### Thirty-Fourth

### ANNUAL REPORT OF THE

# NATIONAL MEDIATION BOARD

INCLUDING

THE REPORT OF THE NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 1968

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE
WASHINGTON: 1968

For sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office Washington, D.C. 20402 • Price 45 cents (paper cover)

### NATIONAL MEDIATION BOARD

Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 1968

HOWARD G. GAMSER, Chairman
FRANCIS A. O'NEILL, Jr., Member
LEVERETT EDWARDS, Member
THOMAS A. TRACY, Executive Secretary
C. ROBERT ROADLEY, Assistant Executive Secretary

### LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL

National Mediation Board, Office of the Chairman Washington, D.C., November 1, 1968

To the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled:

Pursuant to the provisions of section 4, second, of Public, No. 442, approved June 21, 1934, I have the honor to submit the Thirty-fourth Annual Report of the National Mediation Board for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1968, together with the annual report of the National Railroad Adjustment Board, as required by section 3, first (w), of the same act.

LEVERETT EDWARDS, Chairman.

### **CONTENTS**

	r of transmittal
I.	Summary and observations
	1. Strikes
	2. Threatened strikes
	3. Items of special interest
II.	Record of cases
	1. Cases handled by the Board
	2. Disposition of cases
	3. Major groups of employees involved in cases
	4. Record of mediation cases
	5. Election and certification of representatives
III.	Mediation disputes
	1. Problems in mediation
IV.	Representation disputes
	1. Rules and regulations
V.	Arbitration and Emergency Boards
	1. Arbitration Boards
	2. Emergency Boards—section 10, Railway Labor Act.
VI.	Wage and rule agreements
	1. Agreements covering rates of pay, rules, and working conditions
	2. Notices regarding contracts of employment
VII.	Interpretation and application of agreements
	1. Interpretation of mediation agreements
	2. National Railroad Adjustment Board
	3. Airline Adjustment Boards—System Boards of Adjustment (Airline)
	4. Special Boards of Adjustment (Railroad)
	5. P.L. Boards (pursuant to Public Law 89-456 of June 20, 1966)
III.	Organization and finances of National Mediation Board
	1. Organization
	2. Financial statement
	APPENDIX A
lepor	rt of National Railroad Adjustment Board
•	•
	APPENDIX B
Teutr	al arbitrators and referees appointed
	1. Neutrals appointed—P.L. Boards
	2. Arbitrators appointed—Arbitration Boards
	3. Arbitrators appointed—Special board of Adjustment
	4. Arbitrators appointed—union shop agreements
	5. Referees appointed—System Board of Adjustment

### APPENDIX C

### LIST OF TABLES

Tab.	le No.	Page
	Number of cases received and disposed of, fiscal years 1935-68	72
2.	Disposition of mediation cases by method, class of carrier, issue involved, fiscal year 1968	73
3.	Representation cases: Disposition by craft or class, employees involved and participating, fiscal year 1968	74
4.	Number of cases disposed of by major groups of employees, fiscal year 1968	74
5.	Number of crafts or classes and number of employees involved in representation cases by major groups of employees, fiscal year 1968	75
6.	Number of crafts or classes certified and employees involved in representation cases by types of results, fiscal year 1968	76
7.	Strikes in the railroad and airline industries, July 1, 1967 to June 30, 1968	77
8.	Number of labor agreements on file with the National Mediation Board according to type of labor organizations, by class of carriers, fiscal years 1935–68	78
9.	Cases docketed and disposed of by the National Railroad Adjustment Board, fiscal years 1935-68	79
10.	Employee representation on selected rail and air carriers as of June 30, 1968	81

### I. SUMMARY AND OBSERVATIONS

This report summarizes the activity of the National Mediation Board in its work of administering the Railway Labor Act during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1968. This report also includes a summary of the activities of the National Railroad Adjustment Board for the same period.

The Railway Labor Act is the Federal legislation specifically designed to establish a code of procedure for handling labor relations in the vital rail and air transportation industries. The statute provides a complete set of tools to be used in achieving industrial peace

at all levels of negotiations.

These procedures include in the first instance a requirement that the parties directly negotiate in an effort to resolve differences which may arise in making new agreements or revising existing agreements. Subsequent steps include assistance to the parties through the mediatory services of the National Mediation Board, final and binding arbitration by an impartial neutral person, and, in certain instances, investigation and recommendation by a Presidential board.

Procedures are available to dispose of disputes involving the interpretation or application of existing agreements between the parties.

All of these tools are available for use by the parties in finding a solution to their own labor relations problems. Providing tools, however, does not in itself assure a peaceful resolution of the differences between the parties. The procedures of the Railway Labor Act provide the means by which the parties may reach a settlement of their problems but the duty of the parties to make their own decisions is not usurped by the act. The act should not be used as a shield by the parties to avoid their duties and responsibilities to the public to settle promptly all disputes relating to making and maintaining agreements concerning rates of pay, rules, and working conditions of employees. The parties themselves have an obligation to conduct their labor relations in a maner that will prevent interruption to transportation services so vital to the needs of the public and the general welfare of the nation.

During the past fiscal year, major efforts of the Board were devoted to disputes involving the 1968 periodic wage increase and rules change proposals of practically all of the Standard Railway Labor Organizations, representing operating and nonoperating employees of the major

railroads of the country.

Agreements having industrywide application were completed during and shortly after the close of the fiscal year, between major carriers and three Organizations representing operating employees and also with four Organizations representing nonoperating employees. The settlements reached in these instances followed a uniform "pattern" with respect to wage increases and certain "fringe" benefits and a uniform contract term period extending until December 31, 1969.

Other contract improvements and revisions were also included in these settlements.

The 1968 wage and rules movement of three other Standard Railway Labor Organizations (two representing operating employees and one representing nonoperating employees) were being progressed through the procedures of the Act at the close of the fiscal year.

The six Standard Railway Labor Organizations representing "Shop-craft" employees were précluded from initiating proposals for wages increases and rules changes of their collective bargaining agreements with major carriers until September 1, 1968, by the terms of the Determination of the Special Railroad Board, established pursuant to Public Law 90–54 which prescribed a wage increase and pay differential settlement and duration term extending to December 31, 1968, of a wage dispute growing out of proposals of these Organizations initiated during 1966.

As outlined in "Items of Special Interest" in chapter I of this report, other disputes of particular significance to the railroad industry were being progressed through the procedures of the Act during the past fiscal year. These disputes relate to proposals for the manning of locomotives and the number of employees to be used in road and yard

train operations.

These disputes have been under consideration since the expiration in early 1966 of the Award of Arbitration Board No. 282, established pursuant to Public Law 88–108. While a number of settlements have been made on individual rail carriers of the road and yard train "crew consist" issue, in one instance during the past fiscal year, disputes over this issue resulted in a work stoppage of 5 days duration on three major rail carriers before settlement was made of the disputes.

In the airline industry, settlements of wage increase and rules change proposals were achieved by representatives of the carriers and employees without interruption to the operation of any major domestic air carrier. However, as outlined elsewhere in this chapter I, the services of one international air carrier were interrupted by a workstoppage resulting from an unsettled dispute over wage and rules

change proposals of the parties.

The Board is hopeful that the problems which confront the railroad and airline industries will be resolved by a recognition on the part of representatives of carriers and organizations of their responsibility to work with each other and their duty to the public to reconcile and compose their differences within the framework of free collective bargaining.

Railway Labor Act—Development

The 1926 Railway Labor Act encompassed proposals advanced by representatives of management and labor outlining comprehensive procedures and methods for the handling of labor disputes founded upon practical experience gained by the parties under many previous laws and regulations in this field.<sup>1</sup>

Because of the importance of the transportation service provided by the railroads and because of the pecular problems encountered in this industry, special and separate legislation was enacted to avoid interruptions to interstate commerce as a result of unsettled labor

disputes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Act of 1888; Erdman Act, 1898; Newlands Act, 1913; labor relations under Federal control 1917-20; Transportation Act of 1920.

In 1934 the original act was amended and supplemented in important procedural respects. Principally, these amendments provided for: (1) Protection of the right of employees to organize for collective bargaining purposes, (2) a method by which the National Mediation Board could authoritatively determine and certify the collective bargaining agent to represent the employees, and (3) a positive procedure to insure disposition of grievance cases, or disputes involving the interpretation or application of the terms of existing collective-bargaining agreements by their submission to the National Railroad Adjustment Board.

The amended act of 1934 retained the procedures in the 1926 act for the handling of controversies between carriers and their employees growing out of proposals to make or change collective bargaining agreements concerning rates of pay, rules, or working conditions. The procedures outlined in the act for handling this type of dispute are: Conferences by the parties on the individual properties in an effort to settle the dispute, mediation by the National Mediation Board, voluntary arbitration, and, in special cases, Emergency Board

procedure.

The National Railroad Adjustment Board was created in 1934 by section 3 of the amended act for the purpose of resolving disputes arising out of grievances or out of the interpretation or application of collective bargaining agreement in the railroad industry. Disputes of this type are sometimes referred to as "minor disputes."

The amended act provided that either party could process a "minor dispute" to the newly created Adjustment Board for final determination, without, as previously required, the necessity of securing the consent or concurrence of the other party to have the controversy

decided by a special form of arbitration.2

The airlines and their employees were brought within the scope of the act on April 10, 1936, by the addition of title II. All of the procedures of title I of the act, except section 3 (National Railroad Adjustment Board procedure) were made applicable to common carriers by air engaged in interstate commerce or transporting mail for or under contract with the U.S. Government. Special provisions, however, were made in title II of the act for the handling of disputes arising out of grievances or out of the interpretation or applications of existing collective bargining agreements in the airline industry.

The act was amended January 10, 1951, so as to permit carriers and labor organizations to make agreements, requiring as a condition of continued employment, that all employees of a craft or class represented by the labor organization, become members of that organization. This amendment (sec. 2, eleventh) also permitted the making of agreements providing for the checkoff of union dues, subject to

specific authorization of the individual employee.

### Purposes of Act

The general purposes of the act are described in section 2 as follows:

(1) To avoid any interruption to commerce or to the operation of any carrier engaged therein; (2) to forbid any limitation upon freedom of association among employees or any denial, as a condition of employment or otherwise, of the right

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> By amendment June 20, 1966 (Public Law 89-456), "minor disputes" may be processed to special boards of adjustment on individual carriers.

of employees to join a labor organization; (3) to provide for the complete independence of carriers and of employees in the matter of self-organization; (4) to provide for the prompt and orderly settlement of all disputes concerning rates of pay, rules, or working conditions; (5) to provide for the prompt and orderly settlement of all disputes growing out of grievances or out of the interpretation or application of agreements covering rates of pay, rules, or working conditions.

To promote the fulfillment of these general purposes, legal rights are established and legal duties and obligations are imposed on labor and management. The act provides "that representatives of both sides are to be designated by the respective parties without interference, influence or coercion by either party over the designation by the other" and "all disputes between a carrier or carriers and its or their employees shall be considered and if possible decided with all expedition in conference between authorized representatives of the parties." The principle of collective bargaining is aided by the provision that "it shall be the duty of all carriers, their officers, agents, and employees to exert every reasonable effort to make and maintain agreements concerning rates of pay, rules, and working conditions."

### **Duties of the Board**

In the administration of the act, two major duties are imposed on the National Mediation Board, viz:

(1) The mediation of disputes between carriers and the labor organizations representing their employees, relating to the making of new agreements or the changing of existing agreements, affecting rates of pay, rules, and working conditions, after the parties have been unsuccessful in their at-home bargaining efforts to compose their differences. These disputes are sometimes referred to as "major disputes." Disputes of this nature hold the

greatest potential for interrupting commerce.

(2) The duty of ascertaining and certifying the representative of any craft or class of employees to the carriers after investigation through secret-ballot elections or other appropriate methods of employees' representation choice. This type of dispute is confined to controversies among employees over the choice of a collective bargaining agent. The carrier is not a party to such disputes. Under section 2, ninth, of the act the Board is given authority to make final determination of this type of

dispute.

In addition to these major duties, the Board has other duties imposed by law among which are: The interpretation of agreements made under its mediatory auspices; the appointment of neutral referees when requested by the various divisions of the National Railroad Adjustment Board to make awards in cases that have reached deadlock; the appointment of neutrals when necessary in arbitrations held under the act; the appointment of neutrals when requested to sit with System and Special Boards of Adjustment; certain duties prescribed by the act in connection with the eligibility of labor organizations to participate in the selection of the membership of the National Railroad Adjustment Board, and also the duty of notifying the President of the United States when labor disputes which in the judgment of the Board threaten substantially to interrupt interstate commerce to a degree such as to deprive any section of the country of essential trans-

portation service. In such cases the President may in his discretion appoint an emergency board to investigate and report to him on the dispute.

### Labor Disputes Under the Railway Labor Act

The Railway Labor Act provides procedures for the consideration and progression of labor disputes in a definite and orderly manner. Broadly speaking, these disputes fall into three general groups: (1) Representation Disputes, controversies arising among employees over the choice of a collective bargaining representative; (2) Major Disputes, controversies between carriers and employees arising out of proposals to make or revise collective bargaining agreements; and (3) Minor Disputes, controversies between carriers and employees over the interpretation or application of existing agreements.

### Representation Disputes

Experience during the period 1926 and 1934 showed that the absence of a provision in the law of a definite procedural method to impartially determine the right of the representative at the bargaining table to act as spokesman on behalf of the employees was a deterrent to reaching the merits of proposals advanced and often frustrated the collective bargaining process. To remedy this deficiency in the law, section 2 of the act was amended in 1934 so that in case a dispute arose among a carrier's employees as to who represented the employees, the National Mediation Board could investigate and determine the representation desires of employees with finality.

In order to accomplish this duty, the Board was authorized to take a secret ballot of the employees involved or to utilize any other appropriate method of ascertaining the duly designated and authorized representative of the employees. The Board upon completion of its investigation certifies the name of the representative and the carrier then is required to treat with that representative for the purposes of the act. Through this procedure a definite determination is made as to who may represent the employees at the bargaining table.

### Major Disputes

The step-by-step procedure of direct negotiation, mediation, arbitration, and emergency boards for handling proposals to make, amend, or revise agreements between labor and management incorporated in the 1926 act was retained by the 1934 amendments. This procedure contemplates that direct negotiations between the parties will be initiated by a written notice by either of the parties at least 30 days prior to the date of the intended change in the agreement. Acknowledgment of the notice and arrangements for the conference by the parties on the subject of the notice is made within 10 days. The conference must begin within the 30 days provided in the notice. In this manner direct negotiations between the parties commence on a definite written proposal by either of the parties. Those conferences may continue from time to time until a settlement or deadlock is reached. During this period and for a period of 10 days after the termination of conference between the parties the act provides the "status quo will be maintained and rates of pay, rules, or working conditions shall not be altered by the carrier."

There are no accurate statistics to indicate how many disputes have been settled at this level by the parties without outside assistance; however, each year the Board receives well over a thousand amendments or revisions of agreements. Such settlements outnumber those that are made with the assistance of the Board, and clearly indicate the effectiveness of the first step of the procedures outlined in the act that it shall be the duty of carriers and employees to exert every reasonable effort to make and maintain agreements concerning rates of pay, rules, and working conditions. In the event that the parties do not settle their problem in direct negotiations either party may request the services of the National Mediation Board in settling the dispute or the Board may proffer its services to parties. In the event this occurs, the "status quo" continues in effect and the carrier shall not alter the rates of pay, rules, or working conditions as embodied in existing agreements while the Board retains jurisdiction. At this point the Board, through its mediation services, attempts to reconcile the differences between the parties so that a mutually acceptable solution to the problem may be found. The mediation function of the Board cannot be described as a routine process following a predetermined formula. Each case is singular and the procedure adopted must be fitted to the issue involved, the time and circumstances of the dispute, and personality of the representatives of the parties. It is here that the skill of the mediator, based on extensive knowledge of the problems in the industries served, and the accumulated experience the Board has acquired is put to the test. In mediation the Board does not decide how the issue between the parties must be settled, but it attempts to lead the parties through an examination of facts and alternative considerations which will terminate in an agreement acceptable to the parties.

When the best efforts of the Board have been exhausted without a settlement of the issue in dispute the law requires that the Board urge the parties to submit the dispute to arbitration for final and binding settlement. This is not compulsory arbitration but a freely accepted procedure by the parties which will conclusively dispose of the issue at hand. The parties are not required to accept the arbitration procedure; one or both parties may decline to utilize this method of disposing of the dispute. But if the parties do accept this method of terminating the issue the act provides in sections 7, 8, and 9 a comprehensive arrangement by which the arbitration proceedings will be conducted. The Board has always felt that arbitration should be used by the parties more frequently in disposing of disputes which

have not been settled in mediation.

In the event that mediation fails and the parties refuse to arbitrate their differences the Board notifies both parties in writing that its mediatory efforts have failed and for 30 days thereafter, unless in the intervening period the parties agree to arbitration, or an emergency board shall be created under section 10 of the act, no change shall be made in the rates of pay, rules, or working conditions or established practices in effect prior to the time the dispute arose.

At this point it should be noted that the provisions of section 5 of the act permit the Board to proffer its services in case any labor emergency is found to exist at any time. The Board under this section of the act is able under its own motion to promptly communicate with the parties when advised of any labor conflict which threatens a carrier's operations and use its best efforts, by mediation, to assist the parties in resolving the dispute. The Board has found that this section of the act is most helpful in averting what otherwise might

become serious problems.

The final step in the handling of major disputes is not one which is automatically invoked when mediation is unsuccessful. Section 10 of the act pertaining to the establishment of emergency boards provides that if a dispute has not been settled by the parties after the various provisions of the act have been applied and if, in the judgment of the National Mediation Board, the dispute threatens substantially to interrupt interstate commerce to a degree such as to deprive any section of the country of essential transportation service, the President shall be notified, who may thereupon, in his discretion, create a board to investigate and report respecting such dispute. The law provides that the board shall be composed of such number of persons as seems desirable to the President. Generally, a board of three is appointed to investigate the dispute and report thereon. The report must be submitted within 30 days from the date of appointment and for that period and 30 days after, no change shall be made by the parties to the controversy in the conditions out of which the dispute arose. This latter period permits the parties to consider the report of the board as a basis for settling the dispute.

