLE	BLF&E BLF&E BLF&E	ORC	BRT	BRT	BRT	IAM	IBBISB	IBBDF	SMWIA SMWIA	IBEW B	RCA	IBFOIBFO	BRCBRC	BMWBMW.BMW	ORTORT	BRSA BRSA	ATDA	(*) (*)	(*)	(*) (*) (*)	<u></u>	(*)	(*)	ILA 14; RPU 15. ILA 14 44. IBEW 38; LU 2; RPU 35.
9	Norfolk & Western Ry. Co Norfolk Southern Ry. Co Northern Pacific Ry. Co Northwestern Pacific R. R. Co	BLE BLE	BLF&E BLF&E	ORC ORC	BRT BRT BRT	BRT BRT BRT-ORC	RYA	IAM IAM IAM	IBBISB IBBISB IBBISB	IBBDF IBBDF IBBDF	SMWIA	IBEW B	RCA RCA RCA	IBFOIBFO	BRCBRC	BMW BMW BMW	ORT	IBEW BRSA	ATDA	(*)_ BRT	(x) ORC 42; HRE 43	(#)(#)	ММР	MEBA(*)	(*)	IBEW 26
9 10 10	Northwestern Pacific R. R. Co. Oklahoma City-Ada-Atoka Ry. Co. Pennsylvania R. R.	BLE	BLF&E BLF&E BLF&E BLE BLF	ORC ORC BRT	BRT ORC BRT	BRT-URC (*)BRT-	RYA	(*) IAM	(*)URRWA	(*)	745	URRWA. U	DCA I	(m)	BRC	BMW BMW	ORT	1	(*) ATDA	(*) BRT	DC&RRFWU	(#) (#)	MMP	it.A	(*) MMP <sup>2 16</sup> ; HRE <sup>67</sup> ; ILA <sup>8 28</sup>	(x) 10 SA 21 23 5; BRT 32; BMW 33; UTSE 16; BRC 46; BRSCA 24; URRWA 8 71.
10	Long Island R. R. Co Pennsylvania-Reading Seashore Lines Pittsburgh & Lake Erie R. R. Co	BLE BLE BLE BLF&E BLF&E	BLF&E BLF&E BLF&E	BRT BRT ORC	BRT BRT BRT	BRTBRT.	RYA BRT	IAM IAM	IBBISB (*) IBBISB	IBBDF (*) IBBDF	SMWIA SMWIA	IBEW B	RCA RCA	IBFOIBFO	BRC BRC	(#) BMW BMW	ORT ORT	BRSA BRSA	ATDA	(*)	(*)	(#) (*)(*)	UMWA	URRWA	URRWA 2 1 15	SA 21 53; (#) 10 SA 21 35; BRSA 48 11 RYNA 21; RPU 55 14
10 10 10	Pittsburgh & Shawmut R. E. Co Pittsburgh & West Virginia Ry. Co	BLF&E.	BLF&E	BRT	BRT	BRT BRT BRT	- (*)	UWOC. IAM IAM	UWOC IBBISB IBBISB	(x) IBBDF IBBDF	SMWLA SMWLA	IBEW B	RCA	UWOC IBFO-ISOE IBFO	BRC	BMW BMW BMW	ORT	BRSA	ATDA ATDA	(*) (*) BRT	(*) (*) HRE	(*) (*) RED	(*) (*) MMP	(*) (*) MEBA	(*) NMU <sup>2 3 25</sup>	IBEW 38
10 10	Reading CoRichmond, Fredericksburg & Potomac R. R. CoRutland Ry. Corp. St. Louis-San Francisco Ry. Co	BLE BLE	BLF&E BLF&E BLF&E	ORC.	ORC BRT	BRT BRT	BRT RYNA (x) RYA	IAM IAM	IBBISB IBBISB IBBISB	IBBDF IBBDF IBBDF5	SMWIA SMWIA SMWIA	IBEW B IBEW B	RCA RCA	UMWAIBFO	BRC BRC	BMW BMW BMW	ORT ORT	BRSA BRSA 3	ATDA ATDA	(*) BRT	(x) HRE	(*) (*) (#)	(*)	8	(*)	SMWIA *; UTSE 1
11	St. Louis-San Francisco & Texas Ry. Co	(#) BLE 5	(#) BLF&E •	(4)	(#) BRT	(#) BRT	(#) BRT	(#) IAM	(#) IBBISB	(#) IBBDF	(#). SMWIA	(#) IBEW B	RCA	(#) IBFO	(#) BRC	(#) BMW 5	(#) ORT	(#) BRSA	(#) ATDA •	(x)	(*) HRE 3	(\$)	(*)	8	(*)	(#)
11 11	St. Louis Southwestern Ry. Co. of Texas San Diego & Arizona Eastern Ry. Co. Seaboard Air Line B. R. Co.	BLE BLE BLE	BLF&E BLF&E BLF&E	ORC	(#) ORC	BRTBRT.	(#) (*) RYA RYA	IAM IAM IAM	IBBISB IBBISB	IBBDF	SMWIA SMWIA SMWIA		RCA RCA	IBFOIBFO	BRCBRC	BMW BMW BMW	ORT	(#) (*) BRSA		BRT	HRE HRE HRE	ARSA	(*) MMP MMP	MEBA	MMP 2; SIUNA 3.	(x) 1 BSCP 1; RYA 21 1 BSCP 1; SA 15 25 60; RPU 32; UTSE 16; 13
13	Southern Pacific Co. (Pacific Lines)	BLE	BLF&E	ORC ORC ORC	I	BRT	RYA	1	IBBISB		SMWIA.		RCA 5	IBFO4	BRC BRC	BMW	ORT	BRSA	ATDA 5	BRT	UTSE	ARSA	MMP	MEBA	MMP <sup>3</sup>	IBEW 35; HRE 47; RED 45; BRT 47.  ARSA 26; UTSE 1; BRT 51; IBEW 35; IBRC 37 46; RPSEU 72.
1:	Georgia, Southern & Florida Ry. Co	BLF&E	BLF&E BLF&E BLF&E	ORC.	BRT	BRT	(#) (#)	(#) (#)	(#) (#)	(#)(#)	(#) (#) (#)	(#)	9	(#) (#)	(#)(#)(#)	(#) (#)	(#) ORT 4	(#) (#)	(#) (#)	(*)	(*)	8	(*) (#)	8	(*) (*)	(x) 1 (x) 1 (x) 1
1; 1; 1;	New Orleans & Northeastern R. R. Co	BLE BLE BLE BLF&E	IBLE&E ***	ORC ORC	BRT BRT ORC	BRT BRT SUNA	(#) - RYA	(#) - (#) - IAM - SA	(#) IBBISB	(#) IBBDF	(#) (*) SA	(#)(# (*)	ROA	(#) IBFOIBFO	(#) BRC BRC	BMWBMW	(達) ORT ORT	(#) (*) (*) BRSA	(#) 	(*) (*) BRT	(*) (*) HRE	(*) (*) (#)	(*) (*)	(*)	(*)	(x) 12 (x) 14 (x) 15 (x
1 1 1	Alabama Great Southern Ry  Spokane International R. R. Co.  Spokane, Portland & Seattle Ry. Co.  Staten Island Rapid Transit Ry. Co.  Tennessee Central Ry. Co.	BLE BLE	BLF&E BLF&E	ORC ORC ORC ORC ORC	BRT	BRT BRT BRT BRT		IAM IAM	IBBISB IBBISB IBBISB IBBISB	IBBDF IBBDF	SMWIA SMWIA SMWIA	IBEW B IBEW B	RCA RCA	IBFOIBFO	BRCBRC	BMW BMW BMW	ORT ORT	BRSA	ATDA ATDA ATDA	(*) (*) BRT	(*) (*) HRE	(*). RED. (#).	(*)	(2)	MMP 3	SA &   L RPU **
1: 1: 1:	Texas & New Orleans R. R. Co. Texas & Pacific Ry. Co. Texas Mexican Ry. Co. Toledo, Peoria & Western R. R. Union Pacific R. R.	BLE BLE BLE	BLF&E BLF&E BLF&E BLF&E BLF&E BLF&E	ORC ORC BRT BRT ORC	BRT BRT BRT	BRT BRT BRT BRT BRT	BRT (*) (*) RYA	IAM IAM	IBBISB IBBISB IBBISB	IBBDF IBBDF	SMWIA SMWIA SMWIA	SA	RCA RCA	IBFOIBFO	BRCBRCBRC.	BMW BMW BMW BMW	ORT ORT ORT	BRSA (*) BRSA	ATDA ATDA ATDA ATDA ATDA (*) ATDA	BRT	HRE (*)	(#) (*) (*)	8		8	BSCP 1; RPU 35; SA 22; AFTE 60
1:			BLF&E				1	IAM	IBBISB	[*)	SMWIA.	IBEW B	RCA	(x)		BMW BMW BMW					HRE	ARSA	(*)	() ()	(*)	BRC 6; BSCP 56; BRC 48.
1) 1) 1)	Utah Ry. Co Virginian Ry. Co Wahash R, R. Co Wastarn Meryland Ry. Co.	BLE BLE RIU-LU	BLF&E BLF&E BLF&E RIU-LU	ORC ORC ORC BRT ORC ORC	BRTBRT.	BRT.BRT.BRT.BRT.	RYA RYA	IAM IAM IAM	IBBISB IBBISB	IBBDF IBBDF	SMWIA SMWIA	SA	RCA	IBFOIBFO	BRC BRC BRC BRC BEC	RWW	ORT	BRSA BRSA BRSA	ATDA ATDA ATDA ATDA ATDA	BRT (*) BRT	HRE (*)	ARSA(*).	(*) MMP-ILA (*) MMP	(*) GLL00	(*)	(x) URRWA 14; IBEW 44; LU 55 UTSE 15; BSCP 1; IBEW 58; SMWIA 46 (x) BSCP 1; RPU 25; RED 65; LU 60
13 13	Western Maryland Ry. Co. Western Pacific R. E. Co. Wheeling & Lake Erie Ry. Co.	BLE	BLF&E RIU-LU BLF&E BLF&E	ORC.	URROC BRT	BRT SUNA BRT	EYNA	IAM	IBBISB	IBBDF	SMWIA	IBEW B	RCA	IBFO	BEC	BMW BMW BMW	ORT	BRSA	ATDA	BRT	HRE(*)	ARSA	(*)	M.E.B.A.!	(*)	ARSA 60 II

#### SYMBOLS

Carrier reports no employees in this craft or class.

Some employees in this craft or class but not covered by agreement.

Some employees in this craft of class but not covered by agreement.

Included in system agreement.

Amalgamated Association, Street, Electric Railway and Motor Coach, Employees of America, AFL.

American Brotherhood of Railway Police.

American Federation of Technical Engineers.

ABRP AFTE ARSA American Railway Supervisors Association. American Train Dispatchers Association. ATDA

BLE BLF&E

BMW

Brotherhood of Locomotive Engineers.
Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen and Enginemen.
Brotherhood of Maintenance-of-Way Employes.
Brotherhood of Railway and Steamship Clerks, Freight Handlers, Express and Station BRC Employes.

Employes.
Brotherhood of Railway Carmen of America.
Brotherhood of Railroad Shop Crafts of America.
Brotherhood of Railroad Signalmen of America.
Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen.
Brotherhood of Sleeping Car Porters.
Foremen's Association of America.
Great Lakes Licensed Officers' Organization.
Hotel and Restaurant Employees and Bartenders International Union.
International Association of Machinists.
International Association of Railway Employees BRCA BRSCA BRSA BRT

BSCP FAA GLLOO HRE

IAM

IARE

IBBDF

International Association of Railway Employees.
International Brotherhood of Blacksmiths, Drop Forgers and Helpers.
International Brotherhood of Boilermakers, Iron Ship Builders and Helpers of America.
International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers. IBBISB IREW IBFO International Brotherhood of Firemen, Oilers, Helpers and Roundhouse and Railway

Shop Laborers, AFL. IBTCW&H

International Brotherhood of Teamsters, Chauffeurs, Warehousemen, and Helpers, AFL.

ILA IL&WU ISOE International Longshoremen's Association.
International Longshoremen and Warehousemen's Unions, CIO.
International Union of Steam and Operating Engineers.

Inlandboatmen's Union of the Pacific.

ĨŨP ĹŬ

MEBA

MMP NMU

National Marine Engineers Beneficial Association.
National Maritime Union.
Order of Railway Conductors of America. ORC ORT RED

RIU

Order of Railway Conductors of America.
The Order of Railroad Telegraphers.
Railway Employes' Department, AFL.
Railroad Industrial Union.
Railway Passenger Service Employees' Union, CIO.
Railway Patrolmen's International Union, AFL.
Railroad Yardmasters of America, AFL.
Railroad Yardmasters of North America.
System Association committee or individual RPSEU-CIO RPU RYA RYNA System Association, committee or individual. Seafarers' International Union of North America. Sheet Metal Workers International Association: SA SIUNA

SMWIA SUNA TWU.

Sheet Metal Workers International Association:
Switchmen's Union of North America.
Transport Workers Union, CIO.
United Automobile, Aircraft and Agricultural Implement Workers of America, CIO.
District 50, United Mine Workers of America.
United Railroad Workers of America, CIO.
United Railroad Operating Crafts. UAW UMWA URRWA URROC USA UTSE United Steelworkers of America, CIO.
United Transport Service Employees, CIO.
Utility Workers Organizing Committee, CIO.
Utility Service and Maintenance Workers Union, Local 213, Independent. USOC

ŬŠ&MWU

Table 12B.—(B) Collective labor agreements and employee representation on Pullman and express companies as of June 30, 1952

Carrier	Sleeping car con- ductors	Sleeping car porters, attendants, and maids	Machin- ists	Black- smiths	Sheetmetal workers	Electri- cal work- ers	Carmen	Powerhouse employees and railway shop labor- ers	Chauffeurs, helpers, and garagemen	Agents	Clerical office station and storehouse employees	Miscel- laneous
Railway Express Agency, Inc. The Pullman Co			·		' '	' '	' '	\ ` ´	BRC-IBTCWH	l	· ·	ARSA.7

Table 12C.—Collective labor agreements and employee representation on principal air line carriers as of June 30, 1952

Carrier	Pilots	Flight engineers	Radio and teletype operators	Flight navi- gators	Mechanics	Flight dispatch- ers (sup- erintend- ents)*	Clerical, office, stores, fleet and passenger service	Stewards and steward- esses	Guards- watch- men	Miscellaneous
Airline Transport Carriers					IAM					
American Airlines, Inc.	ALPA	ACFEA	ALCEA		TWU	ALDA	TWU 4	ALSSA 13	IAM	
All American Airways, Inc	ALPA	1	l	į.	IAM	ALDA	1 ** 0 *	ALSSA	IAWI	ALSSA.22
American Overseas Airlines, Inc.		ALFEA	FCOA		TWU	ALDA			IAM	TWU.10 II
Braniff Airways, Inc.	ALPA	ALPA	ALCEA-	1111111111	ACMA	ALDA	BRC	ALSSA	UAW	SAM.16
	1		ARA.				D100	*************************************	012	5111·1.
Capital Airlines, Inc	ALPA		ALCEA		IAM	ALDA	BRC	ALSSA 13		UTSE. 8 15 IAM. 20
Chicago & Southern Air Lines,	ALPA		ACCOA 2		UAW 1 21	ALDA		ALSSA 13		,
Inc.										
Colonial Airlines, Inc	ALPA				IAM		IAM	ALSSA 18		IAM.19
Continental Air Lines, Inc	ALPA				UAW 12	ALDA.	UAW	ALSSA 13	IAM	SAM.16
Delta Air Lines, Inc Eastern Air Lines, Inc	ALPA			l	UAW			ALSSA		
Eastern Air Lines, Inc	ALPA	ALFEA	ALCEA.		IAM 7		IBTCW&H	ALSSA		IAM.
Flying Tiger Lines, Inc	ALPA		ACCOA	ACNA	1 A WL		LBIUWAH		l	IBTCW&H.10
Frontier Air Lines, Inc.	ALPA				IAM	ALDA		ALSSA		
Inland Air Lines, Inc	ALPA		ALCEA-		UAW	ALDA	BRC	ALSSA 18		UAW.19
Mild Continued Address Too.			ARA.		TT 4 TT 8		700			
Mid-Continent Airlines, Inc.			ALCEA		UAW 3 IAM	ALDA	BRC			UAW,18, SA.6
National Airlines, Inc	ALPA	FEIA,	IAM		IAM	ALDA	IAM	ALSSA 13		
Northeast Airlines, Inc	A T.DA		ROU	1	IAM	ALDA	BRC	ALSSA 13		
Northwest Airlines, Inc	ALPA		ALCEAL	ACNA_	IAM 1	ALDA	BRC	ALSSA	UAW	IBTCW&H,10 IAM,11 15 23, SAM,16
Pacific Northern Airlines	ADIA		ALCEA	AUNA	TWAT .	ALDA.	DRO	ALSSA	UAW	1DIOW &E," IAM," 1020, SAM."
Pacific Northern Airlines Pan American Airways, Inc	ALPA	FEIA	TWII 2	FEIA.,.	TWU	ALDA.	BRC	TWU	TWU	TWU,9 11 15, AMA,15 UTSE.12
i dia ilinoi todii ilii wayo, ilioiiiii		1	1 0	* =====	1 0	Matri	IAM.	1 '' 0	1 " 0	1 11 0,5 11 11, AMIA,10 0 1 5E,10

Piedmont Aviation, Inc	ALPA					ALDA		ALSSA		
Robinson Airlines	ALPA				ACMA			ALSSA		D. I. King & R. S. Waterberry (Ind.).22
Slick Airways, Inc	SAPA-SA	<b></b>			ALA					, ,
Southwest Airways, Inc Trans World Airlines, Inc	ALPA	FEIA	ACCOA 2	ALNA-	IAM 3714	ALDA		ALSSA	IAM	IAM,24 IBT.23
					1	1	IAM	IAM		
Trans Texas Airways	ADFA				IAM	-1	TAM	17.001.11		SAM,16 IAM,11 22
United Air Lines, Inc	ALPA	ACFEA	ALCEA .	TWU	ACMA	ALDA	BRC	'ALSSA 13		UAW.19
Wisconsin Central Airlines Hawaiian Air Lines, Ltd.	ALPA			l	IAM	l ALDA*	ALAA	ALSSA		ľ
Hawanan An Dines, Ltu	ALFA		DA		IAM		DA			

#### SYMBOLS

AAN	Association of Air Navigators.
ACCOA	Air Carrier Communication Operators' Association.
ACMA	Air Carrier Mechanics Association, International.
ALA	Airfreight Labor Association.
ACFEA	Air Carrier Flight Engineers Association.
ALAA	Air Lines Agents Association, International.
ALCEA	Air Line Communication Employees Association (unaffiliated).
ALCEA-ARA	
ALDA	Air Line Dispatchers' Association, AFL.
ALFEA	Air Line Flight Engineers Association, Inc., AFL.
ALPA'	Air Line Pilots Association, AFL.
ALSA	Air Line Stewardesses Association.
ALSSA	Air Line Stewards and Stewardesses Association, International.
AMA	Airline Meteorologists Association.
BRC	Brotherhood of Railway and Steamship Clerks, Freight Handlers,
	Express and Station Employes.
FCOA .	Flight Communication Officers' Association.
FEIA	Flight Engineers International Association.
FP&SA	Flight Pursers and Stewardesses Association.
FROA	Flight Radio Officers Association.
IAM	International Association of Machinists.
IBT	International Brotherhood of Teamsters, Chauffeurs, Warehousemen
	and Helpers of America.
ROU	Radio Officers Union of the Commercial Telegraphers Union, AFL.
SAM	Society of Airline Meteorologists.
TWU	Transport Workers Union of America, CIO.
UAW-CIO	United Automobile, Aircraft, Agricultural Implement Workers of
	America, CIO.
UTSE	United Transport Service Employes of America, CIO.
SA	System Association, committee or individual.

- •Challenger & Monarch Airlines now known as Frontier Airlines as of Apr. 1, 1950.

  1 Also represents stockroom personnel.

  2 Includes flight radio officers.

  3 Fire inspectors.

  4 Includes teletype operators.

  5 Stockroom personnel only.

  6 Stockroom personnel only.

- 6 Station managers only.
- Represents stockroom personnel and cargo handlers.
  Red caps, ushers, and porters.
  Stationary firemen.
  Truck drivers.

- 10 Truck drivers.
  11 Restaurant and flight kitchen personnel.
  12 Marine Terminal porters.
  13 Stewardesses only.
  14 Also represents commissary clerks.
  15 Unskilled workers.
  16 Meteorologists.
  17 Transportation agents only.
  18 Technical engineers, architects, draftsmen, below rank of officials.
  19 Mechanical department foremen and/or supervisors of mechanics.
  20 District maintenance managers, maintenance foremen and assistant foremen.
  21 Includes cleaners, porters, and utility men.
  22 Flight agents.