During the 34 years the National Mediation Board has been in existence, 171 emergency boards have been created. In most instances the recommendations of the boards have been accepted by the parties as a basis for resolving their disputes without resorting to a final test of economic strength. In other instances, the period of conflict has been shortened by the recommendations of the boards which narrowed the area of disagreement between the parties and clarified the issues

in dispute.

In the early days of World War II, the standard railway labor organizations, as represented by the Railway Labor Executives Association, and the carriers agreed that there should be no strikes or lockouts and that all disputes would be settled by peaceful means. The procedure under the Railway Labor Act presupposes strike ballots and the fixing of strike dates as necessary preliminaries to any threatened interruption to interstate commerce and the appointment of an emergency board by the President. The Railway Labor Executives Association suggested certain supplements to the procedures of the act for the peaceful settlement of all disputes between carriers and their employees for the duration of the war. As a result of these suggestions the National Railway Labor Panel was created by Executive Order 9172, May 22, 1952. The order provided for a panel of nine members appointed by the President. The order provided that if a dispute concerning changes in rates of pay, rules, or working conditions was not settled under the provisions of sections 5, 6, 7, 8, or 9 of the Railway Labor Act, the duly authorized representatives of the employees involved could notify the chairman of the panel of the failure of the parties to adjust the dispute. If, in his judgment the dispute was such that if unadjusted even in the absence of a strike vote it would interfere with the prosecution of the war, the chairman was empowered by order to select from the panel three members to serve as an emergency board to investigate the dispute and report to the President.

The National Railway Labor Panel operated from May 22, 1942, to August 11, 1947, when it was discontinued by Executive Order 9883. During the period of its existence, the panel provided 58 emergency boards. Except for a few cases, the recommendations of these boards were accepted by the parties in settlement of dispute.

### Minor Disputes

Agreements made in accordance with the procedure outlined above for handling major disputes provide the basis on which the day to day relationship between labor and management in the industries served by the Railway Labor Act are governed. In the application of these agreements to specific factual situations, disputes frequently arise as to the meaning and intent of the agreement. These are called minor disputes.

The 1926 act provided that carriers or groups of carriers and their employees would agree to the establishment of boards of adjustment composed equally of representatives of labor and management to resolve disputes arising out of interpretation of agreements. The failure on the part of the parties to agree to establish boards of adjust-

ment negated the intent of this provision of the law.

In 1934 the Railway Labor Act was amended so as to establish a positive procedure for handling minor disputes. Under the amended law, grievances or claims that the existing employment agreement have been violated are first handled under the established procedure outlined in the agreement and if not disposed of by this method they may be submitted for a final decision to the adjustment board. The act states that these disputes "shall be handled in the usual manner up to and including the chief operating officer of the carrier designated to handle such disputes: but failing to reach an adjustment in this manner, the disputes may be referred by petition of the parties or by either party to the appropriate divisions of the National Railroad Adjustment Board with a full statement of facts and all supporting data bearing upon the dispute."

In 1966, section 3 of the act was amended to provide a procedure for establishment of special boards of adjustment on individual railroads to dispose of "minor disputes" on demand of the railroad or the representative of a craft or class of employees of such railroad. Prior to this amendment the statute did not make provision for establishing by unilateral action special boards of adjustment on the individual railroads for disposition of "minor disputes." Such boards could only be established by agreement between the parties. Special boards of adjustment established under this amendment are designated as PL Boards to distinguish them from other special boards of

adjustment.

The National Railroad Adjustment Board, with headquarters in Chicago, Ill., is composed of equal representation of labor and management who if they cannot dispose of the dispute may select a neutral referee to sit with them and break the tie or in the event they cannot agree upon the referee the act provides that the National Mediation Board shall appoint a referee to sit with them and dispose of the dispute. The Supreme Court has stated that the provisions dealing with the adjustment board were to be considered as compulsory arbitration in this limited field. (Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen v. Chicago River and Indiana Railroad Co., 353 U.S. 30.)

### Summaru

As will be seen from the foregoing outline, the Railway Labor Act provides a comprehensive system for the settlement of labor disputes in the railroad and airline industries. The various principles and procedures of that system were incorporated in it only after they had proved effective and necessary by experience under previous statutes.

The first annual report of the National Mediation Board for the

fiscal year ending June 30, 1935, stated:

Whereas the early legislation for the railroads \* \* \* made no attempt to differentiate labor controversies but treated them as if they were all of a kind, the amended Railway Labor Act clearly distinguishes various kinds of disputes, provides different methods and principles for setting the different kinds, and sets up separate agencies for handling the various types of labor disputes. These principles and methods, built up through years of experimentation, provide a model labor policy, based on equal rights and equitable relations.

The first annual report of the National Mediation Board for the fiscal making or changing of a collective bargaining agreement under which the parties must live and work, an agreed upon solution is more desirable than one imposed by decision. This principle preserves the freedom of contract in conformity with the freedom inherent in our system

of government.

The design of the act is to place on the parties to any dispute of this character the responsibility to weigh and consider the merit and practicality of their proposal and to hear and consider opposing views and offers of compromise and adjustment—and time to reflect on the consequences to their own interest and the interest of the public of any other course than a peaceful solution of their problems.

Procedures in themselves do not guarantee mechanical simplicity in disposing of industrial disputes, which the Supreme Court of the United States has aptly described as "a subject highly charged with emotion." Good faith efforts of the parties and a will to solve their own problems are essential ingredients to the maintenance of peaceful

relations and uninterrupted service.

As with any system or plan which seeks to retain freedom of contract and the right to resort to economic force, there have been periods of crises under the act, but in the aggregate, the system has worked well it has settled large numbers of disputes both at the local and national

level with a minimum of disturbance to the public.

It cannot, however, be overemphasized that whatever the success that has been achieved in maintaining industrial peace in the industries served by the Railway Labor Act has resulted from the cooperation of carriers and organizations in solving their own problems. The future success of the law depends upon continued respect for the processes of free collective bargaining and consideration of the public interest involved.

### Railroad Industrywide Bargaining

In the railroad industry, there has been a practice followed for many years by agreement between representatives of management and labor to conduct collective bargaining negotiations of periodic wage and rules requests on an industrywide basis. These are generally referred to as concerted or national wage and rules movements.

In the initiation of such movements, the Standard Railway Labor Organizations representing practically all railroad employees on the major trunkline carriers and other important rail transportation facilities will serve proposals on the individual carriers throughout the country. These proposals also include a request that if the proposals are not settled on the individual property, the carrier join with other carriers receiving a like proposal, in authorizing a carriers' conference committee to represent it in handling the matter in negotiations at the national level.

Conversely, counterproposals or new proposals for wage adjustments or revision of collective bargaining contract rules, which the railroads desire to progress for negotiations at the national level, are served by the officials of the individual carriers on the local repre-

sentatives of labor organizations involved.

When the parties are agreeable to negotiate on a national basis, three regional carriers' conference committees are usually established with authority to represent the principal carriers in the Eastern, Western, and Southeastern territories. Recently, the carriers established a National Railway Labor Conference on a permanent basis. The employees involved are represented by national conference committees established by the labor organizations.

Generally, 11 Standard Railway Labor Organizations, representing the vast majority of nonoperating employees (those not directly involved in the movement of trains, such as shop crafts, maintenance-ofway and signal forces, clerical and communication employees), jointly

progress a uniform national wage and rules movement.

Other organizations representing certain nonoperating employees, such as yardmasters and train dispatchers, generally progress their national wage and rule movements separately, although at times in the past, they have joined with the larger group of Standard Railway

Labor Organizations representing nonoperating employees.

The five labor organizations representing practically all the major railroads' operating employees (those engaged directly in the movement of trains, such as locomotive engineers, locomotive firemen, road conductors, road trainmen, and yardmen), progress their wages and rules proposals for national handling in the same manner but separately, as a general rule. In some instances, the proposals of these organizations will be substantially similar in the amount of wage increases or improvement in working conditions requested. In other instances in the past, there has been a variety of proposals by some of these organizations, differing particularly in the number and character of rules changes proposed. These instances have usually produced proposals by the carriers of a broad scope for changes in the wage structure and working rules, applicable to operating employees. The experience in handling has been generally satisfactory when the requests are relatively uniform as to wages or involve only a few rules proposals. On the other hand, numerous proposals for changes in rules, and those seeking substantial departure from existing rules, produce controversies extremely difficult to compose.

The benefit of negotiations, national in scope, is that when settlement is effected, it establishes a "pattern" for the entire industry, extending generally to all of the major carriers of the country. Other important rail transportation facilities and smaller carriers which do not participate actively in the national negotiations will, as a rule, adopt the same or similar pattern. Thus, a single negotiating proceedings, if successful, disposes of problems which otherwise would

probably result in hundreds of serious disputes developing at the same time or closely following one another on the various railroads of the country.

1. Strikes

Table 7, appendix C, of this report indicates a tabulation of five work stoppages occurring in industries covered by the Railway Labor Act. Two of these stoppages occurred in the airline industry and three occurred in the railroad industry.

Work stoppages of short duration or those involving a few employees which were settled without the intervention of this Board, are not

included in this report.

A brief summary of the work stoppages which occurred during the fiscal year follows:

A-8032—West Coast Airlines, Inc., and the Air Line Employees Association.

A strike of 8 days duration occurred on this local service air carrier, based in Seattle, Washington, commencing on July 3, 1967. The issues in dispute involved proposals of both parties for changes in existing rates of pay, rules and working conditions of their collective bargaining agreement covering passenger service employees. This dispute was the subject of mediation proceedings which culminated in a proffer by the Board to submit the controversy to voluntary arbitration, which proffer was declined.

Further mediation was conducted by the National Mediation Board, in the public interest, which resulted in an agreement between the

parties dated July 10, 1967 disposing of the dispute.

A-7949 (EB No. 169)—Carriers Represented by the National Railway Labor Conference and International Association of Machinists and Aerospace Workers; International Brotherhood of Boiler-makers, Iron Shipbuilders, Blacksmiths, Forgers and Helpers; Sheet Metal Workers International Association; International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers; Brotherhood of Railway Carmen of America; International Brotherhood of Firemen and Oilers.

The background and disposition of this dispute is described in detail in the Thirty-Third Annual Report of the National Mediation Board, Chapter I, Items of Special Interest. Sporadic work stoppages occurred on certain major railroads on July 16 and 17, 1967, and they were terminated by the enactment of Public Law 90-54 on July 17, 1967. This legislation provided a procedure for final disposition of this dispute by a five-member Special Board which issued its report and determinations on September 15, 1967.

A-7544—Missouri Pacific Railroad Company, A-7556—Texas and Pacific Railway Company, A-7533, A-7520—Seaboard Coast Line Railroad Company, and the Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen.

A work stoppage of 5 days duration occurred on the above trunk line rail carriers, beginning on February 5, 1968 and ending on February 9, 1968 when an agreement between the parties was reached. The issues in dispute involved proposals of both parties relating to contract rules governing the number of employees to be used on train

and yard crews. The dispute arose after the expiration of the Award of Arbitration Board No. 282, which had resulted in reductions of "crew size" in certain instances. The settlement of these disputes has become known as the "Jacksonville Agreement" and provided for the restoration of certain agreed upon jobs.

A-7470—Interstate Railroad Company and Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen and Enginemen.

This strike, on this comparatively small railroad, began on August 2, 1967, and continued for 143 days until settlement was reached on December 22, 1967. The dispute involved the organization's proposal for increases in rates of pay and improvements in fringe benefits for engine service employees and the carrier's request for the elimination of locomotive firemen positions. The carrier proposed that the positions be eliminated through the principle of attrition. The dispute was settled in direct negotiations between the parties. The parties agreed, with respect to the "manning issue" to meet for the purpose of implemeting such eventual settlement as is reached on a national basis involving those carriers subject to the Award of Arbitration Board No. 282. Certain rules governing the use of firemen were made applicable during the interim period.

A-8163—Qantas Empire Airways, Ltd. and International Association of Machinists and Aerospace Workers, AFL-CIO.

A work stoppage on this international air carrier began on December 18, 1967, by mechanics and related employees at the carrier's bases in San Francisco and Honolulu. The dispute involved failure of the parties to reach agreement on proposed changes in rates of pay, rules and working conditions of their collective bargaining agreement The Board urged the parties to submit the controversy to voluntary arbitration, after initial mediation proved unsuccessful, but this proffer was declined. Further mediation was conducted by the National Mediation Board, in the public interest, while the strike was in progress and the dispute was settled by execution of a mediation agreement on February 17, 1968. The agreement was ratified by the employees and carrier's services restored promptly thereafter.

### 2. THREATENED STRIKES

Section 10 of the Railway Labor Act provides that if, in the judgment of the National Mediation Board, a dispute not settled by the mediation and arbitration procedures of the act threatens substantially to deprive any section of the country of essential transportation, the Board shall notify the President who, in his discretion, may create

a board to investigate and report respecting such dispute.

During the fiscal year there were no emergency boards created. However, Emergency Board No. 171, created by Executive Order of the President on May 30, 1967, issued its Report to the President on July 8, 1967. The parties were the various carriers represented by the National Railway Labor Conference and the Order of Railway Conductors and Brakemen. The Emergency Board reported that during the course of its investigation, and mediation efforts, the parties reached agreement providing for settlement of all the issues in dispute.

The report of Emergency Board No. 171 and subsequent handling

of the dispute is summarized in chapter V of this report.

Section 5 of the act also provides a procedure for handling threatened strikes. Under this provision of the act the Mediation Board may proffer its services in case any labor emergency is found to exist at any time. The Board will, if the occasion warrants action under this provision, enter into an emergency situation which threatens to interrupt interstate commerce and endeavor to assist the parties in working out an arrangement which will dispose of the threat to rail or air transportation.

Usually these emergency situations occur when a notice is issued by the employees that they intend to withdraw from the service of the carrier. Investigation often indicates that the procedures of the act have not been exhausted when the notice of withdrawal from service by the employees is issued. Frequently, the point at issue involves a "minor dispute" which is under the jurisdiction of the National Railroad Adjustment Board. In such instances the parties are urged to follow the established and recognized procedures for the adjudication

of such matters.

In other instances, it is found that the notice procedures of section 6 of the act have not been followed, or the procedures of direct negotiations required by the act have not been exhausted. The Board will offer its services to the parties and endeavor to work out a settlement of the differences between the parties. However, the Board does not look with favor upon those situations where a crisis is created without regard for the procedures of the act. Special Boards of Adjustment and the procedures of the National Railroad Adjustment Board are available to dispose of "minor" disputes in the railroad industry. System Boards of Adjustment serve the same purpose for the airline industry. The mediation and arbitration procedures of the act are available to handle "major" disputes in both industries. The scheme of the act is such that its orderly procedures should be followed step by step to a resolution of every dispute.

#### 3. ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

#### Major Disputes—Railroads

In the railroad industry during the fiscal year, the several Standard Railway Labor Organizations, representing practically all of the operating and nonoperating employees of the major railroads of the country, served notices under section 6 of the Act to negotiate changes in the existing rates of pay, rules and working conditions of their collective bargaining agreements. These negotiations were handled by the parties on a national basis through conference committees established by the parties. The disputes involving the Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen and Enginemen, the Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen, and the Switchmen's Union of North America were the subject of individual mediation by the National Mediation Board and were resolved by separate mediation agreements between the parties subsequent to the close of the fiscal year.

The disputes involving the major railroads and the Brotherhood of Locomotive Engineers, the Order of Railway Conductors and Brakemen and the Brotherhood of Railroad Signalmen were in direct negotiations between the parties at the close of the fiscal year.

The 1967-68 wage and rules movements of the Organizations representing the majority of the nonoperating employees (other than shop-

craft employees) of the major railroads of the country were disposed of during the fiscal year by a series of industry-wide agreements reached in direct negotiations between the respective national com-

mittees of the employees and carriers concerned.

The disposition of the wage dispute between the majority of the Class I railroads of the country and their shopcraft employees, on October 16, 1967 resulting from the procedures established by Public Law 90-54, as described in the Thirty-Third Annual Report of the National Mediation Board, precluded the serving of new wage notices until after September 1, 1968 to be effective only on or after January 1, 1969.

The Thirtieth and Thirty-First Annual Reports of the National Mediation Board described the creation of Arbitration Board No. 282, established pursuant to Public Law 88–108, approved August 28, 1963, and the Award of the Arbitration Board. The issues involved were:

(1) Use of Firemen (Helpers) on other than Steam Power.(2) Consist of Train Road and Yard Crews (other than engine

crews).

The award of Arbitration Board No. 282, with respect to the "crew consist" issue, expired on January 25, 1966, and, by special understanding between the parties, on March 31, 1966, with respect to the firemen issue. The "crew consist" issue was remanded to the parties for negotiations on a local basis under the terms of the arbitration award. The question as to the use of Firemen (Helpers) on other than steam power became the subject of new Section 6 notices served by the Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen and Enginemen upon the various carriers on or about November 15, 1965, and counter notices served upon the employees by the carriers on or about January 31, 1966.

Negotiations between the parties were in progress on these two major issues during the latter portion of the fiscal year. Numerous agreements with individual carriers were consummated, covering the "crew consist" issue, either through direct negotiations between the parties or in mediation conferences conducted by the National Mediation Board. Identical disputes on many of the other carriers remained unresolved at the close of the fiscal year. The disputes involving the use of Firemen (Helpers) were, by agreement between the parties, being handled on an industry wide basis and remained unresolved at the close of the fiscal year.

### Decisions of Significance

During the past year, the National Mediation Board was a party in a case in which the issues involved concerned the Board's handling of representation disputes pursuant to Section 2, Ninth, of the Railway Labor Act.

Aeronautical Radio, Inc. v. National Mediation Board, et al. (380 F. 2d 624, June 2, 1967; U.S.C., certiorari denied Oct. 23, 1967, No. 434)

This dispute arose after the National Mediation Board had certified the International Brotherhood of Teamsters as bargaining representative for certain employees of Aeronautical Radio, Inc.

The Board had certified the Teamsters after an election involving 400 eligible employees resulted in 147 voting for the International Brotherhood of Teamsters, 74 voting for the Air Line Dispatchers Association, 25 casting void ballots and 154 failing to return ballots. Aeronautical Radio, Inc. had sought to set aside the National Mediation Board's certification, on the basis that the Board's investigation was deficient in that the results of the election did not permit a rational and nonarbitrary conclusion that the Teamsters were the choice of

the majority.

The Court held that: "The Board's certification reflects a conclusion that since a majority of the employees obviously had voted for some representation, the union which became the choice of a majority of those thus voting should be certified. The ballot expressly provided that if 'less than a majority of the employees cast valid ballots, no representative will be certified.' Even after taking account of the fact that failure to vote at all is to be treated as a vote for no representation and that the same is true of failure to cast a valid ballot, it is clear that the Board was entitled to view the circumstances in light of the 'practicalities of voting the fact that many who favor some representation will not vote . . .' Railway Clerks, et al. v. Employees Assn., etc., 380 U.S., at 669 N.5."

On this basis, the Court of Appeals found that the District Court properly held that it was without jurisdiction and the Dismissal of

Aeronautical Radio, Inc.'s complaint was proper.

### II. RECORD OF CASES

### 1. CASES HANDLED BY THE BOARD

The three categories of formally docketed disputes which form the basis of tables 1 through 6, inclusive, are as follows:

(1) Representation.—Dispute among a craft or class of employees as to who will be their representative for the purpose of collective bargaining with their employer. (See sec. 2, ninth, of the act.) These cases are commonly referred to as "R" cases.

(2) Mediation.—Disputes between carriers and their employees concerning the making of or changes of agreements affecting rates of pay, rules, or working conditions not adjusted by the parties in conference. (See sec. 5, first, of the act.) These cases are commonly referred to as "A" cases.

(3) Interpretation.—Controversies arising over the meaning or the application of an agreement reached through mediation. (See sec. 5, second, of the act.) These cases are commonly referred

to as interpretation cases.

Each of these categories will be discussed later in this report.

The Board's services may be invoked by the parties to a dispute, either separately or jointly, by the filing of an application in the form prescribed by the Board. Upon receipt of an application, it is promptly subjected to a preliminary investigation to develop or verify the required information. Later, where conditions warrant, the application may be assigned to a mediator for field handling. Both preliminary investigations and subsequent field investigations often disclose that applications for this Board's services have been filed in disputes properly referable to other tribunals authorized by the act, and therefore should not be docketed by this agency.

In addition to the three categories of disputes set forth above, the Board, since November 1955, has been assigning an "E" number designation to controversies wherein the Board's services have been proffered under the emergency provision of section 5, first (b), of the act. A total of 341 "E" cases have been docketed since the beginning of the

series.

Another type of case which has been consuming an increasing amount of the Board's time is the "C" number designation series. The "C" number is given to both representation and mediation applications when it is not readily apparent that those applications should be docketed. A large percentage of these cases are assigned to a mediator for an on-the-ground investigation to secure sufficient facts in order for the Board to decide whether the subject should be docketed or dismissed. Moreover, the mediator aids the parties in getting to the crux of their problem regardless of the procedural differences, and he is often able to settle the dispute while making his investigation. During fiscal 1968, the Board handled 76 "C" cases.

It is apparent then that when we speak of total number of cases docketed in the following paragraphs, we are speaking of formally docketed A, R, and Interpretation cases, and not necessarily the total services of the Board which would include "C" and "E" cases.

It is not uncommon, particularly in the railroad industry, for one case to have a number of parties. For instance, the Board has handled disputes between as many as 10 unions, or more, and nearly 200 railroads involving a score or more issues. The Board has in the past and continues to consider such controversy for statistical purposes as one case when it is handled jointly on a national basis.

#### NEW CASES DOCKETED

Table 1, located in the appendix, indicates that the total number of all cases formally docketed during fiscal 1968 was 315. This is 105 less cases than the number docketed in the previous year; a decrease of 74 mediation cases, a decrease of 1 interpretation of mediation agreement cases and a decrease of 32 representation cases.

During the 34-year period of the Boards existence 12,721 cases (A, R,

and Interpretation) have been received and docketed.

### 2. DISPOSITION OF CASES

Table 1 further indicates that a total of 359 cases were disposed of in fiscal year 1968. When this is compared to fiscal year 1967 in which 336 cases were disposed of there is noted an increase of 23 cases overall. There was a decrease of 19 representation cases: 73 in 1968, 92 in 1967. The total of mediation cases disposed of in 1968 was 284, up from 242 in the prior year. The total of interpretation dispositions was two and there were two in 1967. In the 34-year period, the Board has disposed of 12,136 cases.

### 3. MAJOR GROUP OF EMPLOYEES INVOLVED IN CASES

Table 3 shows that 36,992 employees were involved in 73 representation cases in fiscal 1968. This figure is up considerably from the prior year of 6,889. Railroad employees accounted for 8,840 of the total in 37 disputes. Airline disputes, totaling 39 in number involved 28,152.

37 disputes. Airline disputes, totaling 39 in number involved 28,152. Table 4 shows that of the total of all cases disposed of, railroad employees were involved in 249 cases while airline employees were involved in 110 cases. In the railroad industry the greatest activity was among the train, engine and yard service employees with a total of 154 cases involving them: broken down into seven representation cases and 147 mediation cases.

In the airline industry, the same table indicates that mechanics were involved in 28 cases: 7 representation and 20 mediation. Pilots accounted for 17 cases: 3 representation and 14 mediation. Clerical, office, stores, fleet and passenger service employees accounted 14 cases: 9 representation and 5 mediation. There were 2 interpretations of

mediation agreements in the airline industry.

Table 5 is a summary of crafts or classes of employees involved in representation cases disposed of in fiscal 1968. Involved in a total of 73 disputes were 85 crafts or classes covering 36,992 employees. There were 46 railroad crafts or classes numbering 8,840 or 24 percent of all involved. Yard service forces in three cases accounted for 9 percent of the total number.

In the airline industry 39 crafts or classes were involved in 36 cases, covering 28,152 people or 76 percent of the total. Clerical, office, stores, fleet and passenger service employees were involved in 60 percent of the total number of cases in 7 elections covering 22,175 people.

### 4. RECORD OF MEDIATION CASES

As seen from table 1, mediation cases docketed during fiscal 1968 totaled 245, a decrease of 74 cases from fiscal 1967. The total of cases docketed and the number pending from the prior year made 848 cases which were considered by the Board. The Board disposed of 284 cases, leaving 550 cases pending and unsettled at the end of the year.

Cases withdrawn after investigation totaled four: one railroad and

three airlines involving, respectively, 1 and 20,796 employees.

During fiscal 1968 no airline cases were withdrawn before investigation, however, there were two such cases on the railroads involving 1,451 employees.

The Board dismissed 12 cases: 3 railroad and 9 airline. The railroad cases involved 83 employees and the airline cases involved a total of

1,530 employees.

Table 2 summarizes mediation cases disposed of during fiscal 1968, subdivided into method of disposition, class of carrier, and issues involved. Of the total 284 cases, 212 were railroad while 72 were airline. Mediation agreements were obtained in 180 cases: 130 railroad and 50 airlines. One agreement to arbitrate was reached in the railroad industry. Cases withdrawn after mediation totaled 9, 7 railroad and 2 airline. Fifteen cases were withdrawn before mediation, all of which were railroad cases. Carriers declined to arbitrate unresolved issues in 12 cases, 10 railroad and 2 airline; the employees refused to arbitrate in 13 cases, 12 railroad and 1 airline.

The Board dismissed 54 cases: 37 railroad and 17 airline. Of the total of 212 railroad cases, Class I carriers were involved in 142 disputes, Class II carriers in 49, switching and terminal companies in 10, and miscellaneous carriers in 10. One case involved an electric railroad.

### 5. ELECTION AND CERTIFICATION OF REPRESENTATIVES

Table 3 shows that 13,397 of a total of 36,992 employees actively participated in the outcome of the 73 representation cases. Certifications based on elections were issued in 50 cases: 27 railroad and 23 airline. Of the 27 railroad cases 35 craft or classes were involved among 4,588 employees of which 4,197 actively participated in the selection of the representative. In the 23 airline cases, among 25 crafts or classes, 5,819 employees were involved, of which 4,979 exercised their right to cast a ballot.

Certifications based on verification of authorizations were issued in five cases in fiscal 1968. Four of these cases were on railroads involving 2,717 employees and one airline case involving seven employees.

Table 6 shows that 62 railroad employees in 7 crafts or classes acquired representation for the first time by means of an election. In the airline industry 244 employees representing 14 crafts or classes acquired representation via an election. Eleven employees in the railroad industry representing three crafts or classes acquired representation on the basis of authorizations submitted.

A new representative was selected by 2,602 in 20 crafts or classes. Of this total 130 employees 6 crafts or classes selected a local union for their representative, whereas 2,602 employees in 20 crafts or classes retained a national organization for their collective bargaining representative.

Among airline employees, there were 3,949 people representing 9 crafts or classes who acquired a new bargaining agent in an election.

Their bargaining agents were all national organizations.

In the railroad industry 1,923 employees in four crafts or classes retained, in an election, their same organization after there was a challenge by another union. In the airline industry 1,522 employees in two crafts or classes retained their existing representation following a challenge by another union.

### III. MEDIATION DISPUTES

The Railway Labor Act is intended to provide an orderly procedure by which representatives of the carriers and employees will make and maintain agreements. Section 6 of the act outlines in detail the guidelines which must be followed when either party desires to change an agreement affecting rates of pay, rules, and working conditions. The first requirement is that a 30-day written notice of the intended change must be served upon the other party. Within 10 days after receipt of the notice of intended change, the parties shall agree upon the time and place for conference on the notice. This conference must be within 30 days provided in the notice of intended change. Thus, in the first step, the parties are required to place on record, with advance notice, their intention to change the agreement between them. Arrangements must be made promptly for direct conferences between the parties on the subject covered by the notice in an effort to dispose of any dispute affecting rules, wages, and working conditions. It is at this level of direct negotiation that the majority of labor disputes are disposed of without the assistance of or intervention by an outside party. Charter VI of this report indicates that during the past fiscal year, numerous revisions in agreements covering rates of pay, rules, and working conditions were made without the active assistance of the National Mediation Board.

In the event that settlement of the dispute is not reached in the first stage, section 5, first, of the act permits either party—carrier or labor organization—or both, to invoke the services of the National Mediation Board. Applications for the assistance of the Board in disposing of disputes may be made on printed forms NMB-2, copies of which may be obtained from the Executive Secretary, National Mediation Board, Washington, D.C. 20572.

### APPLICATIONS FOR MEDIATION

The instructions for filing application for mediation services of the Board call attention to the following provisions of the Railway Labor Act bearing directly on the procedures to be followed in handling disputes in which the services of the Board have been invoked. These instructions follow:

### Item 1.—THE SPECIFIC QUESTION IN DISPUTE

The specific question in dispute should be clearly stated, and special care exercised to see that it is in accord with the notice or request of the party serving same, as well as in harmony with the basis upon which direct negotiations were conducted. If the question is stated in general terms, the details of the proposed rates or rules found to be in dispute after conclusion of direct negotiations should be attached in an appropriate exhibit referred to in the question. This will save the time of all concerned in developing the essential facts through correspondence by the office or preliminary investigation by a mediator upon which the Board may determine its jurisdiction. The importance of having

the specific question in dispute clearly stated is especially apparent when mediation is unsuccessful and the parties agree to submit such question to arbitration.

#### Item 2.—COMPLIANCE WITH RAILWAY LABOR ACT

Attention is directed to the following provisions of the Railway Labor Act bearing directly on the procedure to be followed in handling disputes and invoking the services of the National Mediation Board:

### Notice of Intended Change

"Sec. 6. Carriers and representatives of the employees shall give at least thirty days' written notice of an intended change in agreements affecting rates of pay, rules, or working conditions, and the time and place for the beginning of conference between the representatives of the parties interested in such intended changes shall be agreed upon within ten days after the receipt of said notice, and said time shall be within the thirty days provided in the notice. \* \* \*"

#### Conferences Between the Parties

"Sec. 2. Second. All disputes between a carrier or carriers and its or their employees shall be considered, and, if possible, decided, with all expedition, in conference between representatives designated and authorized so to confer, respectively, by the carrier or carriers and by the employees thereof interested in the dispute.

Services of Mediation Board

"Sec. 5. First. The parties or either party, to a dispute between an employee or group of employees and a carrier may invoke the services of the Mediation Board in any of the following cases:

"(a) A dispute concerning changes in rates of pay, rules, or working conditions not adjusted by the parties in conference. \* \* \*"

#### Status Quo Provisions

"Sec. 6. \* \* \* In every case where such notice of intended change has been given, or conferences are being held with reference thereto, or the services of the Mediation Board have been requested by either party, or said Board has proffered its services, rates of pay, rules, or working conditions shall not be altered by the carrier until the controversy has been finally acted upon as required by section 5 of this Act, by the Mediation Board, unless a period of ten days has elapsed after termination of conferences without request for or proffer of the services of the Mediation Board."

Care should be exercised in filling out the application to show the exact nature of the dispute, number of employees involved, name of the carrier and name of the labor organization, date of agreement between the parties, if any, date and copy of notice served by the invoking party to the other, and date of final conference between the parties.

Section 5, first permits the Board to proffer its services in case any labor emergency is found to exist at any time. Threatened labor emergencies created by the threats to use economic strength to settle issues in dispute without regard to the regular procedures of the act handicap the Board in assigning a mediator in an orderly manner to handle docketed cases. Cases in which the Board proffered its mediation services are assigned an "E" docket number.

### 1. PROBLEMS IN MEDIATION

A voluntary agreement made by representatives of carriers and labor organizations with the assistance of the National Mediation Board indicates that the problems which separated the parties at the time the services of the Board were invoked have been resolved. A reappraisal of the situation which led to the dispute and a critical examination of the factual situation under the guidance of a mediator has resulted in accommodation by the parties to each others problems. Experience has shown that such agreements made on voluntary basis during mediation create an atmosphere of mutual respect and understanding in the administration of the contract on a day-to-day basis.

When the Board finds it impossible to bring about a settlement of any case by mediation, it endeavors, as required by section 5, first, of the act, "to induce the parties to submit their controversy to arbitration." The provisions for such arbitration proceedings are given in section 7 of the act. Arbitration must be mutually desired and there is no compulsion on either party to agree to arbitrate. The alternative to arbitration is a test of economic strength between the parties. A considered appraisal of the immediate and long-range effects of such a test, which eventually must be settled, indicates that arbitration is by far the preferable solution. There are few, if any, issues which cannot be arbitrated if that course becomes necessary. The Board firmly believes that more use should be made of the arbitration provisions of the act in settling disputes that cannot be disposed of in mediation.

Applications for the mediation services of the Board frequently indicate a misunderstanding as to the jurisdiction of the National Mediation Board and that of the National Railroad Adjustment Board. Such applications are received with the advice that a change made or proposed to be made by the carrier "constitutes a unilateral change by the carrier in the working conditions of the employees without serving notice or conducting negotiations under section 6 of the act." The Board is requested to take immediate jurisdiction of the dispute and call the carriers' attention to the "status quo" provisions of section 6 of the act, i.e., have the carrier withhold making the change in working conditions, or restore the preexisting conditions if the change has already been made, until the dispute has been processed by the National Mediation Board.

Section 6 of the Railway Labor Act reads as follows:

Carriers and representatives of the employees shall give at least thirty days' written notice of an intended change in agreements affecting rates of pay, rules, or working conditions, and the time and place for the beginning of conference between the representatives of the parties interested in such intended changes shall be agreed upon within ten days after the receipt of said notice, and said time shall be within the thirty days provided in the notice. In every case where such notice of intended change has been given, or conferences are being held with reference thereto, or the services of the Mediation Board have been requested by either party, or said Board has proffered its services, rates of pay, rules, or working conditions shall not be altered by the carrier until the controversy has been finally acted upon as required by section 5 of this Act, by the Mediation Board, unless a period of ten days has elasped after termination of conferences without request for or proffer of the services of the Mediation Board.

The organization in these instances will contend that proposed changes by the carrier should not be made without following the procedures cited in section 6 above. These changes may involve assignment of individual employees or crews in road passenger or freight service, relocation of the point for going on and off duty in yard service, reduction of the number of employees through consolidations of facilities and changes which arise from development of new and improved method of work performance.

The carrier, on the other hand, will maintain that the procedure of notice and conference outlined in section 6 does not apply as the section has application only to those working conditions incorporated in written rules which have been made a part of the collective bargaining agreement with the representative of the employees and by which the carrier has expressly restricted or limited its authority to direct the manner in which certain services shall be rendered by its employees.

It is clear then that disputes of this nature involve a problem as to whether the proposed change can be instituted without serving a notice of intended change in the agreement on the other party. This raises a question of application of the existing agreement to the pending proposal. Such a dispute is referable to the National Railroad Adjustment Board. On the other hand, if it is contended by the organization that the carrier has no right to make the proposed changes, and the carrier maintains that it is not restricted by the terms of the agreement from making the change, then the dispute pertains to the question of what the agreement requires and the dispute should be referred to the National Railroad Adjustment Board in accordance with section 3 of the Railway Labor Act for decision.

Another type of situation involves the case where an organization serves a proper section 6 notice on the carrier proposing to restrict the right of the carrier to unilaterally act in a certain area. Handling of the proposal through various stages of the Railway Labor Act has not been completed when complaints will sometimes be made that the carrier is not observing the "status quo" provisions of section 6 when it institutes an action which would be contrary to the agreement if the proposed section 6 notice had at that time been accepted by both parties.

Section 6 states that where notice of intended change in an agreement has been given, rates of pay, rules, and working conditions as expressed in the agreement shall not be altered by the carrier until the controversy has been finally acted upon in accordance with specified procedures. Positively stated, section 6 is intended to maintain the contract as it existed between the parties until the provisions of the act have been complied with. When the procedures of the act have been exhausted without an agreement between the parties on the 30-day notice of intended change, the carrier may alter the contract to the extent indicated in the 30-day notice, and the organization is free to take such action as it deems advisable under the circumstances. The other provisions of the contract are not affected and remain unchanged. In brief, the rights of the parties which they had prior to serving the notice of intention to change remain the same during the period the proposal is under consideration, and remain so until the proposal is finally acted upon. The Board has stated in instances of this kind that the serving of a section 6 notice for a new rule or a change in an existing rule does not operate as a bar to carrier actions which are taken under rules currently in effect.