- 22 Flight agents.
  23 Guards.
  24 Food unit employees.
- 25 Truck drivers.

## VII. INTERPRETATION AND APPLICATION OF AGREEMENTS

Agreements or contracts made in accordance with the Railway Labor Act are of two kinds: First, those consummated as a result of direct negotiations between carriers and representatives of their employees establishing rates of pay, rules, and working conditions; second, mediation agreements made by the same parties and also dealing with rates of pay, rules, and working conditions, but consummated with the assistance and under the auspices of the National Mediation Board. These two types of agreements are generally designated, respectively, as "wage and rule agreements" and "mediation agreements." The meaning, application, or interpretation of these two types of agreements occasionally leads to differences between those who are parties to them.

Table 13.—Cases docketed and disposed of by the National Railroad Adjustment Board, fiscal years 1935-52, inclusive

#### ALL DIVISIONS 18-year 1951 period, 1935–52 1952 1950 1949 1948 Cases 1947 Open and on hand at beginning of period ... 3, 855 2, 815 3,548 3, 271 2, 352 2, 722 3, 371 38, 360 2. 027 New cases docketed... 1,875 1,573 1, 142 Total number of cases on hand and docketed..... 38, 360 6,670 5, 575 5,623 4, 597 4, 163 4,513 1, 339 1,923 Cases disposed of..... 33,653 1,953 1,720 2,072 1,326 Decided without referee... 9,793 265 425 242 1, 217 Decided with referee..... 12,5821, 335 1, 188 818 266 909 692 Withdrawn.... 11, 278 245 619 256 434 806 Open cases on hand close of period.... 4,717 4, 717 3,855 3, 551 3, 271 2,824 2, 590 4, 190 4, 190 763 1, 340 1, 431 1, 393 933 Not heard.... 2. 951 2, 788 1,931 1,657 FIRST DIVISION

Open and on hand at beginning of period New cases docketed	29, 676	3, 472 2, 027	3, 167 1, 415	2, 842 1, 766	2, 347 1, 226	2, 321 954	3, 143 620
Total number of cases on hand and docketed	29, 676	5, 499	4, 582	4, 608	3, 573	3, 275	3, 763
Cases disposed of	25, 490	1, 313	1, 110	1, 438	731	826	1, 442
Decided without referee Decided with referee Withdrawn	8, 332 7, 234 9, 924	128 802 383,	221 701 188	221 669 548	165 389 177~	96 528 202	355 347 740
Open cases on hand close of period	4, 186	4, 186	3, 472	3, 170	2, 842	1 2, 449	2, 321
HeardNot heard	3, 796 390	3, 796 390	626 2, 846	468 2, 702	1, 062 1, 780	1, 204 1, 245	786 1, 535

<sup>1</sup> Includes 102 cases received, not docketed.

Table 13.—Cases docketed and disposed of by the National Railroad Adjustment Board, fiscal years 1935-52, inclusive—Continued

SEC	OND D	1018101	Ŋ				
Cases	18-year period, 1935–52	1952	1951	1950	1949	1948	1947
Open and on hand at beginning of period New cases docketed	1,620	57 110	31 95	34 63	34 63	16 69	18 54
Total number of cases on hand and docketed	1, 620	167	126	97	97	85	72
Cases disposed of	1, 554	101	69	66	63	51	. 56
Decided without referee Decided with referee Withdrawn	553 727 274	19 73 9	11 51 7	13 45 8	10 43 10	12 36 3	7 43 6
Open cases on hand-close of period	66	66	57	31	34	34	16
Heard Not heard	34 32	34 32	49 8	24 7	24 10	19 15	9
· TH	IRD DI	VISION					
Open and on hand at beginning of period New cases docketed	6, 227	306 575	328 459	362 420	338 495	245 467	204 387
Total number of cases on hand and docketed	6, 227	881	787	782	833	712	591
Cases disposed of	5, 810	464	481	454	471	374	364
Decided without referee Decided with referee Withdrawn	695 4, 169 946	30 401 33	21 420 40	10 412 32	42 358 71	37 297 40	38 255 53
Open cases on hand close of period	417	417	306	328	362	338	245
Heard Not heard	324 93	324 93	221 85	254 74	235 127	205 133	136 109
FOU	RTH D	ivision	٧ '		<u> </u>		
Open and on hand at beginning of period New cases docketed	847	20 103	22 58	33 103	3 91	83	6 81
Total number of cases on hand and docketed	847	123	80	136	94	91	87
Cases disposed of	799	75	60	114	61	88	79
Decided without referee Decided with referee Withdrawn	213 452 134	· 59 9	5 45 10	21 62 31	25 28 8	29 48 11	25 47 7
Open cases on hand close of period	48	48	20	22 .	33	3	8
HeardNot beard	36 12	36 12	8 12	17 5.	19 14	3 0	2 6

#### 1. INTERPRETATION OF WAGE AND RULE AGREEMENTS

Disputes involving the application or interpretation of agreements concerning rates of pay, rules, or working conditions are subject to the jurisdiction of the National Railroad Adjustment Board, under the provisions of section 3 of the Railway Labor Act. How that Board, through its four divisions, discharged its functions during the fiscal year 1952 is described in the report of the adjustment board and the separate reports of the divisions, which are reproduced as appendix A to this report. Table 13, above, is a tabulation of the cases handled by divisions for the years 1935–52. Included in the table is a recapitulation of the cases handled by the four divisions since the creation of the adjustment board in 1935. It will be noted that of the 38,360 cases docketed by the Board since it began operation, 29,676 have been docketed by the first division. Thus for the 18-year

period during which the National Railroad Adjustment Board has been in operation, the first division has accounted for 77 percent of all cases docketed.

During the fiscal year 1950 the carriers and the train and engine service organizations, in an effort to expedite disposition of its backlog of cases, established two supplemental boards to assist the first division. The cases disposed of by the supplemental boards are included in the total of the first division, in table 13. The supplemental boards began functioning in January 1950. With the assistance of these two supplemental boards, the number of cases disposed of in the fiscal year 1952 totaled 1,313 as compared with 1,110 in 1951. The number of docketed cases on hand at close of fiscal year 1951, for all divisions, increased from 3,855 in 1951 to 4,717 in 1952.

When the members of any of the four divisions of the adjustment board are unable to agree upon an award in any dispute being considered, because of a deadlock or inability to secure a majority vote, they are required under section 3, First (1), of the act to attempt to agree upon and select a neutral person to sit with the division as a member and make an award. Failing to agree upon such neutral person within 10 days, the act provides that that fact be certified to the National Mediation Board, whereupon the latter body selects the neutral person or referee.

The qualifications of the referee are indicated by his designation in the act as a "neutral person." In the appointment of referees the National Mediation Board is bound by the same provisions of the law that apply in the appointment of arbitrators. The law requires that appointees to such positions must be wholly disinterested in the controversy, impartial, and without bias as between the parties in

dispute.

The following tabulation gives the names and residences of all persons appointed for service as referees on the adjustment board during the past year:

Referees appointed FIRST DIVISION

<del></del>		<del></del>	
	Date of	Number of cases	
Name	Residence		
Colby, Alfred A Colby, Alfred A Mabry, Thomas J Mabry, Thomas J Whiting, Dudley E. Whiting, Dudley E. Whiting, Dudley E Douglass, David R Boyd, Robert O Kelliher, Peter M Stone, Mortimer	Detroit, Mich. Washington, D. C. Lincoln, Nebr Washington, D. C. do. do. Albuquerque, N. Mex. do. do. Obetroit, Mich. do. Oklahoma City, Okla Portland, Oreg. Chicago, Ill Denver, Colo	July 13, 1951 Sept. 26, 1951 Sept. 26, 1951 Dec. 14, 1951 Oct. 2, 1951 Mar. 24, 1952 Oct. 12, 1951 Mar. 25, 1952 Oct. 12, 1951 Mar. 25, 1952 Oct. 12, 1951 Nov. 9, 1951 Dec. 5, 1951 Dec. 5, 1951	3 2
Stone, Mortimer. Stone, Mortimer. Coffey, A. Langley Gilden, Harold M. Gilden, Harold M. Rader, LeRoy A. O'Malley, Mart J. 4.		Feb. 15, 1952 Apr. 22, 1952 Jan. 18, 1952 Jan. 22, 1952 June 20, 1952 Feb. 6, 1952 Feb. 13, 1952 Mar. 31, 1952 May 23, 1952	\$ 5 5 3 17 44 41 41 3 47 45 46 46 46

## Referees appointed—Continued

#### SECOND DIVISION

Refer	ee Residence	Date of appointment	Number of cases for which appointed
ranie	residence ,		appointed
Parker, Jay S.4 Parker, Jay S.4 Wenke, Adolph E	Topeka, KansdoLincoln, NebrTHIRD DIVISION	Oct. 29, 1951 Dec. 3, 1951 Apr. 16, 1952	19 . 9 24
Whiting, Dudley E.4 Carter, Edward F.4 Robertson, Francis J.4 Wyckoff, Hubert 4 Munro, Angus Wenke, Adolph E. Guthrie, Paul N. Smith, Livingston Douglass, David R.4 Yeager, John W. Daugherty, Carroll R. Parker, Jay S. Jasper, Paul G.	Lincoln, Nebr	Jan. 17, 1952 Jan. 17, 1952 Jan 18, 1952 Mar. 3, 1952 Apr. 4, 1952 Apr. 10, 1952 May 29, 1952 2 Tune 16 1952	33 37 32 30 33 42 34
Carter, Edward F.4Quinlan, Wayne	Lincoln, Nebr	Nov. 8, 1951 <sup>2</sup> Mar. 3, 1952 Apr. 11, 1952	19 31

<sup>1</sup> Cases deadlocked under the jurisdiction of the Engineers-Firemens Supplemental Board, First Division, NRAB.

Selected by NRAB Division.
 Selected by NRAB Division and appointment made by an addendum to certificate of appointment.
 Appointment made by addendum to certificate of appointment dated April 23, 1952.

#### 2. AIRLINE ADJUSTMENT BOARDS

There is no national adjustment board for settlement of grievances of airline employees as for railway workers. Section 205 of the amended act provides for establishment of such a board when it shall be necessary in the judgment of the National Mediation Board. Although these provisions have been in effect since 1936, the Board

has not deemed a national board necessary.