In the handling of mediation cases the following situations constantly recur: One is the lack of sufficient and proper direct negotiations between the parties prior to invoking mediation. Failure to do this makes it necessary after a brief mediation session to recess mediation in order that further direct conferences may be held between the parties to cover preliminary data which should have been explored prior to invoking the services of the Board. In other in-

stances prior to invoking the services of the Board, the parties have only met in brief session without a real effort to resolve the dispute or consideration of alternative approaches to the issues in dispute. Under such circumstances the parties do not have a thorough knowledge of the issues in controversy or the views of the other party. Here again the mediation handling of the case must be postponed while the parties spend time preparing basic data which should have been explored prior to invoking the services of the Board. Frequent recesses of this nature do not permit a prompt disposition of the dispute as anticipated by the act.

In other instances mediation proceeds for only a short time before it becomes apparent that the designated representative of one or both sides lacks the authority to negotiate the dispute to a conclusion. Mediation cannot proceed in an orderly fashion if the designated representatives do not have the authority to finally decide issues as the dispute is handled. The Board has a reasonable right to expect that the representatives designated by the parties to negotiate through the mediator will have full authority to execute an agreement when

one is reached through mediatory efforts.

Another facet of this problem is the requirement that an agreement which has been negotiated by the designated representatives must be ratified by the membership of the organization. Failure of the employees, in some instances, to ratify the action of their designated representatives casts a doubt on the authority of these leaders and a question as to the extent to which they can negotiate settlement of disputes. In time this situation may have far reaching effects unless corrected for it is basic that negotiators must speak with authority

which can be respected if agreements are to be concluded.

The Board deplores the failure of the parties to cloak their representatives with sufficient authority to conduct negotiations to a conclusion. The general duties of the act stipulate that all disputes between a carrier or carriers and its or their employees shall be considered and, if possible, decided with expedition, in conference between representatives designated and authorized so to confer, respectively, by the carrier or carriers and by the employees thereof interested in the dispute.

### IV. REPRESENTATION DISPUTES

One of the general purposes of the act is stated as follows: "to provide for the complete independence of carriers and of employees in the manner of self-organization." To implement this purpose, the act places positive duties upon the carrier and the employees alike. Under the heading of "General Duties," paragraph third reads as follows:

Representatives, for the purposes of this act, shall be designated by the respective parties without interference, influence, or coercion by either party over the designation of representatives by the other; and neither party shall in any way interfere with, influence, or coerce the other in its choice of representatives. Representatives of employees for the purpose of this act need not be persons in the employ of the carrier, and no carrier shall, by interference, influence, or coercion seek in any manner to prevent the designation by its employees as their representatives of those who or which are not employees of the carrier.

The act makes no mention as to how carrier representatives are selected. In practice, the carrier's chief executive designates the person or persons authorized to act in behalf of the carrier for the purposes of the act.

Paragraph fourth of general duties of the act grants to the employees the right to organize and bargain collectively through repre-

sentatives of their own choosing.

To insure the employees of a free choice in naming their collective-bargaining representative, paragraph fourth of the act further states that "No carrier, its officers or agents, shall deny or in any way question the right of its employees to join, organize, or assist in organizing the labor organization of their choice, and it shall be unlawful for any carrier to interfere in any way with the organization of its employees, or to use the funds of the carrier in maintaining or assisting or contributing to any labor organization, labor representative, or other agency of collective bargaining, or in performance of any work therefor, \* \* \*." Section 2, tenth, provides a fine and imprisonment for the violation of this and other parts of section 2.

The act provides that enforcement of this provision may be carried out by any district attorney of the United States proceeding under

the direction of the Attorney General of the United States.

Section 2, ninth, of the act sets forth the duty of the Board in representation disputes. This provision makes it a statutory duty of the Board to investigate a representation dispute to determine the representative of the employees. Thereafter the Board certifies the representatives to the carrier, and the carrier is then obligated to deal with that representative.

The Board's services are invoked by the filing of Form NMB-3, "Application for Investigation of Representation Disputes," accompanied by sufficient evidence that a dispute exists. This evidence usually is in the form of authorization cards. These cards must have been signed by the individual employees within a 12-month period, and

must authorize the applicant organization or individual to represent for the purpose of the Railway Labor Act the employees who signed the authorization cards. The names of all employees signing authorizations must be shown on a typewritten list prepared in alphabetical order and submitted in duplicate at the time the application is filed.

In disputes where employees are already represented, the applicant must file authorization cards in support of the application from at least a majority of the craft or class of employees involved. In disputes where the employees are unrepresented, a showing of at least 35 percent authorization cards from the employees in the craft or class is

required.

In a dispute between two labor organizations, each seeking to represent the craft or class involved, the parties, obviously, are the two labor organizations. However, in a dispute where employees are seeking to designate a representative for the first time, the dispute is between those who favor having a representative as opposed to those who are either indifferent or are opposed to having a representative for the purpose of the act.

Often the question arises as to who is a party to a representation dispute. Initially, it is well to point out the Board has consistently interpreted the second and third general purpose of the act along with section 2, first and third, to exclude the carrier as a party to

section 2, ninth, disputes.

The carrier is notified, however, of every dispute affecting its employees and requested to furnish information to permit the Board to conduct an investigation. When a dispute is assigned to a mediator for field investigation, the carrier is requested to name a representative to meet with the mediator and furnish him information required to complete his assignment. This procedure is in accordance with the last sentence of section 2, ninth, reading:

The Board shall have access to and have power to make copies of the books and records of the carrier to obtain and utilize such information as may be deemed necessary by it to carry out the purposes and provisions of this paragraph.

Upon receipt of an application by the Board, a preliminary investigation is made to determine whether or not the application should be docketed and assigned to a mediator for an on-the-ground investigation. The preliminary investigation usually consists of an examination to determine if there is any question as to craft or class, if sufficient authorization cards accompanied the application, and to resolve any other precedural question before it is assigned to field handling. Once the application has been found in proper order, it is docketed for field investigation.

Field investigation requires the compilation of a list of eligible employees and an individual check of the validity of the authorization cards. After receiving the mediator's report and all other pertinent information, the Board either dismisses the application or finds that a

dispute exists which ordinarily necessitates an election,

Section 2, ninth, clearly states. "In the conduct of any election for the purposes herein indicated the Board shall designate who may participate in the election and establish the rules to govern the election." The mediator endeavors to have the contending union representatives agree upon the list of eligible voters. In most instances, the parties do agree, but in a few cases where the parties cannot, it is necessary for the Board to exercise its statutory authority and estab-

lish the voting list.

The act requires elections conducted by the Board to be by secret ballot and precautions are taken to insure secrecy. Furthermore, the Board affords every eligible voter an opportunity to cast a ballot. In elections conducted entirely by U.S. mail, every person appearing on the eligible list is sent a ballot along with an instruction sheet explaining how to cast a secret ballot. In ballot box elections, eligible voters who cannot come to the polls are generally sent a ballot by U.S. mail. The tabulation of the ballots is delayed for a period of time sufficient for mail ballots to be cast and returned.

In elections where it is not possible to tabulate the ballots immediately, the ballots are mailed to a designated U.S. post office for safe-keeping. At a prearranged time the mediator secures the ballots from the postmaster and makes the tabulation. The parties, if they so desire,

may have an observer at these proceedings.

If the polling of votes results in a valid election, the outcome is certified to the carrier designating the name of the organization or individual authorized to represent the employees for the purposes of the act.

In disputes where there is a collective bargaining agreement in existence and the Board's certification results in a change in the employees' representative, questions frequently arise concerning the effect of the change on the existing agreement. The Board has taken the position that a change in representation does not alter or cancel any existing agreement made in behalf of the employees by their previous representatives. The only effect of a certification by the Board is that the employees have chosen other agents to represent them in dealing with the management under the existing agreement. If a change in the agreement is desired, the new representatives are required to give due notice of such desired change as provided by the agreement or by the Railway Labor Act. Conferences must then be held to agree on the changes exactly as if the original representatives had been continued. The purpose of such a policy is to emphasize a principle of the Railway Labor Act that agreements are between the employees and the carrier, and that the change of an employee representative does not automatically change the contents of an agreement. The procedures of section 6 of the Railway Labor Act are to be followed if any changes in agreements are desired.

#### 1. RULES AND REGULATIONS

The Board's rules and regulations applying to representation disputes as they appear in the Code of Federal Regulations, title 29, chapter X, are set forth below.

### § 1202.3. Representation disputes.

If any dispute shall arise among a carrier's employees as to who are the representatives of such employees designated and authorized in accordance with the requirements of the Railway Labor Act, it is the duty of the Board, upon request of either party to the dispute, to investigate such dispute and certify to both parties, in writing, the name or names of individuals or organizations that have been designated and authorized to represent the employees involved in the dispute, and to certify the same to the carrier.

#### § 1202,4 Secret ballot.

In conducting such investigation, the Board is authorized to take a secret ballot of the employees involved, or to utilize any other appropriate method of ascertaining the names of their duly designated and authorized representatives in such manner as shall insure the choice of representatives by the employees without interference, influence, or coercion exercised by the carrier.

### § 1202.5 Rules to govern elections.

In the conduct of a representation election, the Board shall designate who may participate in the election, which may include a public hearing on craft or class and establish the rules to govern the election, or may appoint a committee of three neutral persons who after hearing shall within 10 days designate the employees who may participate in the election.

#### § 1202.6 Access to carrier records.

Under the Railway Labor Act the Board has access to and has power to make copies of the books and records of the carriers to obtain and utilize such information as may be necessary to fulfill its duties with respect to representative of carrier employees.

### § 1202.7 Who may participate in elections.

As mentioned in Section 1202.3, when disputes arise between parties to a representation dispute, the National Mediation Board is authorized by the act to determine who may participate in the selection of employees' representatives.

#### § 1202.8 Hearings in craft or class.

In the event the contesting parties or organizations are unable to agree on the employees eligible to participate in the selection of representatives, and either party makes application by letter for a formal hearing before the Board to determine the dispute, the Board may in its discretion hold a public hearing, at which all parties interested may present their contentions and argument, and at which the carrier concerned is usually invited to present factual information. At the conclusion of such hearings the Board customarily invites all interested parties to submit briefs supporting their views, and after considering the evidence and briefs, the Board makes a determination or finding, specifying the craft or class of employees eligible to participate in the designation of representatives.

#### § 1203.2 Investigation of representation disputes.

Applications for the services of the National Mediation Board under section 2, Ninth, of the Railway Labor Act to investigate representation disputes among carriers employees may be made on printed forms N.M.B. 3 copies of which may be secured from the Board's Secretary. Such applications and all correspondence connected therewith should be filed in duplicate and the applications should be accompanied by signed authorization cards from the employees composing the craft or class involved in the dispute. The applications should show specifically the name or description of the craft or class of employees involved, the name of the invoking organization, the name of the organization currently representing the employees, if any, the estimated number of employees in each craft or class involved, and the number of signed authorizations submitted from employees in each craft or class. The applications should be signed by the chief executive of the invoking organization, or other authorized officer of the organization. These disputes are given docket numbers in series "R".

#### § 1206.1 Run-off elections.

- (a) If in an election among any craft or class no organization or individual receives a majority of the legal votes cast, or in the event of a tie, a second or run-off election shall be forthwith: *Provided*, That a written request by an individual or organization entitled to appear on the run-off ballot is submitted to the Board within ten (10) days after the date of the report of results of the first election.
- (b) In the event a run-off election is authorized by the Board, the names of the two individuals or organizations which received the highest number of votes cast in the first election shall be placed on the run-off ballot, and no blank line on which voters may write in the name of any organization or individual will be provided in the run-off ballot.

(c) Employees who were eligible to vote at the conclusion of the first election shall be eligible to vote in the run-off election except (1) those employees whose employment relationship has terminated, and (2) those employees who are no longer employed in the craft or class.

## § 1206.2 Percentage of valid authorizations required to determine existence of a representation dispute.

- (a) Where the employees involved in a representation dispute are represented by an individual or labor organization, either local or national in scope, and are covered by a valid existing contract between such representative and the carrier, a showing of proved authorizations (checked and vertified as to date, signature and employment status) from at least a majority of the craft or class must be made before the National Mediation Board will authorize an election or otherwise determine the representation desires of the employees under the provisions of section 2, Ninth, of the Railway Labor Act.
- (b) Where the employees involved in a representation dispute are unrepresented, a showing of proved authorizations from at least thirty-five (35) percent of the employees in the craft or class must be made before the National Mediation Board will authorize an election or otherwise determine the representation desires of the employees under the provisions of section 2, Ninth, of the Railway Labor Act.

### § 1206.3 Age of authorization cards.

Authorizations must be signed and dated in the employees' own handwriting or witnessed mark. No authorization will be accepted by the National Mediation Board in any employee representation dispute which bear a date prior to one year before the date of the application for the investigation of such dispute.

#### § 1206.4 Time limit on applications.

- (a) The National Mediation Board will not accept an application for the investigation of a representation dispute for a period of two (2) years from the date of a certification covering the same craft or class of employees on the same carrier in which a representative was certified, except in unusual or extraordinary circumstances.
- (b) Except in unusual or extraordinary circumstances, the National Mediation Board will not accept for investigation under section 2, Ninth, of the Railway Labor Act an application for its services covering a craft or class of employees on a carrier for a period of one (1) year after the date on which:
- (1) An election among the same craft or class on the same carrier has been conducted and no certification was issued account less than a majority of eligible voters participated in the election; or
- (2) A docketed representation dispute among the same craft or class on the same carrier has been dismissed by the Board account no dispute existed as defined in  $\S 1206.2$  (Rule 2); or
- (3) The applicant has withdrawn an application covering the same craft or class on the same carrier which has been formally docketed for investigation.

NOTE: § 1206.4(b), will not apply to employees of a craft or class who are not represented for purposes of collective bargaining. [19 F.R. 2121, Apr. 13, 1954; 19 F.R. 2205, Apr. 16, 1954]

### § 1206.5 Necessary evidence of intervenor's interest in a representation dispute.

In any representation dispute under the provisions of section 2, Ninth, of the Railway Labor Act, an intervening individual or organization must produce approved authorizations from at least thirty-five (35) percent of the craft or class of employees involved to warrant placing the name of the intervenor on the ballot.

### § 1206.6 Eligibility of dismissed employees to vote.

Dismissed employees whose requests for reinstatement account of wrongful dismissal are pending before proper authorities, which include the National Railroad Adjustment Board or other appropriate adjustment board are eligible to participate in elections among the craft or class of employees in which they are employed at time of dismissal. This does not include dismissed employees whose guilt has been determined, and who are seeking reinstatement on a leniency basis.

#### § 1206.7 Construction of this part.

The rules and regulations in this part shall be literally construed to effectuate the purposes and provisions of the act.

#### § 1206.8 Amendment or rescission of rules in this part.

(a) Any rule or regulation in this part may be amended or rescinded by the Board at any time.

(b) Any interested person may petition the Board, in writing, for the issuance, amendment, or repeal of a rule or regulation in this part. An original and three copies of such petition shall be filed with the Board in Washington, D.C., and shall state the rule or regulation proposed to be issued, amended, or repealed,

together with a statement of grounds in support of such petition.

(c) Upon the filing of such petition, the Board shall consider the same, and may thereupon either grant or deny the petition in whole or in part, conduct an appropriate hearing thereon and make other disposition of the petition. Should the petition be denied in whole or in part, prompt notice shall be given of the denial, accompanied by a simple statement of the grounds unless the denial is self-explanatory.

#### V. ARBITRATION AND EMERGENCY BOARDS

#### 1. ARBITRATION BOARDS

Arbitration is one of the important procedures made available to the parties for peacefully disposing of disputes. Generally, this provision of the act is used for disposing of so-called major disputes, i.e., those growing out of the making or changing of collective bargaining agreements covering rates of pay, rules, or working conditions, but it is not unusual for the parties to agree on the arbitration procedures in certain instances to dispose of other types of disputes, for example, the so-called minor disputes, i.e., those arising out of grievances or interpretation or application of existing collective bargaining agreements.

In essence, this procedure under the act is a voluntary undertaking by the parties by which they agree to submit their differences to an impartial arbitrator for final and binding decision to resolve the

controversy.

Under section 5, first (b), of the act, provision is made that if the efforts of the National Mediation Board to bring about an amicable settlement of a dispute through mediation shall be unsuccessful, the Board shall at once endeavor to induce the parties to submit their controversy to arbitration, in accordance with the provisions of the act.

Generally the practice of the Board, after it has exhausted its efforts to settle a dispute within its jurisdiction through mediation proceedings, is to address a formal written communication to the parties advising that its mediatory efforts have been unsuccesful. In this formal proffer of arbitration the parties are urged by the Board to submit the controversy to arbitration under the procedures provided by the act. In some instances through informal discussions during mediation, the parties will agree to arbitrate the dispute, without awaiting the formal proffer of the Board.

Under sections 7, 8 and 9 of the act, a well-defined procedure is outlined to fulfill the arbitration process. It should understood that this is not "compulsory arbitration," as there is no requirement in the act to compel the parties to arbitrate under these sections of the act. However, the availability of this procedure for peacefully disposing of controversy between carriers and employees places a responsibility on the parties to give serious consideration to this method for resolving a dispute, especially in the light of the general duties imposed on the parties to accomplish the general purposes of the act and particularly the command of section 2, first:

It shall be the duty of all carriers, their officers, agents, and employees to exert every reasonable effort to make and maintain agreements concerning rates of pay, rules and working conditions and to settle all disputes, whether arising out of the application of such agreements or otherwise, in order to avoid any interruption to commerce or to the operation of any carrier growing out of any dispute between the carrier and the employees thereof.

While the act provides for arbitration boards of either three or six members, six-member boards are seldon used and generally these

boards are composed of three members. Each party to the dispute appoints one member favorable to its cause and these two members are required by the act to endeavor to agree upon the third or neutral member to complete the arbitration board. Should they fail to agree in this respect, the act provides that the neutral member shall be selected by the National Mediation Board.

The agreement to arbitrate contains provisions as required by the act to the effect that the signatures of a majority of the board of arbitration affixed to the award shall be competent to constitute a valid and binding award; that the award and the evidence of the proceedings relating thereto when certified and filed in the clerk's office of the district court of the United States for the district wherein the controversy arose or the arbitration was entered into, shall be final and conclusive upon the parties as to the facts determined by the award and as to the merits of the controversy decided; and that the respective parties to the award will each faithfully execute the same.

The purpose of the arbitration procedure is to insure a definite and final determination of a controversy. Over the years, arbitration proceedings have proved extremely beneficial in disposing of disputes involving fundamental differences between disputants, and instances of court actions to impeach awards have been rare. Specific limitations

are provided in the act of governing such procedure.

Summarized below are awards rendered during the fiscal year 1968 on disputes submitted to arbitration.

Arb. 293 (Case E-312).— Atchison, Topcka and Santa Fe Railway Company and the Brotherhood of Railway, Airline and Steamship Clerks, Freight Handlers, Express and Station Employes.

Members of the Arbitration Board were John C. Fletcher, representing the organization; O. H. Osborn, representing the carrier; and J. Glen Donaldson, neutral member and chairman selected by the National Mediation Board. Russell A. Smith was subsequently substituted by the National Mediation Board in place of Mr. Donaldson, deceased.

This Arbitration Board was established for the purpose of disposing of a dispute relating to adjustments and comparability of rates of pay of individual positions in various localities of certain employees

of the carrier, represented by the Organization.

June 19, 1968, the partisan members of the Board advised that the controversy which was to have been submitted to arbitration had been settled by mutual agreement of the parties.

Arb. 296. (A-8106).—Pan American World Airways, Inc. and Transport Workers Union of America, AFL-CIO.

Members of the arbitration board were Wyatt F. Fisher, representing the carrier, Bernard J. Spera, Jr., representing the Union and Sam Kagel, neutral member and chairman, selected by the parties and appointed by the National Mediation Board.

This arbitration board was established by agreement of the parties to decide the amount of increases in basic rates of pay, and effective dates thereof, to be allowed carrier's various classifications of commissary employees located at San Francisco, Honolulu and Seattle.

In its Award, filed September 13, 1967, the Board established a scale of hourly rates for a 2-year period (1967 and 1968) to be applicable to the various classifications during the first six months of employ-

ment, subsequent increased rates at 6 months intervals of employment until the top hourly rate awarded is reached.

The parties agreed that the span of the wage award would be January 1, 1967 to January 1, 1969 and that the Board had the authority

to add progression steps to the classifications.

The following is a tabulation of the starting hourly rate and top hourly rate awarded for the 2-year period January 1, 1967 to January 1, 1969 (omitting the interim progression steps in the wage scale at 6 months intervals).

Classification Dishwasher, Bus Boy/Girl	Effective date 1-1-67 1-1-68 7-1-68	Starting hourly rate 2. 21 2. 32 2. 44	Top hourly rate 2. 47 2. 59 2. 72	After (years of service) 2 2 2
Counter Boy/Girl Porter	1-1-67	2. 27	2. 48	1½
	1-1-68	2. 38	2. 60	1½
	7-1-68	2. 50	2. 73	1½
Pantryman/Woman	1-1-67	2. 50	2. 76	1½
	1-1-68	2. 63	2. 90	1½
	7-1-68	2. 76	3. 04	1½
Cashier/Checker	1-1-67	2. 56	2. 81	1½
	1-1-68	2. 69	2. 95	1½
	7-1-68	2. 82	3. 10	1½
Cook	1-1-67 $1-1-68$ $7-1-68$	2. 87 3. 01 3. 16	3. 28 3. 44 3. 61	$\frac{3}{3}$
Cook 1/c	1-1-67	3. 31	3. 49	1
	1-1-68	3. 48	3. 66	1
	7-1-68	3. 66	3. 83	1

Note: Employees assigned to freezer work shall receive ten cents (10¢) per hour in addition to the Pantryman wage rate.

Note: All employees in the above classifications shall receive one cent (1e) per hour per year longevity pay after three (3) years of service in the above classifications to a maximum of ten cents (10e) per hour.

Arb. 297 (Case A-8024).—The Chesapeake & Ohio Railway Company and Railway Marine Region, Inland Boatmen's Union of the Scafarers' International Union of North America, AFL-CIO, Atlantic, Gulf, Lakes, and Inland Waterways.

Members of the arbitration board were Lloyd W. Burks, representing the carrier, Richard H. Avery, representing the Organization, and Harry H. Platt, Neutral member and chairman, appointed by the National Mediation Board.

Mechanization of the manual work connected with the floatbridges used in transfer of railroad cars to and from car floats and other vessels to land railroad tracks, dispensed with the need for Float Bridge Tenders, in connection with carrier's marine operations between Newport News, Va., and Norfolk, Va., and this arbitration board was established by agreement of the parties, to dispose of an unsettled dispute relating to rates of pay, rules and working conditions to be applicable to Captain-Engineers and Deckhands with respect to additional duties (coupling and uncoupling car floats to floatbridges) when the position of Float Bridge Tender is abolished.

On August 17, 1967, the Board disposed of the dispute as follows:

#### Award

<sup>1.</sup> Upon elimination of the Float Bridge Tender classification and installation of equipment for coupling and/or coupling car floats at the floatbridge, Captain-Engineers on car floats will have the duty and responsibility of coupling

and/or uncoupling car floats under the new operation. It will also be the duty of the Captain-Engineer on the car float to communicate with the Boatmaster's

office pertaining to operation of the car floats.

2. Ûpon elimination of the Float Bridge Tender classification, Deckhands will have the duty and responsibility of coupling and/or uncoupling car floats without crews thereon (unmanned), i.e., they will assume the duties and work which the Captain-Engineer would perform on manned car floats. Deckhands will continue to assist in whatever manner may be required in handling car floats with crews thereon under the new plan of operation.

3. As compensation for such additional work to be performed by Captain-Engineers and Deckhands their present rates shall be increased by fifteen cents

 $(15\phi)$  and ten  $(10\phi)$  per hour, respectively.

4. Employees who are displaced or lose their positions or are otherwise affected by elimination of Float Bridge Tenders under the new arrangement will be paid the protection due them under the February 7, 1965, Employment Stabilization Agreement and applicable rules of the General Agreement.

5. This award shall become effective September 1, 1967.

ARB. 298 (Case A-7948).—Carriers represented by the National Railway Labor Conference, the Southeastern, Eastern and Western Carriers' Conference Committees and Employees' National Conference Committee, representing Five Cooperating Railway Labor Organizations, i.e., the Brotherhood of Airline, Railway and Steamship Olerks, Freight Handlers, Express and Station Employees, Brotherhood of Maintenance of Way Employees, Transportation Communication Employees Union, Brotherhood of Railroad Signalmen and the Hotel and Restaurant Employees and Bartenders International Union.

Members of the Arbitration Board were A. E. Egbers and R. H. Harvey, representing the carriers, G. E. Leighty and H. C. Crotty, representing the Organizations, and Paul D. Hanlon and David H. Stowe, neutral members appointed by the National Mediation Board.

The issues submitted to arbitration by agreement of the parties related to detailed proposals of the employees for contract rules and expense allowances under the general heading:

"Travel Time and Expenses for Employees Required to Work Away from their Home Stations."

and was the remaining unsettled item in section 6 notices of May 10, 1966 of these Organizations, served on the major carriers of the country for wage increases and rules changes in their respective collec-

tive bargaining agreements.

In its consideration of the issues, the Board noted that uniform rules were sought to cover five different classes of employees. The Board noted that in its discussions and award, it arranged the issues into three basic sections, the first dealing, essentially with employees living in camp cars, the second, dealing with employees required to work away from their headquarter points, other than those assigned to camp cars, and the third, dealing with issues relating to dining car employees.

In opposing changes in present rules and practices applying to these employees, the carriers contended that these away-from-home conditions have always existed and that over the years the organizations have elected to stress these costs and conditions as one ground for basic wage increases rather than pressing for specific away-from-home expense allowances; that these employees are already well compensated in comparison with their counterparts in other industries, particularly in the light of the wage benefit increases already negotiated in current settlements, the additional financial burden to the industry of any increases in expense allowances, or provision for furnishing lodging and other facilities, and that due to variations in local

conditions, provisions of the type sought here are better negotiated on a local basis on each individual railroad.

In its discussion the Board noted that it had given consideration to the objections of the carriers, and had made its award with the object of eliminating existing inequities as between employees living at home and those required to do the same job for the same pay at any awayfrom-home location.

In its Award the Board detailed expense allowances for lodging, meals and promulgated specific rules relating to employees traveling to and from various work points, establishing and changing of head-quarter points for regularly assigned and regularly assigned relief positions, payment for travel time, etc., subject, however, to provisions already made in existing contracts covering the furnishing of meals, lodging facilities, expenses allowances and other working conditions.

Since issuance of its Award, the Board has received a number of

requests for interpretation of various provisions of the Award.

#### 2. EMERGENCY BOARDS—SECTION 10, RAILWAY LABOR ACT

As a last resort in the design of the act to preserve industrial peace on the railways and airlines, section 10 provides for the creation of emergency boards to deal with emergency situations:

If a dispute between a carrier and its employees be not adjusted under the foregoing provisions of this Act and should, in the judgment of the Mediation Board, threaten substantially to interrupt interstate commerce to a degree such as to deprive any section of the country of essential transportation service, the Mediation Board shall notify the President, who may thereupon, in his discretion, create a board to investigate and report respecting such dispute \* \* \*.

This section further provides:

After the creation of such board, and for 30 days after such board has made its report to the President, no change, except by agreement, shall be made by the parties to the controversy in the conditions out of which the dispute arose.

Emergency boards are not permanently established, as the act provides that "such Boards shall be created separately in each instance." The act leaves to the discretion of the President, the actual number of appointees to the Board. Generally, these boards are composed of three members, although there have been several instances when such boards have been composed of as many as five members. There is a requirement also in the act that "no member appointed shall be pecuniarily or otherwise interested in any organization of employees or any carrier."

In some cases, the emergency boards have been successful through mediatory efforts in having the parties reach a settlement of the dispute, without having to make formal recommendations. In the majority of instances, however, recommendations for settlement of the issues involved in the dispute are made in the report of the emergency board to the President.

In general the procedure followed by the emergency boards in making investigations is to conduct public hearings giving the parties involved the opportunity to present factual data and contentions in support of their respective positions. At the conclusion of these hearings the board prepares and transmits its report to the President.

The parties to the dispute are not compelled by any requirement of the act to adopt the recommendations of an emergency board. When the provision for emergency boards was included in the Railway Labor Act, it was based on the theory that this procedure would further aid the parties in a calm dispassionate study of the controversy and also afford an opportunity for the force of public opinion to be exerted on the parties to reach a voluntary settlement by accepting the recommendations of such board or use them as a basis for resolving their differences.

While there have been instances where the parties have declined to adopt emergency board recommendations and strike action has followed, the experience over the years has been that the recommendations of such boards have contributed substantially to amicable settlements of serious controversies which might otherwise have led to far-reaching interruptions of interstate commerce.

Summarized below are the Reports to the President issued by Emer-

gency Boards during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1968.

EMERGENCY BOARD No. 171 (NMB Cases A-6258 and A-7981) Carriers represented by the National Railway Labor Conference and certain of their employees represented by the Order of Railway Conductors and Brakemen.

The Emergency Board created by Executive Order No. 11356, issued by the President May 30, 1967, consisted of Monsignor George S. Higgins of Washington, D.C., Chairman; Lloyd H. Bailer of New York City, Member; and Rolf Valtin of Washington, D.C., Member.

This Emergency Board was convened to investigate disputes involving carriers represented by the Eastern, Western and Southeastearn Carrier Conference Committees and the National Railway Labor Conference and their employees represented by the Order of Railway Conductors and Brakemen, arising out of the organization's section 6 notices for an increase in basic rates of pay, improved holiday and vacation agreements and certain other improvements. Direct negotiations between the parties had not resulted in agreement and the serices of the National Mediation Board were requested. Efforts by the Board to resolve the dispute through mediation were unsuccessful. Thereafter, the Board's proffer of arbitration was rejected by the Organization. The Board then was advised by the Organization that its members had been authorized to withdraw from service, of the railroads involved, on June 2, 1967.

The Emergency Board commenced its proceedings June 6, 1967. On June 20, 1967, the parties entered into a stipulation on the record of the proceedings in this case reading in part:

. . . in further consideration of their mutual interests in exausting all reasonable avenues of reaching a settlement of the issues before this Board agreed to extend the 30-day time limit for the Board's reporting to the President imposed by section 10 of the Railway Labor Act for an additional 15 days, thereby changing the date the report is due from June 29, 1967, to July 14, 1967.

It is further understood and intended by the parties that the effect of this extension is to also extend the period of statutory restraint within which the exercise of economic force is unlawful for a similar period, thereby changing the

expiration date of such restraint from July 29, 1967, to August 13, 1967.

This stipulation was approved by the President.

On July 1, 1967, the members of the Emergency Board addressed a letter to the Chairman of the National Railway Labor Conference and the President of the Order of Railway Conductors and Brakemen commending them for their diligent and sincere efforts which resulted in an agreement disposing of all of the issues which were before the Emergency Board.

No formal report was issued by the Board. On July 8, 1967, the Board advised the President of the disposition of the dispute referred to in Executive Order 11356, as follows:

The Emergency Board you appointed under Section 10 of the Railway Labor Act by Executive Order 11356 on May 30, 1967, to investigate a dispute between Carriers represented by the National Railway Labor Conference and certain of their employees represented by the Order of Railway Conductors and Brakemen, has the honor to report that during the course of our mediation efforts the parties reached agreement providing for settlement of all matters at issue, and therefore the threatened interruption of interstate commerce posed by this dispute has ceased to exist.

The agreement between the parties provided in part for:

1. Effective August 12, 1966, the basic rates of pay of the employees represented by the organization would be increased by 6 percent.

2. Qualifications for three weeks vacation were reduced from 15

years to 10 years.

3. Basic rates of pay in all classes of service were adjusted upward so as to eliminate the differential that existed between Western region rates and rates in the Eastern and Southeastern Regions.

In addition, the parties agreed that the members of the Emergency Board should constitute a Board of Arbitration to which the parties would submit for final and binding determination two issues upon

which they had been unable to agree.

On the first issue, the Board denied the Organization's contention as to the meaning of a memorandum designed to assure wage increase parity, in its national wage settlement of 1957 with major carriers and a subsequent separate national wage settlement in 1957 between the same carriers and the Brotherhood of Locomotive Engineers.

On the second issue, relating to the Organizations efforts to correct a claimed pay rate inequity by restoration of the 1956 average-basicdaily-rate ratio between Engineers and Conductors, the Board

awarded the following:

... For conductors in through-freight and local-freight service, effective August 1, 1967, the graduated-scale additives shall be increased to the levels shown below:

	Bracket	
Less than 81 cars		\$0.35
81 to 105 cars		1.00
	*****	
146 to 165 cars	***************************************	1.75
	Add 20¢ for each additional	block
	of 20 cars or portion th	ereof.

The opinion and award of the Board of Arbitration was issued July 25, 1967.

On September 25, 1967, the Board of Arbitration, in response to a question submitted by the parties, issued an interpretation "applying the above car scale additive pay rates to all miles run, including those in excess of 100 miles."

#### VI. WAGE AND RULE AGREEMENTS

The Railway Labor Act places upon both the carriers and their employees the duty of exerting every reasonable effort to make and maintain agreements governing rates of pay, rules, and working conditions. The number of such agreements in existence indicates the wide extent to which this provision of the act has become effective on both rail and air carriers.

Section 5, third (e), of the Railway Labor Act requires all carriers subject to this law to file with the Board copies of each working agreement with employees covering rates of pay, rules, or working conditions. If no contract with any craft or class of its employees has been entered into, the carrier is required by this section to file with the National Mediation Board a statement of that fact, including also a statement of the rates of pay, rules, or working conditions applicable to the employees in the craft or class. The law further requires that copies of all changes, revisions, or supplements to working agreements or the statements just referred to also be filed with this Board.

## 1. AGREEMENTS COVERING RATES OF PAY, RULES, AND WORKING CONDITIONS

Table 8 shows the number of agreements subdivided by class of carrier and type of labor organization which have been filed with the Board during the 34 year period of 1935–68. During the last fiscal year, 4 new agreements in the railroad industry and 6 in the airline industry were filed with the Board. A total of 5,285 agreements are on file in the Board's office; of these, 324 are with air carriers.

In addition to the agreements indicated above, the Board received copies of numerous revisions and supplements to existing agreements previously filed.

#### 2. NOTICES REGARDING CONTRACTS OF EMPLOYMENT

Section 2, eighth, of the Railway Labor Act, as amended June 21, 1934, reads as follows:

Eighth. Every carrier shall notify its employees by printed notices in such form and posted at such times and places as shall be specified by the Mediation Board that all disputes between the carrier and its employees will be handled in accordance with the requirments of this Act, and in such notices there shall be printed verbatim, in large type, the third, fourth, and fifth paragraphs of this section. The provisions of said paragraphs are hereby made a part of the contract of of employment between the carrier and each employee, and shall be held binding upon the parties, regardless of any other express or implied agreements between them.

Order No. 1 was issued August 14, 1934, by the Board requiring that notices regarding the Railway Labor Act shall be posted and maintained continuously in a readable condition on all the usual and customary bulletin boards giving information to employees and at such

other places as may be necessary to make them accessible to all employees. Such notices shall not be hidden by other papers or otherwise obscured from view.