Gradually, over the years, as more and more crafts or classes of airline employees have established collective bargaining relationships, the employees and carriers have agreed upon grievance-handling procedures with final jurisdiction resting with a system board of adjustment. Such agreements usually provide for designation of neutral referees to break deadlocks. Where the parties are unable to agree upon a neutral to serve as referee the National Mediation Board is frequently called upon to name such neutrals. Such referees serve without cost to the Government and although the Board is not required to make such appointments under the law, it does so upon request in the interest of promoting stable labor relations on the air-With the extension of collective-bargaining relationships to most airline workers, the requests upon the Board to designate referees have increased very considerably. In the fiscal year 1952 the Board nominated referees to sit with airline adjustment boards in 27 separate instances.

The following tabulation gives the names and residences of all persons designated by the National Mediation Board to serve as referees with airline system boards of adjustment during the past

year:

Appointed for the first time during fiscal year 1952.
 Cases deadlocked under the jurisdiction of the Conductors and Trainmen's Supplemental Board, First Division, NRAB. Selected by NRAB Division.

### Referees appointed

## SYSTEM BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT .

Name Residence		Date of appointment	Parties
Cook, George A	Sarasota, Fla	July 3, 1951	Pan American World Airways, Inc., Latin American Division Board of
Korey, Harold R	New York, N. Y	July 20, 1951	Adjustment for Dispatchers. American Airlines, Inc., and Transport
McMahon, Donald F	Oklahoma City, Okla-	July 23, 1951	Adjustment for Dispatchers.  American Airlines, Inc., and Transport Workers Union of America, CIO.  Pan American World Airways and Brotherhood of Railway and Steam- ship Clerks, Freight Handlers, Ex- press and Steamship Employes.
McLaughlin, George W.2.	New York, N. Y	Aug. 3, 1951	Colonial Airlines, Inc., and Interna- tional Association of Machinists.
McLaughlin, George W.3 Feinsinger, Nathan	Aspen, Colo	Aug. 15, 1951 Aug. 23, 1951	United Airlines, Inc., and Interna- tional Association of Machinists, District Lodge No. 141. Airlines National Terminal Service
Whiting, Dudley E	Detroit, Mich	Sept. 28, 1951	Co., inc., and international Associ-
Gilden, Harold M.J	Chicago, Ill	Oct. 3, 1951	ation of Machinists.  Trans World Airlines, Inc., and Inter-
Drake, Robert T.	do	Oct. 8, 1951	national Association of Machinists. Trans World Airlines, Inc., and International Association of Machinists, District Lodge No. 142.
Thaxter, Sidney St. F. (Judge).	Portland, Maine	Nov. 13, 1951	American Airlines, Inc., and Transport Workers Union of America, CIO.
Lazar, Dr. Joseph	Los Angeles, Calif	Nov. 13, 1951	Flying Tiger Line, Inc., and Air Line Pilots Association, International.
Smith, Livingston	Dallas, Tex	do	Trans World Airlines, Inc., and International Association of Machinists,
Conkling, Roscoe P. Judge).	Jefferson City, Mo	do	District Lodge No. 142.
Wenke, Adolph E. (Judge).	Lincoln, Nebr	Dec. 4, 1951	Trans World Airlines, Inc., and Air Line Pilots Association, Interna-
McMahon, Donald F	Oklahoma City, Okla-	Dec. 29, 1951	tional. Ozark Air Line, Inc., and Air Line
Leiserson, Dr. William	Washington, D. C	Jan. 3, 1952	Pilots Association, International. Capital Airlines and International
McLaughlin, George W.5	New York, N. Y	Jan. 17, 1952	Association of Machinists.  Pan American World Airways, Inc., and Transport Workers Union, CIO,
Robertson, Francis J	Washington, D. C	Jan. 22, 1952	Atlantic Division. Northwest Airlines, Inc., and Air Line
Conkling, Roscoe P. Judge).	Jefferson City, Mo	Feb. 20, 1952	Pilots Association, International. Trans World Airlines, Inc., and Air Line Navigators Association, Local
Leiserson, Dr. William M.	Washington, D. C	Mar. 3, 1952	520, TWU of America, CIO. Trans World Airlines, Inc., and Brotherhood of Railway and Steam- ship Clerks, Freight Handlers, Ex-
Douglass, David R.5	Oklahoma City, Okla-	Mar. 24, 1952	press and Steamship Employes.  Trans World Airlines, Inc., and International Association of Machinists,
Lewis, Col. Grady	Washington, D. C	Apr. 9, 1952	District Lodge No. 142. American Airlines, Inc., and Transport
McMahon, Donald F.	Oklahoma City, Okla-	May 8, 1952	American Airlines, Inc., and Transport Workers Union, CIO. Pacific Electric Railway Co. and Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen.
Horvitz, Aaron	New York, N. Y	May 26, 1952	Car and Railroad Food Workers
Kelley, Father William	Washington, D. C		Union. American Airlines, Inc., and Interna- tional Association of Machinists.
Ullman, Gerald H			Pan American World Airways System
Lynch, Daniel A	do	May 29, 1952	and Airline Dispatchers Association. Pan American World Airways System and Transport Workers Union of America, CIO.

Field board of adjustment.
 Nomination withdrawn due to case being agreeably settled by a previously named referee.
 Nomination withdrawn due to dispute being resolved between the parties before commencement of

proceedings.
• Nominated specifically by the Chairman of the National Mediation Board in accordance with request made by the parties of said system board for purpose of abiding with their provision in Mechanic's Agree-

ment of 1951.

To serve as fifth and neutral member of said system board.

To serve as neutral arbitrator with an arbitrator's committee set up in accordance with regulatory provisions, etc., arising out of a decision in a docket before the Interstate Commerce Committee which granted the abandonment of certain lines, etc., of the Pacific Electric Railway Co.

#### 3. INTERPRETATION OF MEDIATION AGREEMENTS

Under section 5, second, of the Railway Labor Act, the National Mediation Board has the duty of interpreting the specific terms of mediation agreements. Requests for such interpretations may be made by either party to mediation agreements, or by both parties jointly. The law provides that interpretations must be made by the Board within 30 days following a hearing, at which both parties may

present and defend their respective positions.

In making such interpretations, the National Mediation Board can consider only the meaning of the specific terms of the mediation agreement. The Board does not and cannot attempt to interpret the application of the terms of a mediation agreement to particular situations. This restriction in making interpretations under section 5, second, is necessary to prevent infringement on the duties and responsibilities of the National Railroad Adjustment Board under section 3 of title I of the Railway Labor Act, and adjustment boards set up under the provisions of section 204 of title II of the act in the airline industry. These sections of the law make it the duty of such adjustment boards to decide disputes arising out of employee grievances and out of the interpretation or application of agreement rules.

In many instances mediation has resulted in the negotiation of new basic working agreements, and complete revisions of existing working agreements. It has been the view of this Board that disputes growing out of the application or interpretation of the rules of such agreements should be made by the appropriate adjustment boards, and not by the National Mediation Board under section 5, second, of the act.

During the fiscal year 1952, this Board was not called upon to interpret the terms of any mediation agreement. Since the passage of the 1934 amendment to the act, the Board has handled 22 cases under the provisions of section 5, second, of the Railway Labor Act

# VIII. ORGANIZATION AND FINANCES OF THE NATIONAL MEDIATION BOARD

### .1. ORGANIZATION

The National Mediation Board replaced the United States Board of Mediation and was established in June 1934 under the authority

of the Railway Labor Act, as amended.

The Board is composed of three members, appointed by the President, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate. The terms of office, except in case of a vacancy due to an unexpired term, are for 3 years, the term of one member expiring on February 1 of each year. The act makes no provision for holding over beyond that date and requires that the Board shall annually designate one of its members to serve as chairman. Not more than two members may be of the same political party. The Board's headquarters and office staff are located in the General Services Building, Eighteenth and F Streets NW., Washington, D. C. In addition to its office staff, the Board has a staff of mediators, who spend practically their entire time in field duty.

Subject to the Board's direction, administration of the Board's affairs is in charge of the secretary. While some mediation conferences are held in Washington, by far the larger portion of mediation services is performed in the field at the location of the disputes Services of the Board consist of mediating disputes between the carriers and the representatives of their employees over changes in rates of pay, rules, and working conditions. These services also include the investigation of representation disputes among employees and the determination of such disputes by election or otherwise. These services as required by the Act are performed by members of the Board and its staff of mediators. In addition, the Board conducts hearings when necessary in connection with representation disputes to determine employees eligible to participate in elections and other issues which arise in its investigation of such disputes. The Board also conducts hearings in connection with the interpretation of mediation agreements and appoints neutral referees and arbitrators as required.

The staff of mediators, all of whom have been selected through

civil service, is as follows:

Ross R. Barr.
Robert F. Cole.
Clarence G. Eddy.
Lawrence Farmer.
Ross J. Foran.
Patrick D. Harvey.
James M. Holaren.
Cornelius E. Hurley.
Matthew E. Kearney.
James P. Kiernan.
Warren S. Lane.
Albert L. Lohm.

Geo. S. MacSwan.
Wm. F. Mitchell, Jr.
John F. Murray.
J. Earl Newlin.
Alexander D. Penfold.
C. Robert Roadley.
Wallace G. Rupp.
H. Albert Smith.
Frank K. Switzer.
Thomas A. Tracy.
Charles F. Wahl.

### 2. FINANCIAL STATEMENT

Accounting of all moneys appropriated by Congress for the fiscal year 1952, pursuant to the authority conferred by "An act to amend the Railway Labor Act approved May 20, 1926" (Approved June 21, 1934):

Appropriations:	
Salaries and Expenses	\$398, 494
Arbitration and Emergency Boards	138, 000
Total appropriations	536, 494
Obligations:	
Salaries, National Mediation Board.	303, 495
Travel Expenses.	80, 514
Other Temperature	14 405
Other Expenses	14, 485
Total anamating amounts	200 404
Total operating expenses	398, 494
Total operating expensesExpenses arbitration and emergency boards	124, 980
G	
Grand Total	523, 474
	<del>-,</del>
Unobligated balances:	
Arbitration and emergency boards	13, 020
• -	
Total unobligated	13, 020

Annual expenditures for arbitration and emergency boards cannot be accurately budgeted due to fluctuations in the need for such boards. The extent of the disputes arbitrated or considered by emergency boards is also a factor which makes it virtually impossible to budget expenses of such boards with any degree of accuracy. Since the needs for such boards cannot be accurately anticipated, it is necessary to have available adequate funds to meet such contingencies as may arise.

# APPENDIX A

#### NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

(Created June 21, 1934)

SARCHET, ROGER, Chairman GREEN, T. L., Vice Chairman

ALLISON, R. H.¹
ANDERSON, J. A.
BLAKE, R. W.
BOWEN, A. C.
BRINDLEY, J. P.
BURTNESS, H. W.
BUTLER, R. M.
CANNON, C. S.
COYLE, F. W.
CUNNINGHAM, A. J.
DUGAN, C. P.
DUGAN, GEO. H.
FEE, L. B.
FERRIS, A. R.
HICKS, D. H.
JOHNSON, B. C.
JOHNSON, R. P.

Jones, A. H.<sup>2</sup>
Kealey, C. W.
Keiser, W. C.<sup>3</sup>
Kemp, J. E.
Losey, T. E.
Orndorff, Gerald
Purcell, T. F.
Reeser, H. J.
Ryan, W. J.
Schoch, M. G.
Somerlott, M. E.
Swan, O. E.
Sylvester, J. H.
Walther, A. G.<sup>4</sup>
Walton, R. A.
Wiesner, E. W.
Wright, George

#### SUPPLEMENTAL BOARDS

BORDWELL, H. V. BRENNAN, RICHARD HOGLUND, H. J. MAGILL, J. E. MILLER, D. A. SOUTHWORTH, P. C.

#### STATEMENT

On June 21, 1934, by enactment of Public, No. 442, Seventy-third Congress, the National Railroad Adjustment Board was created to consider and make awards in the following classes of disputes:

The disputes between an employee or group of employees and a carrier or carriers growing out of grievances or out of the interpretation or application of agreements concerning rates of pay, rules, or working conditions, including cases pending and unadjusted on the date of approval of this act, shall be handled in the usual manner up to and including the chief operating officer of the carrier designated to handle such disputes; but, failing to reach an adjustment in this manner, the disputes may be referred by petition of the parties or by either party to the appropriate divisions of the Adjustment Board with a full statement of the facts and all supporting data upon the disputes.

<sup>1</sup> Retired-replaced by W. H. Castle.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Deceased.

Retired—replaced by W. C. Lash.
Retired—replaced by D. H. Hicks.

Accounting of all moneys appropriated by Congress for the fiscal year 1952, pursuant to the authority conferred by "An Act to Amend the Railway Labor Act, approved May 20, 1926" [approved June 21, 1934]

Regular appropriation: Salaries and Expenses, National Railroad Adjus	tment Board,	
National Mediation Board		\$575, 749. UU
Expenditures:		
Salaries of employees	\$236, 818. 79	
Salaries of referees	184, 148, 44	
Travel expenses (including referees)	27, 863, 37	
Transportation of things	134, 07	
Communication services.		
Printing and binding		
Other contractual services	2, 896. 79	
Supplies and materials		
Equipment	3, 989. 23	
Total expenditures		538, 647. 20
•	-	·····
Unexpended balance		37, 101, 80

# $Organization{--National\ Railroad\ Adjustment\ Board{---Government\ employees},} salaries\ and\ duties$

### ADMINISTRATIVE

Name	Title	Salary paid	Duties
Howard, Leland	Administrative officer.	\$7, 993. 14	Subject to direction of Board, administers its governmental af-
Dillon, Mary E		4, 215. 84	fairs. Secretarial, stenographic, account-
Renik, Dina Larson, George		3, 219.34 2, 988.18	ing, and auditing. Clerical. Do.
	FIRST DIVIS	ION	
MacLeod, John M	Executive secretary	\$7, 128. 58	Administration of affairs of division
Frohning, Wm. C	Assistant executive secretary.	1, 095. 08	and subject to its direction. Assists executive secretary.
Killeen, Bert F	Principal clerk-stenog-	4, 548. 69	Digests and briefs cases and
Fostof, Evelyn F	rapher. Clerk-stenographer	4, 295. 50	awards, takes hearings, etc. Secretarial, stenographic, and cler-
Coulth Monaget I	4.	1 070 07	ical.
Smith, Margaret J Blee, Ruth W Ellwanger, Dorothy M Karlicek, Mae J Schnase, Julia T Schroeter, Marie A Gates, Shirley V Vorl Bayerly B	do	4 170 04	Do. Do.
Ellwanger, Dorothy M	do	4, 170, 04	Do.
Karlicek, Mae J	do	4, 170. 04	Do.
Schnase, Julia T	do	3, 984. 37	Do.
Schroeter, Marie A		4,022.85	Do.
Karl, Beverly R	do	1 496 00	Do. Do.
Machan Elizabeth E	do	3 632 06	Do. Do.
Finnegan, Marian	do	3, 419, 94	Do.
Gross, Dorothy J	do	1, 193. 49	Do.
Lewandowski, J. T.	do	3, 409. 94	Do.
Moyer, Mildred L	do	322.50	Do.
Karl, Beverly R Mechan, Elizabeth E Finnegan, Marian. Gross, Dorothy J Lewandowski, J. T Moyer, Mildred L Terangle, Rhoda E Fox, Doris S.	Cloub	3,090.13	Stenographic and clerical. Clerical.
rox, Doris S	Olerk	3, 002.41	Olericai.

# Organization—National Railroad Adjustment Board—Government employees, salaries and duties—Continued

# FIRST DIVISION-Continued

	FIRST DIVISION	Continued	·
Name	Title	Salary paid	Duties
Boyd, Robert O., 5034 days at \$75 per day.	Referee	\$3, 806. 25	Sat with division as member to make awards, upon failure of division to agree or secure majority vote.
Bushnell, George E., 3834 days at \$75 per day.	do	2, 906. 25	Do.
Coffey, A. Langley, 101 days at \$75 per day.	do	7, 575. 00	Do.
Colby, Alfred A., 75 days at \$75 per day.	do	5,625.00	Do.
Guthrie, Paul N., 551/2 days at \$75 per day.	do	4, 162. 50	Do.
Kane, Joseph S., 10 days at \$75	do	750.00	Do.
per day. Kelliher, Peter M., 42 days at \$75 per day.	do	3, 150. 00	Do.
per day. Mabry, Thomas J., 14034 days at \$75 per day.	do	10, 556. 25	Do.
Munro, Angus, 85½ days at \$75 per day.	do	6, 412. 50	Do.
Rader, LeRoy, 663/4 days at \$75 per day.	do	5, 006. 25	Do.
Robertson, Francis J., 61 days at \$75 per day.	do	4, 575. 00	Do.
Weeks, John A., 19 days at \$75 per day.	do	1, 425. 00	Do.
Whiting, Dudley E., 39 days at \$75 per day.	do	2, 925. 00	Do.
FIRS	r division—suppl	EMENTA	L, C-T.
Smith, Margaret J	Clerk-stenographer	\$3, 221. 63	Secretarial, stenographic, and clerical.
Roudebush, Ethel A	do	3, 617. 63	Do. Do.
Smith, Joan M Marko, Helen E	do	3, 018. 70 3, 463. 24	Do.
Keenan, Patricia	do	1,560.61	Stenographic and clerical.
Colby, Alfred A., 391/2 days at \$75 per day.	Referee	2, 962. 50	Sat with division as member to make awards, upon failure of division to agree or secure ma- jority vote.
Douglass, David R., 13814 days at	do	10, 368. 75	Do.
\$75 per day. O'Malley, Mart J., 82½ days at \$75 per day.	do	6, 187. 50	Do.
Smith, Livingston, 7734 days at		5, 831. 25	Do.
Stone, Mortimer, 86 days at \$75 per day.	do	6, 450. 00	Do.
FIRS	r division—suppli	EMENTA	L, E-F.
Dugan, Jean M	_	\$3, 545. 48	Secretarial, stenographic, and clerical.
Fogelberg, Kay	do	3, 627. 25 1, 441. 80	Do. Do.
Smith, Joan M.	do	603.74	Do.
Sullivan, Alice M	do	3, 598. 39	Do. Stenographic and clerical.
Keenan, Patricia. Colby, Alfred A., 5712 days at \$75 per day.	Referee	1, 560. 61 4, 312. 50	Sat with division as member to make awards, upon failure of division to agree or secure ma-
Gilden, Harold M., 47 days at \$75 per day.	do	3, 525. 00	jority vote. Do.
Simmons, Robert G., 421/2 days at \$75 per day.	do	3, 187. 50	Do.
Tipton, Ernest M., 3134 days at		2, 381. 25	Do.
Whiting, Dudley E., 2934 days at \$75 per day.	do	2, 231. 25	Do.

# $\begin{array}{c} Organization{--National\ Railroad\ Adjustment\ Board{--}Government\ employees},\\ salaries\ and\ duties{--}Continued \end{array}$

# SECOND DIVISION

Name	Title	Salary paid	Duties
Sassaman, Harry J	Executive secretary	\$7,054.70	Administration of affairs of division
Bodenbender, Henry J	Clerk-stenographer	4, 182. 55	and subject to its direction. Secretarial, stenographic, and clerical.
Glenn, Allise N	do	4, 295. 50	Do.
Morrison, Margaret E	do	4, 295. 50 4, 295. 50	Do. Do.
Shaughnessy, M. V	do	4, 295, 50 4, 295, 50	Do.
Williams, Dorothy M	do	4, 295. 50	Do.
Vought, Marcella R Sturman, Alta M	do	4, 170.04 4, 008.42	Do. Do.
Watson, Muriel G	do	3, 931. 46 3, 671. 03	Do. Do.
Carter, Edward F., 34 days at \$75	Referee	2, 550. 00	Sat with division as member to
per day.			make awards, upon failure o division to agree or secure ma
Chappell, Elwood B., 3½ days at \$75 per day.	do	262. 50	jority vote. Do.
Parker, Jay S., 4134 days at \$75 per day.	do	3, 131. 25	Do
Wenke, Adolph E., 26 days at \$75 per day.	do	1, 950. 00	Do.
	THIRD DIVIS	ION	
Tummon, A. Ivan.	Assistant executive	\$5, 425. 45	Acting secretary—administration
	secretary.		Acting secretary—administration of affairs of division and subject to its direction.
Groble, Agatha E		4, 295, 50	Secretarial, stenographic, and cler- ical.
Lightner, Hazel I	dodo	4, 295, 50 4, 295, 50	Do. Do.
Anderson, Loreto C	do	4, 170. 04	Do.
Lightner, Hazel I. Morse, Frances Anderson, Loveto C. Anderson, Louise S. Balskey, Clare V Miller, Kellogg B. Sanford, Jewel C. Smith, Lois E. Killeen, Eugene A. Karlicek, Blanche R. Ferris Carol J.	do	4, 170. 04 4, 170. 04	Do. Do.
Miller, Kellogg B	do	4, 296, 01 3, 136, 00	Do. Do.
Smith, Lois E	do	4, 061. 81	Do.
Killeen, Eugene A	do	3, 825, 27 3, 767, 20	Do. Do.
Ferris, Carol J	do		Do.
Johnson, Charlene M Keating, Patrick J	Clerk	399. 50 3, 142. 71	Do. Do.
Boyd, Robert O., 1 day at \$75 per	Referee	75.00	Sat with division as member to
day.	,		make awards, upon failure of division to agree or secure
Carter, Edward F., 81 days at \$75	do	6, 075. 00	majority vote. Do.
per day. Coffey, A. Langley, 1½ days at	do	112. 50	Do.
\$75 per day. Daugherty, Carroll R., 614 days	do	487. 50	Do.
at \$75 per day. Donaldson, J. Glenn, 4912 days	do	3, 712. 50	Do.
at \$75 per day. Douglas, James M., 3 days at \$75 per day.	do	225. 00	Do.
Douglass, David R., 3434 days at	do	2, 606. 25	Do.
Elson, Alex, 50 days at \$75 per day Guthrie, Paul N., 4812 days at \$75	-:do	3, 750. 00 3, 637. 50	Do. Do.
per day.  Jasper, Paul G., 1½ days at \$75  per day.	do	112. 50	Do.
Munro, Angus, 103 days at \$75	do	7, 725. 00	Do
Parker, Jay S., 7034 days at \$75 per day.	do	5, 268. 75	Do.
Robertson, Francis J., 5714 days	do		Do.
Shake, Curtis G., 1 day at \$75 per day.		75. 00	Do.
Smith, Livingston, 6734 days at	do	5, 081. 25	Do.