After the air carriers were brought under the Railway Labor Act by the April 10, 1936, amendment, the Board issued its Order No. 2 directed to air carriers which had the same substantial effect as Order No. 1. Poster MB-1 is applicable to rail carriers while poster MB-6 has been devised for air carriers. In addition to these two posters, poster MB-7 was devised to conform to the January 10, 1951, amendments to the act. This poster should be placed adjacent to poster No. MB-1 or MB-6. Sample copies of these posters, which may be reproduced as required, may be obtained from the Executive Secretary of the Board.

# VII. INTERPRETATION AND APPLICATION OF AGREEMENTS

Agreements or contracts made in accordance with the Railway Labor Act governing rates of pay, rules, and working conditions are consummated in two manners: First, and the most frequent, are those arrived at through direct negotiations between carriers and representatives of their employees; and second, mediation agreements made by the same parties but assisted by and under the auspices of the National Mediation Board. Frequently differences arise between the parties as to the interpretation or application of these two types of agreements. The act, in such cases, provides separate procedures for disposing of these disputes. These tribunals are briefly outlined below.

#### 1. INTERPRETATION OF MEDIATION AGREEMENTS

Under Section 5, second, of the Railway Labor Act, the National Mediation Board has the duty of interpreting the specific terms of mediation agreements. Requests for such interpretations may be made by either party to mediation agreements, or by both parties jointly. The law provides that interpretations be given by the Board within 30 days following a hearing, at which both parties may present and de-

fend their respective positions.

In making such interpretations, the National Mediation Board can consider only the meaning of the specific terms of the mediation agreement. The Board does not attempt to interpret the application of the terms of a mediation agreement to particular situations. This restriction in making interpretations under section 5, second, is necessary to prevent infringement on the duties and responsibilities of the National Railroad Adjustment Board under section 3 of title I of the Railway Labor Act, and adjustment boards set up under the provisions of section 204 of title II of the act in the airline industry. These sections of the law make it the duty of such adjustment boards to decide disputes arising out of employee grievances and out of the interpretation or appplication of agreement rules.

The Board's policy in this respect was stated as follows in interpretation No. 72 (a), (b), (c), issued January 14, 1959:

The Board has said many times that it will not proceed under section 5, second, to decide specific disputes. This is not a limitation imposed upon itself by the Board, but is a limitation derived from the meaning and intent of section 5,

second, as distinguished from the meaning and intent of section 3.

We have by our intermediate findings held that it was our duty under the facts of this case to proceed to hear the parties on all contentions that each might see fit to make. That was not a finding, however, that we had authority to make an interpretation which would in effect be a resolution of the specific dispute between the parties. The intent and purpose of section 5, second, is not so broad.

The legislative history of the Railway Labor Act clearly shows that the parties who framed the proposal in 1926 and took it to Congress for its approval, did not intend that the Board then created would be vested with any large or general adjudicatory powers. It was pointed out in the hearings and debate, that it was

desirable that the Board not have such power or duty. During the debate in Congress, there was a proposal to give the Board power to issue subpoenas. This was denied because of the lack of need. It was believed by the sponsors of the legislation that the Board should have no power to decide issues between the parties to a labor dispute before the Board. The only exception was the provision in section 5, second. This language was not changed when section 3 was amended in 1934 and the National Railroad Adjustment Board was created.

We do not believe that the creation of the National Railroad Adjustment Board was in any way an overlapping of the Board's duty under section 5, second, or that section 3 of the act is in any way inconsistent with the duty of the Mediation Board under section 5, second. These two provisions of the act have

distinctly separate purposes.

The act requires the National Mediation Board upon proper request to make an interpretation when a "controversy arises over the meaning or application of any agreement reached through mediation." It would seem obvious that the purpose here was to call upon the Board for assistance when a controversy arose over the meaning of a mediation agreement because the Board, in person, or by its mediator, was present at the formation of the agreement and presumably knew the intent of the parties. Thus, the Board was in a particularly good position to assist the parties in determining "the meaning or application" of an agreement. However, this obligation was a narrow one in the sense that the Board shall interpret the "meaning" of agreements. In other words, the duty was to determine the intent of the agreement in a general way. This is particularly apparent when the language is compared to that in section 3, first (i). In that section the National Railroad Adjustment Board is authorized to handle disputes growing out of grievances or out of the interpretation or application of agreements, whether made in mediation or not. This section has a different concept of what parties may be concerned in the dispute. That section is concerned with disputes between an employee or group of employees, and a carrier or group of carriers. In section 5, second, the parties to the controversy are limited to the parties making the mediation agreement. Further, making an interpretation as to the meaning of an agreement is distinguishable from making a final and binding award in a dispute over a grievance or over an interpretation or application of an agreement. The two provisions are complementary and in no way overlapping or inconsistent. Section 5, second, in a real sense, is but an extension of the Board's mediatory duties with the added duty to make a determination of issues in proper cases.

During the fiscal year, 1968, the Board was called upon to interpret the terms of three mediation agreements, which added to the three requests on hand at the beginning of the fiscal year made a total of six under consideration. At the conclusion of the fiscal year two requests had been disposed of while four were pending. Since the passage of the 1934 amendment to the act the Board has disposed of 114 cases under the provisions of section 5, second, of the Railway Labor Act, as compared to a total of over 4524 mediation agreements completed during the same period.

#### 2. NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

Under the 1934 amendment to the Railway Labor Act, the National Railroad Adjustment Board was created to hear and decide disputes involving railway employee grievances and questions concerning the application and interpretation of agreement rules.

The adjustment board is composed of four divisions on which the carriers and the organizations representing the employees are equally represented. The jurisdiction of each division is described in section

3, first paragraph (b) of the act.

The board is composed of 36 members, 18 representing, chosen, and compensated by the carriers and 18 representing, chosen, and compensated by the so-called standard railway labor organizations.

The first, second, and third divisions are composed of 10 members each, equally divided between representatives of labor and man-

agement. The fourth division has six members, also equally divided. The law establishes the headquarters of the adjustment board at Chicago, Ill. A report of the board's operations for the past fiscal year

is contained in appendix A.

When the members of any of the four divisions of the adjustment board are unable to agree upon an award on any dispute being considered, because of deadlock or inability to secure a majority vote, they are required under section 3, first (1), of the act to attempt to agree upon and select a neutral person to sit with the division as a member and make an award. Failing to agree upon such neutral person within 10 days, the act provides that the fact be certified to the National Mediation Board, whereupon the latter body selects the neutral person or referee.

The qualifications of the referee are indicated by his designation in the act as a "neutral person." In the appointment of referees the National Mediation Board is bound by the same provisions of the law that apply in the appointment of arbitrators. The law requires that appointees to such positions must be wholly disinterested in the controversy, impartial, and without bias as between the parties in

dispute.

Lists of all persons serving as referees on the four divisions of the adjustment board are shown in appendix A. During its 34 year existence the adjustment board has received 68,123 cases and disposed of 63,099. Table 9, this report, shows that 1,717 cases were disposed of in fiscal 1968—1,214 by decision and 503 by withdrawal. In the fiscal year 1968, 1,395 new cases were received compared with 1,689 received during fiscal 1967.

#### 3. AIRLINE ADJUSTMENT BOARDS

There is no national adjustment board for settlement of grievances of airline employees as for railway workers. Section 205 of the amended act provides for establishment of such a board when it shall be necessary in the judgment of the National Mediation Board. Although these provisions have been in effect since 1936, the Board has not deemed a national board necessary.

Gradually, over the years, as more and more crafts or classes of airline employees have established collective bargaining relationships, the employees and carriers have agreed upon grievance handling procedures with final jurisdiction resting with a system board of adjustment. Such agreements usually provide for designation of neutral referees to break deadlocks. Where the parties are unable to agree upon a neutral to serve as referee, the National Mediation Board is frequently called upon to name such neutrals. Such referees serve without cost to the Government and although the Board is not required to make such appointments under the law, it does so upon request in the interest of promoting stable labor relations on the airlines. With the extension of collective bargaining relationships to most airline workers, the requests upon the Board to designate referees have increased considerably.

A list of all persons designated by the National Mediation Board to serve as referees with system boards of adjustment is shown in appendix B.

Special Boards of Adjustment are tribunals set up by agreement usually on an individual railroad, and with a single labor organization of employees, to consider and decide specifically agreed to dockets of disputes arising out of grievances or out of the interpretation or application of provisions of a collective bargaining agreement. Such disputes normally would be sent to the National Railroad Adjustment Board for adjudication as provided in Section 3 of the Railway Labor Act, but in these instances, the parties by agreement adopt the Special Board procedure in order to secure prompt disposition of these disputes.

The Special Board of Adjustment procedure had its inception in the 1940's at the suggestion of the National Mediation Board as an effective method for expediting the disposition of such disputes through an adaption of the grievance function of the Divisions of the National Railroad Adjustment Board, and also as a means of reducing the backlog of cases pending before certain divisions of the

National Railroad Adjustment Board.

These Special Boards usually consist of three members—a railroad member, an organization member, and a neutral chairman. The National Mediation Board designates the neutral in the event the party members fail to agree upon the selection of a neutral.

The number of special boards of adjustment created under this procedure increased as a result of the decision of the U.S. Supreme

Court, March 25, 1957 (BRT v. CRI RR Co., 353 U.S. 30).

Special Boards of Adjustment continued to function during the past

fiscal year. Ten new special boards of adjustment were created and during this period a total of 83 boards convened. These boards had disposed of 2,420 cases as of June 30, 1968.

#### 5. PUBLIC LAW BOARDS

(Special Boards of Adjustment under Public Law 89-456 of June 20, 1966)

On June 20, 1966, the President approved Public Law 89-456 (H.R. 706), which amended certain provisions of Section 3 of the Railway Labor Act.

In general, the amendment authoritizes the establishment of special boards of adjustment on individual railroads upon the written request of either the representatives of employees or of the railroad to resolve disputes otherwise referable to the National Railroad Adjustment Board and disputes pending before the board for 12 months.

The amendments also make all awards of the National Railroad Adjustment Board and special boards of adjustment established pursuant to the amendment, final (including money awards) and provide opportunity to both employees and employers for limited judicial

review of such awards.

The National Mediation Board has adopted rules and regulations defining responsibilities and prescribing related procedures under the amendment for the establishment of special boards of adjustment, their designation as PL Boards, the filing of agreements and the disposition of records. These rules and regulations are reproduced in this chapter VII.

The Board anticipates that Public Law (PL) Boards will eventually supplant the Special Board of Adjustment procedure, which has been utilized by many representatives of carriers and employees by agreement over the past 20 years, and also reduce the caseload of various divisions of the National Railroad Adjustment Board.

Neutral members of Public Law Boards are appointed by the National Mediation Board. In addition to neutrals appointed to dispose of disputes involving grievances, or interpretations or application of collective bargaining agreements neutrals may be appointed to dispose of procedural issues which arise as to the establishment of the Board itself.

During the past year 147 Public Law Boards were established of which 125 convened. These Boards had disposed of 1,440 cases as of June 30, 1968.

#### Title 29—LABOR

#### Chapter X-National Mediation Board

#### PART 1207—ESTABLISHMENT OF SPECIAL ADJUSTMENT BOARDS

On pages 13946 and 13947 of the Federal Register of November 1, 1966, there was published a notice of proposed rule making to issue rules governing the establishment of special adjustment boards upon the request of either representatives of employees or of carriers to resolve disputes otherwise referable to the National Railroad Adjustment Board. Interested persons were given an additional ten (10) days to submit written comments, suggestions, or objections regarding the proposed rules which had first appeared at pages 10697 and 10698 of the Federal Register of August 11, 1966, and had then appeared subsequently in the Federal Register of October 12, 1966 at pages 13176 and 13177.

No objections having been received and the proposed regulations were adopted without change and are set forth below.

Effective date. These regulations became effective upon their publication in the Federal Register, Nov. 17, 1966.

THOMAS A. TRACY, Executive Secretary.

Sec. 1207.1 1207.2 1207.3

Establishment of special adjustment boards (PL Boards). Requests for Mediation Board action. Compensation of neutrals. Designation of PL Boards, filing of agreements, and disposition of records.

AUTHORITY: The provisions of this Part 1207 issued under the Railway Labor Act, as amended (45 U.S.C. 151-163).

#### Establishment of special adjustment boards (PL Boards).

Public Law 89-456 (80 Stat. 208) governs procedures to be followed by carriers and representatives of employees in the establishment and functioning of special adjustment boards, hereinafter referred to as PL Boards. Public Law 89-456 requires action by the National Mediation Board in the following circumstances:

- (a) Designation of party member of PL Board. Public Law 89-456 provides that within thirty (30) days from the date a written request is made by an employee representative upon a carrier, or by a carrier upon an employee representative, for the establishment of a PL Board, and agreement establishing such a Board shall be made. If, however, one party fails to designate a member of the Board, the party making the request may ask the Mediation Board to designate a member on behalf of the other party. Upon receipt of such request, the Mediation Board will notify the party which failed to designate a partisan member for the establishment of a PL Board of the recipt of the request. The Mediation Board will then designate a representative on behalf of the party upon whom the request was made. This representative will be an individual associated in interest with the party he to represent. The designee, together with the member appointed by the party requesting the establishment of the PL Board, shall constitute the
- (b) Appointment of a procedure neutral to determine matters concerning the establishment and/or jurisdiction of a PL Board. (1) When the members of a

PL Board constituted in accordance with paragraph (a) of this section, for the purpose of resolving questions concerning the establishment of the Board and/or its jurisdiction, are unable to resolve these matters, then and in that event, either party may ten (10) days thereafter request the Mediation Board to appoint a neutral member to determine these procedural issues.

(2) Upon receipt of this request, the Mediation Board will notify the other party to the PL Board. The Mediation Board will then designate a neutral member to sit with the PL Board and resolve the procedural issues in dispute. When the neutral has determined the procedural issues in dispute, he shall cease to be a member of the PL Board.

(c) Appointment of neutral to sit with PL Boards and dispose of disputes. (1) When the members of a PL Board constituted by agreement of the parties, or by the appointment of a party member by the Mediation Board, as described in paragraph (a) of this section, are unable within ten (10) days after their failure to agree upon an award to agree upon the selection of a neutral person, either member of the Board may request the Mediation Board to appoint such neutral person and upon receipt of such request, the Mediation Board shall promptly make such appointment.

(2) A request for the appointment of a neutral under paragraph (b) of this section or this paragraph (c) shall:

(i) Show the authority for the request—Public Law 89-456, and

(ii) Define and list the proposed specific issues or disputes to be heard.

#### § 1207.2 Requests for Mediation Board action.

(a) Requests for the National Mediation Board to appoint neutrals or party representatives should be made on NMB Form 5.

(b) Those authorized to sign request on behalf of parties:

- (1) The "representative of any craft or class of employees of a carrier," as referred to in Public Law 89-456, making request for Mediation Board action, shall be either the General Chairman, Grand Lodge Officer (or corresponding officer of equivalent rank), or the Chief Executive of the representative involved. A request signed by a General Chairman or Grand Lodge Officer (or corresponding officer of equivalent rank) shall bear the approval of the Chief Executive of the employee representative.
- (2) The "carrier representative" making such a request for the Mediation Board's action shall be the highest carrier officer designated to handle matters arising under the Railway Labor Act.
- (c) Docketing of PL Board agreements: The National Mediation Board will docket agreements establishing PL Board, which agreements meet the requirements of coverage as specified in Public Law 89-456. No neutral will be appointed under § 1207.1(c) until the agreement establishing the PL Board has been docketed by the Mediation Board.

#### § 1207.3 Compensation of neutrals.

- (a) Neutrals appointed by the National Mediation Board. All neutral persons appointed by the National Mediation Board under the provisions of § 1207.1 (b) and (c) will be compensated by the Mediation Board in accordance with legislative authority. Certificates of appointment will be issued by the Mediation Board in each instance.
- (b) Neutrals selected by the parties. (1) In cases where the party members of a PL Board created under the Public Law 89-456 mutually agree upon a neutral person to be a member of the Board, the party members will jointly so notify the Mediation Board, which Board will then issue a certificate of appointment to the neutral and arrange to compensate him as under paragraph (a) of this section.
- (2) The same procedure will apply in cases where carrier and employee representatives are unable to agree upon the establishment and jurisdiction of a PL Board, and mutually agree upon a procedural neutral person to sit with them as a member and determine such issues.

## § 1207.4 Designation of P.L. Boards filing of agreements, nad disposition of records.

(a) Designation of P.L. Boards. All special adjustment boards created under Public Law 89-456 will be designated PL Boards, and will be numbered serially, commencing with No. 1, in the order of their docketing by the National Mediation Board.

(b) Filing of agreements. The original agreement creating the PL Board under Public Law 89-456 shall be filed with the National Mediation Board at the time it is executed by the parties. A copy of such agreement shall be filed by the parties with the Administrative Officer of the National Railroad Adjust-

ment Board, Chicago, Ill.

(c) Disposition of records. Since the provisions of section 2(a) of Public Law 89-456 apply also to the awards of PL Boards created under this Act, two copies of all awards made by the PL Boards, together with the record of proceedings upon which such awards are based, shall be forwarded by the neutrals who are members of such Boards, or by the parties in case of disposition of disputes by PL Boards without participation of neutrals, to the Administrative Officer of the National Railroad Adjustment Board, Chicago, Ill., for filing, safekeeping, and handling under the provisions of section 2(q), as may be required.

[F.R. Doc. 66-12451; Filed, Nov. 16, 1966; 8:47 a.m.]

# VIII. ORGANIZATION AND FINANCES OF THE NATIONAL MEDIATION BOARD

#### 1. ORGANIZATION

The National Mediation Board replaced the U.S. Board of Mediation and was established in June 1934 under the authority of the

Railway Labor Act, as amended.

The Board is composed of three members appointed by the President, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate. The terms of office, except in case of a vacancy due to an unexpired term, are for 3 years, the term of one member expiring on July 1 of each year. An amendment to the act approved August 31, 1964 (78 Stat. 748), provides: "upon the expiration of his term of office, a member shall continue to serve until his successor is appointed and shall have qualified." The act requires that the Board shall annually designate one of its members to serve as chairman. Not more than two members may be of the same political party. The Board's headquarters and office staff are located in the National Rifle Association Building, Washington, D.C. 20572. In addition to its office staff, the Board has a staff of mediators who spend practically their entire time in field duty.