### Organization—National Railroad Adjustment Board—Government employees, salaries and duties—Continued

### THIRD DIVISION-Continued

Name	Title	Salary paid	Duties
Wenke, Adolph E., 61,4 days at \$75 per day.	Referee	\$4, 612. 50	Sat with division as member to make awards, upon failure of division to agree or secure
Whiting, Dudley E., 4012 days at \$75 per day.		l.	majority vote. Do.
Wyckoff, Hubert, 66 days at \$75	do	4, 973. 44	, Do.
per day. Yeager, John W., 53 days at \$75 per day.	do	3, 975. 00	$\mathbf{D_0}$ .
	FOURTH DIVI	SION	
Parkhurst, Raymond B	Executive secretary	\$7, 993. 14	Administration of affairs of division and subject to its direction.
Humfreville, Muriel L	Clerk-stenographer	4, 295. 50	Secretarial, stenographic, and clerical.
Zimmerman, R. Hazel	doReferee	4, 295, 50 4, 170, 04 150, 00	Do. Do. Sat with division as member to make awards, upon failure of division to agree or secure majority vote.
Boyd, Robert O., 3814 days at \$75	do	2, 868. 75	Do.
per day. Carter, Edward F., 12 days at \$75	do	900.00	Do.
per day. McLaughlin, George W., 51/2 days at \$75 per day.		l	Do.
McMahon, Donald F., 48 days at	do	3, 600. 00	Do.
\$75 per day. Quinlan, Wayne, 2814 days at \$75 per day.	do	2, 137. 50	Do.

# FIRST DIVISION—NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

39 South La Salle Street, Chicago 3, Ill. Organization of the Division fiscal year 1951-52

FIRST DIVISION BOARD

W. C. LASH, Chairman 1

T. L. GREEN, Vice Chairman

J. P. BRINDLEY H. W. Burtness Frank W. Coyle George H. Dugan

B. C. Johnson C. W. KEALEY H. J. REESER O. E. SWAN

# ENGINEERS-FIREMEN SUPPLEMENTAL BOARD

P. C. Southworth, Chairman H. J. Hoglun Don A. Miller, Alternating Carrier Member H. J. HOGLUND, Vice Chairman

#### CONDUCTORS-TRAINMEN SUPPLEMENTAL BOARD

RICHARD BRÈNNAN, Chairman

J. E. Magill, Alternating Carrier Member

J. M. MacLeod, Executive Secretary?

# A. JURISDICTION

In accordance with section 3 (h) of the Railway Labor Act, as amended, the First Division of the National Railroad Adjustment Board has jurisdiction over

Succeed W. C. Keiser who retired September 5, 1951.
 Succeeded W. C. Frohning, who resigned as acting executive secretary July 6, 1951.

disputes between employes or groups of employes and carriers involving train and yard-service employes; that is, engineers, firemen, hostlers and outside hostler helpers, conductors, trainmen, and yard-service employes.

#### B. ORGANIZATION

The First Division was established in 1934 by amendment to the Railway

Labor Act (Public 442, 73d Cong.). This Division consists of:

1. First Division Board; 10 members. Five of the members are appointed and paid by carrier associations and five members are appointed and paid by the five major labor organizations of railroad employes whose crafts are under the jurisdiction of this Division.

2. Engineers-Firemen Supplemental Board, composed of three permanent members—one representing carriers, one representing the Brotherhood of Locomotive Engineers, and one representing the Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen and Enginemen. An additional carrier member serves temporarily as a repre-

sentative of the carrier whose cases are being considered.

3. Conductors-Trainmen Supplemental Board, composed of three permanent members—one representing the carriers, one representing the Order of Railway Conductors, and one representing the Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen. An additional temporary carrier member represents the carrier whose cases are being considered.

The supplemental boards were established in 1949 by resolution of the First Division Board under authority of Section 3, First (w) of the Railway Labor Act. As in the case of the First Division Board, the members of the supplemental boards are appointed and paid by the carriers and labor organizations, respectively.

are appointed and paid by the carriers and labor organizations, respectively. When the Division is unable to agree upon a case and when a number of such cases have accumulated, a referee is appointed temporarily by the Division or, if the Division cannot agree upon a selection, by the National Mediation Board, to sit with the Board which has deadlocked the cases to break the deadlock.

## C. Personnel and Operations

The number of cases docketed increased by 612 over the preceding year, an increase of 43 percent. Despite the additional work incidental to this substantial increase in workload the Division issued 930 awards, eight more than in the previous year, and the number of cases studied and deadlocked was increased from 593 to 909, an increase of 53 percent in that activity.

A number of system boards of adjustment were established through the National Mediation Board during the year to consider, among others, cases previously submitted to this Division. This was primarily the cause of an increase

from 191 to 383 in withdrawal of docketed cases.

The following table shows the ratio of awards to cases added to the docket by fiscal years from 1949. Whereas the percentage dropped from 75 last year to 57 this year, this figure would have shown a slight increase from 75 to 76 percent if net additions to the docket, over which the Division has no control, had remained constant:

Fiscal year ·	Cases dock- eted	Cases with- drawn	Added to docket	Number of awards	A wards as percentage of cases added
1949 <sup>1</sup>	1, 226	177	1, 049	554	53
	1, 766	548	1, 218	890	73
	1, 415	188	1, 224	922	75
	2, 027	383	1, 644	930	57

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Last complete fiscal year prior to establishment of supplemental boards.

# NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD—FIRST DIVISION

Table 1.—Cases docketed fiscal year 1951-52, classified according to carrier party to submission

	00 0000		
	Number of	]	Number of
Name of carrier	cases docketed	Name of carrier	cases docketed
Alabama, Tennessee & Norther		Indiana Harbor Belt	_
R. R.		Indianapolis Union Ry	- 3 - 7:
Alton & Southern R. R.	. 6	International-Great Northern R	
Atchison, Topeka & Santa I	Pe	R	
Ry.—Coast	69	Kansas City Southern Ry	
Atchison, Topeka & Santa I	e'e	Kansas, Oklahoma & Gulf Ry	
Ry.—East and West	23	Lake Superior Terminal & Trans	
Atlanta Joint Terminals		fer Co	_ 4
Atlantic Coast Line R. R.		Lehigh Valley R. R.	_ 28
Atlantic & East Carolina Ry	5	Long Island R. R.	_ 15
Baltimore & Ohio R. R.		Los Angeles Junction Ry	- 4
Beaumont, Sour Lake & Wes		Louisville & Nashville R. R.	6
Poston & Moine D. D.		Michigan Central R. R.	_ 25
Boston & Maine R. R.		Minneapolis & St. Louis Ry   Missouri-Kansas-Texas R. R	- 2 - 3
Brooklyn Eastern District Te		Missouri Pacific R. R.	- 95
Buffalo Creek R. R.	8	Monongahela R. R.	- 93 - 1
Central R. R. of New Jersey		Nashville, Chattanooga & St	- I
Central of Georgia Ry		Louis Ry	. 2
Chesapeake & Ohio Ry		New York Central R. R.—East	
Chesapeake & Ohio-Pere Ma		New York Central R. R.—Ohio	
quette District	4	Central	
Chicago & Eastern Illinois R. I		New York Central R. R.—West	
Chicago & North Western Ry.	81	New York, Chicago & St. Loui	s
Chicago, Burlington & Quino	ey	R. R.	_ 7
R. R	124	New York, New Haven & Hart	
Chicago Great Western Ry		ford R. R. Norfolk & Western R. R.	- 1
Chicago, Milwaukee, St. Paul Pacific—East	<b>2</b> 4	Norfolk Southern Ry.	- 1 - 9
Chicago, Milwaukee, St. Paul	24 &	Northern Pacific Ry	- 9 - 11
Pacific—West	6	Northwestern Pacific R. R.	- 11
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacif		Northern Pacific Terminal o	f
RR	4	Oregon	- 4
Chicago, St. Paul, Minneapol	is	Ogden Union Railway & Depo	t
& Omaha Ry	10	Co	- 4
Cleveland, Cincinnati, Chicag	go	Pacific Coast R. R.	_ 1
& St. Louis Ry	4	Pacific Electric Ry	_ 2
Clinchfield R. R.		Pennsylvania R. R.—Central	
Cuyahoga Valley Ry		West-East	- 1
Davenport, Rock Island Northwestern	1	Pennsylvania R. R.—Central Pennsylvania R. R.—East	- 8
Delaware & Hudson R. R.		Pennsylvania Reading Seashore	_ 15
Delaware, Lackawanna & Wes		Lines	_ <b>2</b>
ern R. R.		Philadelphia, Bethlehem & Nev	
Denver & Rio Grande Wester	'n	England	
R. R	38	Pittsburgh & Lake Erie R. R.	_ 3
Duluth, Missabe & Iron Rang	ge	Portland Traction Co	_ 1
Ry	5	Port Terminal R. R. Association	
Duluth, Winnipeg & Pacific Ry		of Houston, Tex	
Elgin, Joliet & Eastern Ry		Potomac Yard	
Erie R. R.	10	Reading Co	<sub>-</sub> 48
Florida East Coast Ry Fort Worth & Denver Ry		Richmond, Fredericksburg & Potomac R. R.	Į.
Georgia R. R.		Rutland Ry. Corp.	_ 2 _ 2
Grand Trunk Western R. R.	11	St. Louis, Brownsville & Mexico	. 2
Great Northern Ry	4	Ry	
Green Bay & Western R. R.		St. Louis, San Francisco Ry	_ 6
Gulf, Colorado & Santa H	re	St.Louis-Southwestern Ry	
R. R	4	San Diego & Arizona Easteri	n
Illinois Central R. R.	16	Ry	_ 6
Illinois Northern	3	Seaboard Air Line R. R.	- 8