Subject to the Board's direction, administration of the Board's affairs is in charge of the executive secretary. While some mediation conferences are held in Washington, by far the larger portion of mediation services is performed in the field at the location of the disputes. Services of the Board consists of mediating disputes between the carriers and the representatives of their employees over changes in rates of pay, rules, and working conditions. These services also include the investigation of representation disputes among employees and the determination of such disputes by elections or otherwise. These services as required by the act are performed by members of the Board and its staff of mediators. In addition, the Board conducts hearings when necessary in connection with representation disputes to determine employees eligible to participate in elections and other issues which arise in its investigation of such disputes. The Board also conducts hearings in connection with the interpretation of mediation agreements and appoints neutral referees and arbitrators as required.

The staff of mediators, all of whom have been selected through

civil service, is as follows:

Charles H. Callahan A. Alfred Della Corte Charles M. Dulen Lawrence Farmer Robert J. Finnegan Eugene C. Frank Arthur J. Glover Edward F. Hampton Richard R. Kasher Matthew E. Kearney Thomas C. Kinsella Warren S. Lane Raymond McElroy Michael J. O'Connell Charles A. Peacock Walter L. Phipps William H. Pierce Rowland K. Quinn, Jr. Tedford E. Schoonover Joseph W. Smith

#### REGISTER

#### MEMBERS, NATIONAL MEDIATION BOARD

Name	Appointed	Termination
William M. Leiserson	July 21, 1934	Resigned May 31, 1939.
James W. Carmalt	do	Deceased Dec. 2, 1937.
John M. Carmody	do	Resigned Sept. 30, 1935.
Otto S. Beyer	Feb. 11, 1936	Resigned Feb. 11, 1943.
George A. Cook	Jan. 7, 1938	Resigned Aug. 1, 1946.
David J. Lewis	June 3, 1939	Resigned Feb. 5, 1943.
William M. Leiserson	Mar. 1, 1943	Resigned May 31, 1944.
Harry H. Schwartz	Feb. 26, 1943	Term expired Jan. 31, 1947.
Frank P. Douglass	July 3, 1944	Resigned Mar. 1, 1950.
Francis A. O'Neill, Jr	Apr. 1, 1947	Term expires July 1, 1971.
John Thad Scott, Jr	Mar. 5, 1948	Resigned July 31, 1953.
Leverett Edwards	Apr. 21, 1950	Term expires July 1, 1970.
Robert O. Boyd	Dec. 28, 1953	Resigned Oct. 14, 1962.
Howard G. Gamser	Mar. 11, 1963	Term expires July 1, 1969.

#### Financial statement

For the fiscal year 1968 the Congress appropriated \$2,150,000 for

administration of the Railway Labor Act.

Obligations and expenses incurred for the various activities of the Board were as follows: mediations, \$727,531; voluntary arbitration and emergency disputes, \$503,350; adjustment of railroad grievances, \$844,000.

Accounting of all moneys appropriated by Congress for the fiscal year 1967, pursuant to the authority conferred by "An Act to amend the Railway Labor Act approved May 20, 1962" (amended June 29, 1934);

Expenses and obligations:	
Personnel services	
Personnel benefits	90, 167
Travel and transportation of persons	
Rent, communications, and utilities	
Printing	99, 675
Other services	11. 766
Supplies and materials	15, 728
Equipment	11, 051
Total	2, 074, 881
Non-expenditure transfer GSA (office rent)	
Unobligated balance	72, 777
Amount available	2 150 000

#### APPENDIX A

#### NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

(Created June 21, 1934)

STENZINGER, R. E., Chairman HUMPHREYS, P. R., Vice Chairman

ANDERSON, D. S. BAGWELL, C. E. BARNES, C. R. BLACK, R. E. BORDWELL, H. V. BRAIDWOOD, H. F. M. BUTLER, F. P. CARLISLE, J. E. CARTER, P. C. CONWAY, C. A. DELANEY, R. E. DuBose, G. T.1 EUKER, W. F. Gabriel, Q. C.<sup>2</sup> Hagerman, H. K. HARRIS, W. R. HORSLEY, E. T.

Jones, W. B.4 KASAMIS, G. P. KIEF, C. E. LEVIN, K. LEE, D. P.5 McDermott, E. J. MILLER, D. A. Morrissey, J. F.6 NAYLOR, G. L. ORNDORFF, GERALD Отто, А. Т., Јк. RYAN, W. J. STRUNCK, T. F. TAHNEY, J. P. WERTZ, O. WHITE, G. C. WHITEHOUSE, J. W.

#### Third Division Supplemental Board

ALTUS, W. W. DEROSSETT, R. A. HARPER, H. G. MANOOGIAN, C. H. MATHIEU, J. R.

MELBERG, C. L.<sup>8</sup> ROBERTS, W. M. SMITH, R. W.<sup>9</sup> WATKINS, D. E. WILLEMIN, J. M.

<sup>1</sup> Replaced W. R. Meyers.
2 Replaced S. Vander Hei.
3 Replaced C. L. Melberg.
4 Replaced T. F. Strunck.
5 Replaced A. H. Deane.
6 Replaced B. G. Upton.
7 Replaced H. W. Burtness.
8 Replaced W. B. Jones.
9 Replaced R. H. Hack.

Accounting for all moneys appropriated by Congress for the fiscal year 1968 pursuant to the authority conferred by "An Act to Amend the Railway Labor Act, approved May 20, 1926."

#### [Approved June 21, 1934]

Regular appropriation: National Railroad Adjustment Board		
of Salaries and Expenses, National Mediation Board		\$701,000
Transferred from National Mediation Board		143, 000
Total	_	844, 000
Expenditures:		011, 000
Salaries of employees	\$468, 611	
Salaries of referees	172,650	
Personnel benefits	40, 237	
Travel expenses (including referees)	32, 328	
Transportation of things	169	
Communication services	16, 517	
Printing and reproduction	91,546	
Other contractual services	3,492	
Supplies and materials	10, 416	
Equipment	8, 034	
<del>-</del>		
Total expenditures		844, 000
Unexpended balance	-	0
Onexpended parance		U

## Organization—National Railroad Adjustment Board, Government employees, salaries, and duties

Name	Title	Salary paid	Duties .
*Carvatta, Roy J	Administrative officer	\$2, 415. 60	Subject to direction of Board administers its Governmental affairs.
Pope, Patrick V	do	5, 719. 68	
Dillon, Mary E	Assistant administrative	10, 813. 92	Secretarial, accounting, and
Swanson Ronald A	_ oncer	8, 473. 60	auditing. Do.
Brasch, Rosemarie	_ officer do Clerical assistant	0, 170.00	Assists in accounting and
			1,41
Tuttle, George J	Clerk	470.40	Clerical.
	FIRST DIVISION	Į	
Killeen, Eugene A	Executive secretary	12, 790. 40	Administration of affairs of division and subject to its direction.
, .	Secretary (administrative assistant).	7, 320. 80	Secretarial, stenographic, and clerical.
Ellwanger, D. M	Secretary (confidential assistant).	8, 650. 40	Do.
Glover, Katherine A	do	2,991.35	Do.
Fisher, Doris S	dodo	7, 978, 40	Do.
Howat, Helen S	do	7, 727. 20	Do.
Milligan, June R	do	3, 888, 00	Do.
Modjeski, Patricia L	dodo	6, 669, 60	Do.
Morgan, Ruth B	dodo	7, 996, 00	Do.
Pett, Lawrence H	Clerical assistant	7, 479. 20	Do.
	do		Do.
Smith, Joan M	do	8, 427, 20	Do.
Cullinan T A	do	7, 552, 80	Do.
		.,	
Sullivan, J. A	đo	8, 419, 20	Do.

<sup>\*</sup>Appointed April 29, 1968.

# $\label{lem:cond} Organization{--National\ Railroad\ Adjustment\ Board,\ Government\ employees,\ salaries,}{and\ duties{--}Continued}$

Name	Title	Salary paid	Duties .
	REFEREES		
Anrod, Charles W., 31/4 days @		\$325.00	Sat with division as a member to make awards upon fallure of division to agree
Daugherty, Carroll R.; 4 days @ \$100 per day.		400.00	or secure majority vote. Do.
Dolnick, David: 2 days @ \$100 per day.		200.00	Do.
Hall, Levi M.; 22 days @ \$100 per day.		2, 200. 00	Do.
Hamilton, Donald E.; 29 days @ \$100 per day.		2, 900. 00	Do.
Larkin, John Day; 2½ days @ \$100 per day.	•••••	250.00	Do.
Moore, Preston J., 113/4 days @ \$100 per day.	•••••	1, 125. 00	Do.
Rohman, Murray M.; 701/4 days @ \$100 per day.	•	7, 025. 00	Do.
	SECOND DIVISION	N	
McCarthy, C. C	Executive secretary	11, 832. 00	Administration of affairs of division and subject to its direction.
Cabat, A. C	<ul> <li>Secretary (confidential assistant).</li> </ul>	6, 784, 00	Secretarial, stenographic and clerical.
Gebbia, C. A Lamborn, D. T	Secretary (administrative	6, 810. 40 8, 427. 20	Do. Do.
Loughrin, C. A	occietont)	7, 320. 80	Do.
Mills, Frances	do	6, 912. 80	Do.
Smith. L. E	do	8, 650, 40 8, 444, 80	Do. Do.
		7, 272, 80	Do.
Thomas, C. G	do	8, 427, 20	Do.
Vougnt, M. R	do	8, 650. 40 8, 650. 40	Do. Do.
Brasch, Rosemarie	Clerk (typing)	6, 229, 60	Typing and clerical.
Hudson, Lucile B	do	114,00	Do.
Stanger, J. M. Thomas, C. G. Vought, M. R. Williams, D. M. Brasch, Rosemarie. Hudson, Lucile B. Knorr, Kenton H.	do	2, 261. 20	Do.
	REFEREES		
Coburn, William H.: 51 days at \$100 per day.		5, 100. 00	Sat with division as a member to make awards, upon failure of division to agree or secure majority vote.
Dolnick, David: 47 days @ \$100 per day.		4, 700. 00	Do.
Dugan, Paul C.: 8¾ days @ \$100 per day.		875, 00	Do.
Ives, George S.: 35 days @ \$100 per day.		3, 500, 00	Do.
Johnson, Howard A.: 19 days @ \$100 per day.		1, 900. 00	Do.
Kane, Joseph S.: 35 days @ \$100 per day.		3, 500. 00	Do.
Knox, James E.: 32½ days @ \$100 per day.		3, 250, 00	Do.
Ritter, Gene T.: 58½ days @ \$100 per day.		5,850.00	Do. Do.
Seff, Bernard J.: 3 days @ \$100 per day. Weston, Harold M.: 64½ days @		300, 00 6, 450, 00	Do.
\$100 per day.		0, 200.00	170.

Name	Title .	Salary paid	Duties -
	. THIRD DIVISION	1	
Schulty, S. H	Executive secretary	\$12, 459. 20	Administration of affairs of division and subject to its direction.
Paulos, A. W		8, 380. 80	Assist executive secretary.
Bulis Eugenia	assistant)	622. 40	Secretarial, stenographic, and clerical.
Carley, Y. VFrey, C. E	do	7, 552. 80 8, 427. 20	Do. Do.
Classman Carab	do.	5, 892, 00	Do.
Harding, E. L	do	7, 552. 80 7, 525. 28	Do. Do.
Mainellis, P. E	do	8, 206. 06	Do.
Gassinan, Sarat Harding, E. L LaChance, K. V Mainellis, P. E Musage, M. A Patela, L. A	do	979. 76	Do
		1, 264. 80	Do.
Price, G. LSchiller, B. J	Secretary (confidential assistant).	7, 044. 80	Do
Steele, B. M.	do	7, 400. 00 4, 455. 20	Do. Do.
Steele, B. M	do	8, 204. 00	Do.
Czerwonka, V. C Telma, D. A	Clerk (typing)	6, 416. 80 4, 628. 00	Do. Do.
Wozniak, B. C	do	2, 620. 80	Do.
Zalenski, J. C Parker, B. J.	do	544. 35	Do.
Parker, B. J	Clerk	5, 756. 80	Clerical.
	REFEREES		
Devine, Arthur W.; 37¾ days @ \$100 per day.		3, 775. 00	Sat with division as a member to make awards upon fall- ure of division to agree or secure majority vote.
Dolnick, David; 2½ days @ \$100 per day.		250, 00	Do.
Dorsey, John H.; 11¾ days @ \$100 per day.		1, 175. 00	Do.
Englestein, Nathan; 102½ days @ \$100 per day.		10, 250. 00	Do.
Harr, Don J.: 15½ days @ \$100 per day.		1, 550. 00	Do
Ives, George S.; 109½ days @ \$100 per day.			Do.
Lynch, Edward A.; 2¾ days @ \$100 per day.			Do.
McGovern, John J.: 164½ days @ \$100 per day.			Do.
Mesigh, Herbert J.: 2014 days @ \$100 per day.		2, 025, 00	Do.
Miller, Wesley: 64½ days @ \$100 per day.			Do.
Perelson, Bernard E.: 553/4 days @ \$100 per day.			Do.
Stark, Arthur: 1½ days @ \$100 per day.			Do.
Zumas, Nicholas H.: 45 days @ \$100 per day.		4, 500, 00	Do.
THIRD	DIVISION SUPPLEME	NTAL BO	ARD
Arnold, E. L.	Secretary (confidential assistant).		Secretarial, stenographic, and clerical.
Balskey, C. V	A -	5, 311, 87 5, 045, 60	Do. Do.
Bulis, Eugenia		5, 131. 60	Do.
Bulis, Eugenia	do	2, 222	
Bulis, Eugenia Conroy, S. T Donfris, V. D  Frickson, J. H	do	6, 569, 60	Do. Do
Conroy, S. T	dododo	6, 569. 60 7, 552. 80	Do. Do.
Conroy, S. T	dododo	6, 569. 60 7, 552. 80	Do. Do. Do.
Conroy, S. T. Donfris, V. D. Erickson, L. H	dododo	6, 569. 60 7, 552. 80	Do. Do. Do. Do.
Conroy, S. T. Donfris, V. D. Erickson, L. H	dododo	6, 569. 60 7, 552. 80	Do. Do. Do.
Conroy, S. T. Donfris, V. D. Erickson, L. H	dododo	6, 569. 60 7, 552. 80	Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do.
Bulis, Eugenia Conroy, S. T Donfris, V. D Erickson, L. H Glenn, A. N Humes, E. A Musage, M. A Niles, E. L Pippenger, F. E Powers, J. L Ratti, J. M Steele, B. M	do	6, 569. 60 7, 552. 80	Do. Do. Do. Do. Do.

# $\label{lem:cond} Organization{--National Railroad Adjustment Board, Government employees, salaries, and duties{---}-Continued}$

Name	Title	Salary paid	Duties
	REFEREES		
Dolnick, David: 3 days @ \$100 per day.		300.00	Sat with division as member to make awards, upon failure of division to agree or secure majority vote.
Dugan, Paul C.: 47% days		4, 775. 00	Do.
Dugan, Paul C.: 47¾ days @ \$100 per day. Engelstein, Nathan: 1 day		100.00	Do.
@ \$100 per day. Friedman, Milton: 36 days		3, 600. 00	Do.
@ \$100 per day. ∃oodman, Jerry J., 21½ days		2, 150. 00	Do.
@ \$100 per day. Ieskett, Billy L.: 69¼ days		6, 925. 00	Do.
@ \$100 per day.		6, 375. 00	Do.
per day.		3, 800. 00	Do.
@ \$100 per day.		3, 125. 00	Do.
aduse, Daniel: 65% days @ \$100 per day.  Senan, Thomas J.: 38 days @ \$100 per day. Jynch, Edward A.: 31¼ days @ \$100 per day.  McGovern, John J.: 69¼ days @ \$100 per day.		6, 925. 00	Do.
@ \$100 per day. Mesigh, Herbert J.: 30½ days @ \$100 per day. Woody, Claude S.: 15½ days @			Do.
@ \$100 per day.		3, 050. 00	
\$100 per day. Zack, Arnold M.: 331/4 days @		1, 525. 00	Do.
Zack, Arnold M.: 3314 days @ \$100 per day.	•	3, 325. 00	Do.
	FOURTH DIVISION		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Humfreville, M. L	Executive secretary	10, 993. 60	Administration of affairs of division and subject to its direction.
Adams, H. V	accietant)	8, 650. 40	Secretarial, stenographic and clerical.
Bulis, Eugenia	Secretary (administrative assistant).	2, 125. 60	Do.
Castellanos, H. M	do	259.20	Do.
Lane, R. M O'Brien, K. M	Secretary (confidential	2, 252. 57 5, 408. 00	Do. Do.
	assistant).	1,811.60	Do.
Tichacek, J. RGallagher, M. M	Secretary (administrative assistant).	1, 128. 50	Do.
	REFEREES		
Coburn, William H.: 37½ days @ \$100 per day.		3, 750. 00	Sat with division as a membe to make awards, upon failur of division to agree or secur majority vote.
Dolnick, David: 1½ days @ \$100		150.00	Do.
per day. Dorsey, John H.: 32¾ days @		3, 275. 00	Do.
\$100 per day. Larkin, John Day.: 36 days @		3, 600. 00	Do.
\$100 per day. Seidenberg, Jacob: 26½ days @ \$100 per day.		2, 650, 00	Do.

#### FIRST DIVISION—NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

#### 433 West Van Buren Street, Chicago, Ill. 60607

ORGANIZATION OF THE DIVISION, FISCAL YEAR 1966-1967

#### K. LEVIN, Chairman

#### H. V. BORDWELL, Vice Chairman

H. W. Burtness 4	E. T. Horsley
J. E. Carlisle	W. R. Meyers <sup>2</sup>
R. E. Delaney	Don A. Miller
G. T. DuBose <sup>3</sup>	T. F. Strunck <sup>5</sup>
W. F. Euker	S. Vander Hei <sup>1</sup>
Q. C. Gabriel <sup>6</sup>	

E. A. KILLEEN, Executive Secretary

#### JURISDICTION

In accordance with Section 3 (h) of the Railway Labor Act, as amended, the First Division of the National Railroad Adjustment Board has jurisdiction over disputes between employees or groups of employees and carriers involving train and yard service employees; that is, engineers, firemen, hostlers and outside hostler helpers, conductors, trainmen, and yard service employees.