Table 1.—Cases docketed fiscal year 1951-52, classified according to carrier party to submission—Continued

Name of carrier	Number of cases docketed	<u>,</u>	mber of cases ocketed
**			OCKELEU
Southern Ry	2	Union Pacific R. R.—Eastern	
Southern Pacific Co.—Pacif	ic	District	5
Lines	384	Union Pacific R. R.—Northwest-	
Southern Pacific Co.—Texas an		ern District	4
Louisiana		Union Terminal Co.—Dallas	<b>2</b>
Spokane, Portland & Seattle Ry		Upper Merion & Plymouth $R.R_{-}$	1
		Virginian Ry	8
State Belt R. R. (California)		Wabash R. R.	134
Tennessee Central Ry	2	Western Maryland Ry	24
Terminal Railroad Association	of	Western Pacific R. R.	11
St. Louis	5	Wichita Valley Ry	11
Texas & Pacific Ry	' 9	Youngstown & Northern R. R.	4
Union Pacific R. R.—South Cer		Toungatown & Hortmern 16, 16.	
tral District		Total	2,027

Table 2.—Cases docketed fiscal year 1951-52; classified according to organization party to submission

	Number of	Nu	mber of
Name of organization	cases docketed	Name of organization cases	docketed
Engineers-Firemen-Conductor		Switchmen's Union of North	
Trainmen.		America	136
Engineers-Firemen	51	Association of Street Electric	
Engineers	396	Railway & Motor Coach Em-	
Firemen	594		1
Firemen-Trainmen	2	International Association of Rail-	
Firemen-Conductors-Trainme	n_ 1	way Employees	2
Firemen-Switchmen's Union	of	Railroad Industrial Union	14
North America	1	Individuals	18
Conductors	229	-	
Conductors-Trainmen	27	Total	2,027
Trainmen	553		

# SECOND DIVISION—NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD 220 South State Street, Chicago 4, Ill.

R. W. BLAKE, Chair	man	D. H. Hicks <sup>1</sup>
R. P. Johnson, Vice	Chairman	T. E. Losey
J. A. Anderson		M. E. Somerlott
A. C. Bowen		E. W. Wiesner
C. S. CANNON		GEORGE WRIGHT
	HADDY I SACCAMA	Fragutina Sagratara

HARRY J. SASSAMAN, Executive Secretary

## JURISDICTION

Second Division: To have jurisdiction over disputes involving machinists, boilermakers, blacksmiths, sheet metal workers, electrical workers, carmen, the helpers and apprentices of all of the foregoing, coach cleaners, powerhouse employees, and railroad shop laborers. The Division shall consist of 10 members, five of whom shall be selected by the carriers and five by the national labor organizations of the employees.

#### COMMENT

In addition to the regular docketed cases, this Division has been called upon to handle a substantial volume of potential cases. Many of the communications received were from correspondents asking information as to the method and procedure necessary to properly present cases to the Division. Others recite complaints of an alleged violation of rules in existing agreements, while others made an attempt to file cases with the Division from properties on which System Boards of Adjustment exist, and still others presented disputes that may develop into cases that should properly be referred to this Division for adjudication.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Appointed to succeed A. G. Walther, September 1, 1951.

These potential cases, 42 in number, developed during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1952, and in addition, much correspondence was carried on in connection with similar potential cases listed in our report of the previous fiscal year. Many of these required special study and consideration which involved a great amount of correspondence and consumed a considerable portion of the time of the Division in an effort to secure the information necessary to direct the proper presentation and/or handling of these matters to their conclusion.

The following list shows the parties involved in the potential cases originating during the fiscal year and ing June 20, 1052:

during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1952:

# Report of cases handled by the Second Division, fiscal year ending June 30, 1952

	Number of cases		Number of cases
Docketed	110	Deadlocked	52
Heard	73	Interpretations made	2
Decided	101	-	
Decided with referee	73		
Decided without referee	. 19		
Withdrawn	. 9	·	

#### CARRIERS PARTY TO CASES DOCKETED

	Number of cases		Number of cases
		T T-1 1 D D C '	oj custa
Alton & Southern R. R.	1	Long Island R. R. Co	Ţ
American Refrigerator Transit	;	Louisville & Nashville R. R. Co.	3
Co	5	Missouri Pacific R. R. Co	7
Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe	1	Nashville, Chattanooga & St.	
Ry. Co., The		Louis Ry., The	2
Atlantic Coast Line R. R.		New York Central System	1
Baltimore & Ohio R. R. Co.,		New York, New Haven & Hart-	
The		ford R. R. Co., The	
Central of Georgia Ry. Co		Norfolk & Western Ry. Co	
Chicago & Eastern Illinois R. R.	_	Pennsylvania R. R., The	
Co	,	Potomac Yard	-
Chicago and North Western Ry.		Pullman Co., The	
	2		
Co		Reading Co Seaboard Air Line R. R. Co	
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy			
R. R. Co	. 1	Southern Pacific Co. (Pacific	
Chicago Great Western Ry. Co-		Lines)	1
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific		Southern Pacific Lines in Texas	
R. Ř. Co	. 3		
Cincinnati Union Terminal Co.,		Orleans R. R. Co.)	
The	. 1	Tennessee Central Ry. Co	1
Delaware & Hudson R. R. Corp.	. 1	Terminal Railroad Association	
Denver & Rio Grande Western		of St. Louis	1
R. R. Co., The		Union Pacific R. R.	3
Fort Dodge, Des Moines &	-	Union Ry. Co. (Memphis)	
Southern Ry, Co		Union Terminal Co. (Dallas)	ĵ.
		Wabash R. R. Co.	
Gulf, Colorado & Santa Fe Ry,	1	11 avasii 16. 16. 00	
•		Total	110
Illinois Central R. R. Co		10081	110
Illinois Terminal R. R. Co	. 1	I	

# THIRD DIVISION—NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

### 220 South State Street, Chicago 4, Ill.

GERALD ORNDORFF, Chairman	C. P. Dugan
-J. E. Kemp, Vice Chairman	A. R. Ferris
R. H. Allison 1	A. H. Jones <sup>2</sup>
R. M. BUTLER	ROGER SARCHET
W. H. CASTLE	J. H. Sylvester
A. J. Cunningham	

# A. IVAN TUMMON, Acting Executive Secretary

### JURISDICTION

Third Division: To have jurisdiction over disputes involving station, tower and telegraph employees, train dispatchers, maintenance-of-way men, clerical employees, freight handlers, express, station and store employees, signalmen, sleeping car conductors, sleeping car porters and maids, and dining car employees. This division shall consist of 10 members, 5 of whom shall be selected by the carriers and 5 by the national labor organizations of employees (pars. (h) and (c), sec. 3, First, Railway Labor Act, 1934).

## Report of cases handled by the Third Division, fiscal year 1952

	Number of cases		Number of cases
Open and on hand July 1, 1951	306	Deadlocked	470
Docketed	573	Decided by referee	401
Heard	478	Open and on hand June 30, 1952.	<sup>2</sup> 417
Decided	<sup>1</sup> 465	Interpretations	12
Withdrawn	37		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Award Nos. 5017 and 5382 on docket DC-4990; Award Nos. 4780 and 5718 on docket MW-4670. 
<sup>2</sup> Includes resubmission docket.

#### CARRIERS PARTY TO CASES DOCKETED

	Number of cases	1	Number of cases
Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe	.,	Clinchfield	•
Atlanta Terminal	2	Colorado & Southern	
Atlanta & West Point		Delaware & Hudson	17
Atlantic Coast Line		Delaware, Lackawanna &	
Baltimore & Ohio		Western	2
Belt Railway of Chicago		Denver & Rio Grande Western_	
Boston and Maine		Elgin, Joliet & Eastern	
Boston Terminal	1	Erie	10
Charleston & Western Carolina	$\ddot{2}$	Florida East Coast	4
Central of Georgia	2	Fort Worth & Denver	. 3
Central Railroad of New Jersey	5	Fruit Growers Express	. 1
Chesapeake and Ohio	6	Georgia	. 2
Chesapeake and Ohio (Pere Mar-		Georgia, Southern & Florida	. 1
quette)	2	Grand Trunk Western	
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy	6	Great Northern	. 8
Chicago and Eastern Illinois	. 9	Gulf Coast—IGN	
Chicago and North Western		Gulf, Colorado & Santa Fe	
Chicago Great Western	2	Gulf, Mobile & Ohio	
Chicago, Indianapolis & Louis-		Houston Belt & Terminal	
ville	4	Illinois Central	. 16
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific		International Great Northern	_
Chicago, Milwaukee, St. Paul &		Kansas City Southern	
Pacific	7	Kansas City Terminal	
Chicago Union Station		Long Island	
Cincinnati, New Orleans & Texas		Louisiana & Arkansas	
Pacific	]	Louisiana & Northwest	
Cincinnati Union Terminal	1	Louisville & Nashville	
Cleveland, Cincinnati, Chicago &		Maine Central	
St. Louis	2	Michigan Central	. 1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> R. H. Allison replaced by W. H. Castle January 1, 1952.

Deceased June 25, 1952.

# Report of cases handled by the Third Division, fiscal year 1952—Continued

## CARRIERS PARTY TO CASES DOCKETED-continued

	Number		Number
	of cases		of cases
Midland Valley	1	St. Louis-San Francisco	5
Milwaukee-Kansas City South-		St. Louis Southwestern	9
ern Joint Agency	1	Salt Lake Union Depot & R. R.	1
Minneapolis, St. Paul & Sault		Seaboard Air Line	17
Ste. Marie	3	Southern	5
Missouri-Illinois	1	Southern Pacific (Pacific Lines)	16
Missouri-Kansas-Texas	19		
Missouri Pacific R. R.	10	isiana)	2
Missouri Pacific (TL)	8	Stock Yards District Agency	. 1
Nashville, Chattanooga & St.		Tennessee Central	7
Louis		Terminal Railroad Association	
New York Central		of St. Louis	
New York, Chicago & St. Louis_		Texarkana Station Trust	
New York, New Haven & Hart-		Texas & Pacific	. 1
ford		Texas Pacific (M. P. Term. R. R.	
Northern Pacific	5	N. O.)	
Pacific Fruit Express	1	Union Pacific	. 10
Pennsylvania		Virginian	. 2
Pittsburgh & West Virginia		Wabash	
Potomae Yard (R. F. & P.)		Western Maryland	. 1
Pullman Co		Western Pacific	. 1
Railway Express		Western Weighing & Inspection	
Reading		Bureau	
Rutland			
Sacramento Northern		Total	573

#### ORGANIZATIONS PARTY TO CASES DOCKETED

	Number of cases		Number of cases
American Train Dispatchers		Brotherhood of Sleeping Car Porters	26
Brotherhood of Maintenance of		Joint Council Dining Car	
Way Employes Brotherhood of Railroad Signal-		Employes The Order of Railroad Telegra-	20
men of America	32	phers	92
Brotherhood of Railroad Train-		Order of Railway Conductors (Pullman System)	27
Brotherhood of Railway and		United Transport Service	
Steamship Clerks, Freight Handlers, Express and Station		Employees of America	Z
Employes	244	$\operatorname{Total}_{}$	573

# FOURTH DIVISION-NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

# 39 South State Street, Chicago 4, Ill.

M. G. Schoch, Chairman
D. H. Hicks, Vice Chairman
L. B. Fee

T. F. Purcell
W. J. Ryan
R. A. Walton

R. B. PARKHURST, Executive Secretary

#### JURISDICTION

Fourth Division: To have jurisdiction over disputes involving employees of carriers directly or indirectly engaged in transportation of passengers or property by water, and all other employees of carriers over which jurisdiction is not given to the first, second, and third divisions. This division shall consist of six members three of whom shall be selected by the carriers and three by the national labo organizations of the employees (par. (h), sec. 3, First, Railway Labor Act, 1934)

<sup>1</sup> Resigned August 31, 1951, to accept appointment, Member, Second Division.

# Report of cases handled by the Fourth Division for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1952

	Number of cases		Number of cases
Open and on hand beginning	•	Open cases on hand close of fiscal	
fiscal year		year	
New cases docketed during fiscal		Heard	
year	103		12
,		Cases heard during fiscal year	
Total number cases on hand		Cases deadlocked during fiscal	
and docketed during fiscal		vear	88
year		Interpretations issued during fis-	
J		cal year	2
Cases disposed of during fiscal		Issued without referee	
vear		Issued with referee	
Decided without referee		ibsuou with fololoogggggg	_
Decided with referee			
Withdrawn			

# CARRIERS PARTY TO CASES DOCKETED

Atlantic Coast Line R. R. CoAtchison, Topeka and Santa F	е	Minneapolis, St. Paul & Sault Ste. Marie R. R. Co	Number of cases
Ry. Co.		Minnesota Transfer Ry	1
Baltimore and Ohio R. R. Co.		Missouri Pacific R. R. Co	I
Baltimore & Ohio Chicago Ter	· <b>-</b>	Nashville Terminals	1
minal R. R. Co	_ 3		5
Bessemer & Lake Erie R. R. Co		New York Dock Ry	I
Boston & Maine R. R.		Northern Pacific Ry. Co	2
Chesapeake & Ohio R. R. Co		Pennsylvania R. R. Co	3
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy	y	Pittsburgh & Lake Erie R. R. Co.	3
R. R. Co	_ 2	St. Louis-San Francisco Ry. Co.	
Chicago, Great Western Ry. Co.		St. Louis Southwestern Ry. Co.	
Chicago, Milwaukee, St. Paul &		Seaboard Air Line R. R.	5
Pacific R. R. Co	_ 3		_
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacifi		Lines)	7
R. R. Co	_ 2	Southern Ry. Co	3
Delaware & Hudson R. R. Corp.		Spokane International R. R. Co.	1
Erie R. R. Co		Terminal R. R. Association of	
Great Northern Ry. Co		St. Louis	2
Gulf, Colorado & Santa Fe R. R		Union Pacific R. R. Co	3
Co		Wabash R. R. Co	1
Gulf, Mobile & Ohio R. R. Co.			
Illinois Central R. R. Co			103
Minneapolis & St. Louis Ry. Co.	_ 1		

# ORGANIZATION—EMPLOYEES PARTY TO CASES DOCKETED

Number of cases	Number of cases
American Brotherhood of Railroad Police2	1
Brotherhood of Railroad Train- men 9	
Brotherhood of Sleeping Car Porters 7	Railroad Yardmasters of North America, Inc
Marine Department Employees 1 Miscellaneous Classes of Employees 1	Switchmen's Union of North America1
Police Department Employees 1	103

# ≈

### APPENDIX B

#### NEUTRAL ARBITRATORS

Under section 7, second (a), the National Mediation Board is required to name the neutral third arbitrator if the party arbitrators fail to name the third arbitrator within 5 days after their first meeting. A list of the neutral arbitrators named under this provision during the fiscal year 1952 is as follows: Also listed below are the names of neutral arbitrators named by the Board to serve on Special Boards of Adjustment created to dispose of grievance dockets on individual railroads.

# Arbitrators appointed—Arbitration boards

Name	Residence	Date of appointment	Arbitration and case No.	Parties
Cole, David L	Paterson, N. J.	June 28, 1951	Arb. 153, A-35?1	The Pennsylvania R. R. Co. v. Brotherhood of Locomotive Engineers, Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen and Engine- men, Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen and Order of Rail-
Douglass, Frank P.1	Pine, Colo	July 11, 1951	Arb. 156, A-3643	road Telegraphers. Eastern, Western and Southeastern Carriers' Conference
Swacker, Frank M.1	·	[	1	Committees v. American Train Dispatchers Association. The Cuyahoga Valley R. R. Co. v. Brotherhood of Railroad
Do. !	do	Aug. 31, 1951.	Arb, 158, A-3297	Trainmen. Houston Belt & Terminal Ry. Co. and Missouri Pocific Lines (International Great Northern R. R. CoGulf Coast Lines) v. Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen.
Horvitz, Aaron 1	do	Sept. 4, 1951	Arb. 155, A-3632	Northwest Airlines, Inc. v. Air Line Communication Em
Douglass, Frank P	Pine, Colo Denver, Colo	Sept. 25, 1951. Aug. 28, 1950, and reap- pointed Oct. 19, 1951.	Arb. 146, reconvened	ployees Association, Unaffiliated. South Buffalo Ry. Co. v. Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen. Chicago, St. Paul, Minneapolis & Omaha Ry. Co. v. Order of Railway Conductors, Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen, and Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen and Enginemen.
		1951.	Arb. 162, A-3734 4	National Airlines, Inc. v. International Association of Machinists-Air Transport Div., District No. 145.
Shake, Curtis G	Vincennes, Ind Lansing, Mich	Nov. 14, 1951 Jan. 25, 1952	Arb. 159	Boston & Maine R. R. v. Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen. Aliquippa & Southern R. R. Co. v. Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen.
Douglass, Frank P	Pine, Colo	Mar. 4, 1952	Arb. 164, A-3773	Eastern Air Lines, Inc. v. Flight Engineers' International
Cole, David L.1	Paterson, N. J	do	Arb. 165, A-3852	Association (EAL Chapter), A. F. of L. Pan American World Airways, Inc. v. Air Line Pilots' Asso-
Garrison, Lloyd K	New York, N. Y	June 2, 1952	Arb. 166, A-3849	ciation, International.  The Delaware, Lackawanna & Western R. R. Co. v. Switch-
Parker, Jay S.1	ļ	June 9, 1952.	Arb. 160, A-3525	men's Union of North America. The Illinois Northern Ry. v. Brotherhood of Railway and Steamship Clerks, Freight Handlers, Express and Station Employees.

<sup>1</sup> Selected by the parties to dispute.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Case withdrawn during proceedings before Chairman Swacker due to agreement resolving dispute on July 26, 1951.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Arbitrator reappointed for the purpose of rendering an interpretation of award rendered during fiscal year 1951.

Case withdrawn from arbitration on Nov. 5, 1951, due to an agreement consummated between the parties to dispute.

# Arbitrators appointed—Special boards of adjustment, fiscal year ending June 30, 1952

Name	Residence	Date of appointment	Special board of adjustment	Parties
Healey, James J	Boston, Mass	July 2, 1951	No. 6	Boston & Maine Railroad Co. and Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen and Enginemen.
O'Malley, Mart J.1	Huntington, Ind	Dec. 27, 1951	No. 8	New York Central R. R. (Ohio Central Lines) and Brotherhood of Locomotive
Swacker, Frank M.1			No. 7	Engineers, Brotherhood of Locymotive Firemen and Enginemen, Order of Railway Conductors and Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen. Gulf, Colorado & Santa Fe Rwy. Co. and Protherhood of Locomotive Engineers, Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen and Enginemen, Order of Railroad Conductors, Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen.
Do 1	do	Dec. 29, 1951	No. 9	Chicago, Burlington & Quincy R. R. Co. and Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen. Boston & Maine Railroad Co. and Railway Employes' Department, A. F. of L.,
Robertson, Francis J			No. 11	System Fed. No. 18.  Baltimore & Ohio R. R. Co., The Baltimore & Ohio Chicago Terminal R. R. Co., Staten Island Rapid Transit Rwy. Co. and Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen.
Jackson, Andrew 1	New York, N. Y	Apr. 7, 1952	No. 12	Denver & Rio Grande Western R. R. Co. and Brotherhood of Railroad Train-
Donaldson, J. Glenn	Denver, Colorado	May 7, 1952	No. 13	men.  Denver & Rio Grande Western R. R. Co. and Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen and Enginemen.
Do 1	do	do	No. 14	Denver & Rio Grande Western R. R. Co. and Switchmen's Union of North
Munro, Angus 1	Dallas, Texas	May 28, 1952	No. 15	America. Reading Co. and Order of Railway Conductors, Brotherhood of Locomotive Fire-
Sharfman, Dr. I. L.1	Ann Arbor, Michigan	June 2, 1952	No. 16	men and Enginemen.  Detroit, Toledo & Ironton R. R. Co. and Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen and Enginemen.
Leiserson, Dr. William M	Washington, D. C	June 4, 1952	No. 17	Western Maryland Ry. Co. and Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen.

<sup>1</sup> Selected by the parties to the dispute.

 $<sup>^{3}</sup>$  Neutral member not named or appointed due to the parties with drawing dispute on Feb. 7, 1952, by mutual agreement.