#### Cases docketed fiscal year 1967-1968; classified according to carrier party to submission

Num of carrier dock	1868	of c	nber ases ceted
Alabama Great Southern	4	Grand Trunk Western	1
Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe	8	Great Northern	î
Atlantic Coast Line	14	Green Bay & Western	2
Baltimore & Ohio	3	Gulf Mobile and Ohio	1
Belt Railway of Chicago	14	Illinois Central	$2\overline{5}$
Carolina & Northwestern	2		-
		Kansas City Terminal	4
Central of Georgia	14	Kewaunee Green Bay & Western	2
Chesapeake & Ohio	1	Lake Terminal	18
Chicago, Milwakee, St. Paul & Pa-	_	Lehigh Valley	1
cific	1	Louisiana & Arkansas	4
Chicago River & Indiana	1	Louisville & Nashville	15
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific	5	Manufacturer's Railway	1
Cincinnati, New Orleans & Texas		Minneapolis, Northfield & South-	
Pacific	8	ern Railway	1
Colorado & Southern	7	Minnesota Dakota & Western	1
Delaware & Hudson	6	Missouri Pacific	2
Detroit, Toledo & Shore Line	1	Monon	1
Duluth, Missabe & Iron Range	7	Monongahela Connecting	9
East St. Louis Junction	$\dot{2}$	New Orleans & Northeastern	ĭ
Erie-Lackawanna	õ	New Orleans Public Belt	1
Florida East Coast	1		
	5	New York, New Haven & Hart-	-
Georgia Southern & Florida	9	ford	T

Deceased September, 1967.
 Reassigned November 6, 1967.
 Succeeded Mr. Meyers November 6, 1967.
 Retired November 30, 1967.
 Succeeded Mr. Burtness, December 1, 1967.
 Succeeded Mr. Vander Hel, December 1, 1967.

## Cases docketed fiscal year 1967-1968; classified according to carrier party to submission—Continued

Norfolk & Western 2 Seaboard Coast Line 5 Soo Line 5 Soo Line 5 Southern Pacific 5 Southern Pacific 7 Southern 9 Southe	Num of Carrier docke	868	Name of Carrier	Numb of car docker	868
Richmond, Fredericksburg & Po- Union Pacific	Norfolk & WesternNorfolk & Portsmouth Belt Line Northwestern Pacific Patapsco & Back Rivers Pennsylvania Pittsburgh & Ohio Valley Portland Terminal (Oregon) Portland Traction Co Richmond, Fredericksburg & Potomac St. Mary's Railroad	2 1 2 1 1 8 5 1 25 1 2	Seaboard Coast LineSoo LineSouthern Pacific-PacificSouthern Pacific-T&LSouthern Spokane Portland & Seattle Terminal Railway Association St. LouisUnion PacificUnion Railroad Co. (Pittsbur	on of	9 $2$ $3$ $5$ $62$ $5$ $1$ $1$ $2$ $358$

## Cases docketed fiscal year 1967–1968; classified according to organization party to submission

Name of organization	Number of cases docketed	Name of organization	Number of cases docketed
Association of Railway Tra & Locomotive Firemen Amalgated Transit Union	2	International Association of Machinists & Aerospace Workers	1
Conductors Engineers Firemen	20 53	Switchmen Trainmen	15
Individual		Total	358

# SECOND DIVISION—NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD 220 South State Street, Chicago, Ill. 60604

#### · MEMBERSHIP

O. L. Wertz, Chairman	-	P. R. HUMPHREYS,	Vice Chairman
D. S. Anderson		H. K. HAGERMAN	
C. E. BAGWELL		W. R. HARRIS 1	
H. F. M. BRAIDWOOD		E. J. McDermott	
F. P. BUTLER		R. E. STENZINGER	

#### C. C. McCarthy, Executive Secretary

#### JURISDICTION

Second Division: To have jurisdiction over disputes involving machinists, boilermakers, blacksmiths, sheetmetal workers, electrical workers, carmen, the helpers and apprentices of all the foregoing, coach cleaners, powerhouse employees, and railroad shop laborers.

#### Carriers party to cases docketed

	nber		Number
	ases		of cases
Alton & Southern RR. Co	2	Memphis Union Station Co	1
American Refrigerator Transit Co	1	Missouri Pacific RR. Co	2
Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Ry.		Monon RR. Co	`1
Co	7	Newburgh & South Shore Ry. C	o_ 1
Atlanta Terminal Co	1	New Orleans Public Belt RR	8
Baltimore & Ohio RR. Co	11	New York Central RR. Co	4
Birmingham Southern RR. Co	1	New York, New Haven &	
Boston & Maine RR	1	Hartford RR. Co	6
Chesapeake & Ohio Ry. Co	3	Norfolk & Western Ry. Co	18
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy RR.		Northern Pacific Ry. Co	
Co	11	Penn Central RR. Co	
Chicago & Eastern Illinois RR	<b>2</b>	Pennsylvania RR. Co	5
Chicago, Milwaukee, St. Paul &		Portland Terminal RR. Co	
Pacific RR. Co	4	Pullman Co., The	8
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific		Railway Express Agency, Inc	
RR. Co	6	St. Louis-San Francisco Ry. Co	
Cincinnati, New Orleans & Texas		St. Louis Southwestern Ry. Co.	
Pacific Ry. Co	2	Seaboard Coast Line	
Cincinnati Union Terminal Co	1	Soo Line RR. Co	3
Clinchfield RR. Co	1	Southern Pacific Co. (Pacific	
Duluth, Missabe & Iron Range Ry.		Lines)	16
Co	2	Southern Pacific Co. (Texas &	
Elgin, Joliet & Eastern Ry. Co	1	Louisiana Lines)	4
Erie Lackawanna RR. Co	2	Southern Ry. Co	
Great Northern Ry, Co	$\overline{19}$	Terminal Railroad Association	
Gulf, Mobile & Ohio RR. Co	$\ddot{2}$	St. Louis	
Illinois Central RR. Co	$1\overline{0}$	Union Pacific RR. Co	
Jacksonville Terminal	ĩ	Western Maryland Ry. Co	
Lehigh Valley RR. Co	8		
Louisville & Nashville RR. Co	$\ddot{2}$	Total	211

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Replaced C. L. Melberg.

#### Organizations, etc., party to cases docketed

Number of cases	Number of cases
Brotherhood Railway Carmen of America	International Brotherhood of Boilermakers, Iron Ship Builders, Blacksmiths, Forgers & Helpers
International Association of Machinists 35 International Brotherhood of	Sheet Metal Workers International Association7 United Mine Workers
Firemen, Oilers, Helpers Roundhouse & Railway Shop Laborers 8	of America 1 Individually Submitted Cases, etc 1
	Total 211

In addition to the cases regularly presented and docketed the Division has also been called upon to handle a substantial number of potential cases. Communications were received from many individuals seeking information as to the method and procedure to be followed in presenting cases for adjustment. Some correspondents complain of alleged violations of existing agreements; some attempt to file cases with the Division from properties upon which system boards of adjustment exist, while yet others relate disputes which might properly be submitted to the Division for adjustment. Such cases arose during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1968, and, in addition thereto much correspondence was carried on in connection with similar cases listed in the Division's reports for prior years. Many of these cases require special study and consideration involving a great deal of correspondence and consuming a considerable portion of the time of the division in an effort to secure the information necessary for the proper presentation and/or handling to a conclusion.

The following cases originated during the fiscal year which ended June 30,

1968:

George M. Anderson, Jr., Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Ry. Co.; laborer.

L. Gassaway, Pennsylvania RR. Co.; machinist.

Miguel Revera, unnamed; laborer.

E. A. English, Southern Ry. Co.; electrician.

Paul Massock, Southern Pacific Co. (Pacific Lines); carman.

Lee Thomas, Southern Ry. Co.; car cleaner.

W. F. McCarley, Southern Ry. Co.; machinist.

Roy E. Smith, Southern Ry. Co.; carman.

John Kaczmarek, Chicago, Milwaukee, St. Paul & Pacific RR Co.; laborer.

Jacob F. Burdett, Atchison, Topeka, Santa Fe Ry. Co.; labor foreman.

H. E. Rudasill, Pennsylvania RR Co.; machinist.

Harold Sabin, Missouri Pacific RR Co.; sheet metal worker.

Edward G. Laushman, Chicago, Burlington & Quincy RR. Co.; carman.

John H. Plumley, Jr., Chesapeake & Ohio RR. Co.; machinist. Ralph M. Harty, Jr., Northern Pacific Ry. Co.; carman. Albertha Young, Houston Belt & Terminal Co.; coach cleaner.

Mason Stoaker, Chicago, Burlington & Quincy RR. Co.; unnamed.

Theodore R. Barnett, Chicago, Burlington & Quincy RR. Co.; electrician.

C. W. Copeland, St. Louis Southwestern Ry. Co.; electrician.

Fay J. Smalley, Chicago, Burlington & Quincy RR. Co.; carman.

John Collinsworth, Louisville & Nashville RR. Co.; unnamed.

Walter O. Mann, Jr., Gulf, Mobile & Ohio RR. Co.; stationary fireman. Max Chilson, Lehigh Valley RR. Co.; fireman.

Richard Schreibe, Northern Pacific Ry. Co.; carman.

Joseph Broda, Seaboard Coast Lines; unnamed.

Steward Baines, Central Illinois Midland RR. Co.; unnamed.

W. W. Washington, The Pullman Co.; carman.

Thomas Perry, Sr., New York Central RR. Co.; carman.

Harold Stoner, Illinois Central RR. Co.; unnamed.

John W. Ruff, Penn Central RR. Co.; sheet metal worker. Donald Doty, New York Central RR. Co.; fireman & oiler.

James Veale, Denver & Rio Grande Western RR. Co.; electrician.

George Bailey, Long Island RR. Co.; fireman & oiler.

#### THIRD DIVISION—NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

#### 220 South State Street, Chicago, Ill. 60604

C. R. BARNES, Chairman	G. L. NAYLOR
W. B. Jones, Vice Chairman 1	GERALD ORNDORFF
R. E. BLACK	T. F. STRUNCK
P. C. CARTER	G. C. WHITE
G. P. Kasamis	J. W. WHITEHOUSE
C. E. KIEF	

#### SUPPLEMENTAL BOARD

D. E. WATKINS, Chairman	W. B. Jones
J. R. MATHIEU, Vice Chairman	C. H. MANOOGIAN
W. W. ALTUS	C. L. MELBERG 2
R. A. DEROSSETT	W. M .ROBERTS
R. H. HACK	R. W. SMITH 3
H. G. HARPER	J. M. WILLEMIN

STANLEY H. SCHULTY, Executive Secretary

#### JURISDICTION

Third Division: To have jurisdiction over disputes involving station, tower and telegraph employees, train dispatchers, maintenance of way men, clerical employees, freight handlers, express, station and store employees, signalmen, sleeping car conductors, sleeping car porters and maids, and dining car employees. This division shall consist of 10 members, 5 of whom shall be selected by the carriers and 5 by the national labor organizations of employees (Pars. (h) and (c), sec. 3, First, Railway Labor Act, 1934).

#### Carriers party to cases docketed

Nun of co		Num of c	iber ases
American Refrigerator Transfer		Chicago Great Western	8
Co	1	Chicago, Milwaukee, St. Paul &	
Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe	9	Pacific	29
Atchison Union Depot	1	Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific	21
Atlanta & West Point	<b>2</b>	Chicago Union Station	1
Atlanta Terminal Co	1	Cincinnati, New Orleans & Texas	
Atlantic Coast Line	1	Pacific	1
Baltimore & Ohio	10	Dayton Union Ry	1
Belt Ry. of Chicago	6	Delaware & Hudson	2
Brooklyn Eastern District Ter-		Denver & Rio Grande Western	9
minal	1	Denver Union Stock Yards Co	1
Canadian Pacific	1	Detroit, Toledo & Ironton	1
Carolina & Northwestern	2	Elgin, Joliet & Eastern	5
Central California Traction Co	1	Erie-Lackawanna	44
Central of Georgia	9	Fort Worth & Denver	3
Central Rr. Co. of New Jersey	1	Georgia	1
Chesapeake & Ohio	15	Georgia & Florida	1 .
Chicago & Northwestern	5	Georgia, Southern & Florida	1
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy	12	Gulf, Mobile & Ohio	13

W. B. Jones replaced T. F. Strunck December 1, 1967.
 C. L. Melberg replaced W. B. Jones January 1, 1968.
 R. W. Smith replaced R. H. Hack September 18, 1967.

### Carriers party to cases docketed-Continued

	nber cases		nber cases
Harbor Belt Line	1	Reading	4
Houston Belt & Terminal	$\tilde{2}$	Richmond, Fredericksburg & Po-	
Illinois Central	16	tomac	
Illinois Terminal	ĭ	St. Louis-San Francisco	11
Indiana Harbor Belt	$\tilde{2}$	St. Louis Southwestern	
Indianapolis Union Ry	ī	Seaboard Air Line	
Jacksonville Terminal	î	Seaboard Coast Line	
Kansas City Southern	$\hat{6}$	Soo Line	
Kansas City Terminal	4	Southern	
Kentucky & Indiana Terminal	$\hat{2}$	Southern Pacific (Pacific Lines)	55
Lehigh Valley	14	Southern Pacific (Texas & Loui-	00
Long Island	2	siana Lines)	6
Louisville & Nashville	44	Spokane, Portland & Seattle	
Maine Central	1	Tennessee Central	
Missouri-Kansas-Texas	$\hat{2}$	Terminal RR Association of St.	
Missouri Pacific	41		1
New Orleans & Northeastern	1	Louis	
New York Central	18	Terminal Ry. Alabama State	
New York, New Haven & Hartford	18	Docks	1
Norfolk & Western	43	Texas & Pacific	3 2
Northern Pacific	40 4	Texas City Terminal	_
Northwestern Pacific	1	Texas Pacific-Missouri Pacific	
Orden Union Dailway Denst Co	_	Terminal RR. of New Orleans	3
Ogden Union Railway Depot Co.	1	Union Pacific	4
Pacific Fruit Express	6	Union RR. Co.	6
Penn Central	$\frac{21}{2}$	Union Terminal Co	
Pennsylvania	25	Utah Railway Co	1
Peoria Terminal Co.	1	Western Maryland	3
Pittsburgh & Lake Erie	3	Western Pacific	27
Port Terminal Railroad Associa-	-	Western Ry. of Alabama	
tion	1	Western Weighing & Inspection	
Pullman	2	Bureau	2
Railroad Perishable Inspection	_	<u> </u>	
Agency	1	Total	715
Railway Express Agency	5		
Organization	party	to cases docketed	
	nber ases		nber ascs
American Train Dispatchers As-		Brotherhood of Sleeping Car Por-	
sociation	14	ters	1
Brotherhood of Maintenance of		Joint Council of Dining Car Em-	_
Way Employes	95	ployes	8
Brotherhood of Railroad Signal-	00	Transportation - Communication	U
	110		281
Brotherhood of Railroad Train-		Order of Railway Conductors &	<b>=</b> 0±
men	1	Brakemen (Pullman System)	1
Brotherhood of Railway, Airline	•	United Steelworkers of America.	$\mathbf{\hat{2}}$
& Steamship Clerks, Freight		Miscellaneous Class of Employes	18
Handlers, Express & Station		-	10
	184	Total	715

#### FOURTH DIVISION-NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

#### 220 South State Street, Chicago, Ill. 60604

A. T. Otto, Jr., Chairman	D. P. L	EE 3
C. A. CONWAY, Vice Chairman	J. F. M	ORRISSEY 4
A. H. DEANE	W. J. R	YAN
R. L. HARVEY 1	J. P. TA	AHNEY
W. R. HARRIS 2	B. G. D	PTON

#### M. L. Humfreville, Executive Secretary

#### JURISDICTION

Fourth Division: To have jurisdiction over disputes involving employees of carriers directly or indirectly engaged in transportation of passengers or property by water, and all other employees of carriers over which jurisdiction is not given to the first, second, and third divisions.

Carriers par	·tu t	o cases docketed	
Numt		Numbe	er
. of cas	3C8	of case	e <b>8</b>
Ann Arbor RR. Co., The	1	Los Angeles Junction Ry. Co	1
Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Ry.		Missouri-Kansas-Texas Rail Co	1
Co	6	Missouri Pacific RR. Co	2
Baltimore & Ohio Chicago Ter-		New York Central RR. Co., The 1	18
minal RR. Co	1	Norfolk & Western Ry. Co	1
Baltimore & Ohio RR. Co., The	8	Norfolk & Western Ry. Co. (Lake	
Boston & Maine Corp	1	Region)	7
Chesapeake & Ohio Ry. Co. (PM		Northern Pacific Ry. Co	1
District)	3		1
Chicago & North Western Ry. Co_	8		2
Chicago & Western Indiana	1	Seaboard Coast Line RR	<b>2</b>
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy RR.		Southern Pacific Co. (Pacific	
Co	1	Lines)	3
Chicago, Milwaukee, St. Paul &		Southern Ry. Co	2
Pacific RR. Co	1	Terminal RR. Association of St.	
Erie Lackawanna RR. Co	4	Louis	6
Grand Trunk Western RR. Co	3	Union Pacific RR, Co	3
Houston Belt & Terminal Ry.		Washington Terminal Co., The	2
Co	1	Western Maryland Ry. Co	1
Illinois Central RR. Co	1		_
Lehigh Valley RR. Co	6	Total 11	1
Long Island RR. Co., The	2		
•			

#### Organizations—Employees party to cases docketed

Or y a towa to to 12 th	progeces	party to cases accineted	
Num · of co		Num of co	
American Ry. Supervisors Associ-		Police Officers Benevolent Asso-	
ation, The	20	ciation	2
Brotherhood of RR. Trainmen	3	RR. Yardmasters of America	46
Brotherhood of Sleeping Car		Ry. Employes Dept., AFL-CIO	5
Porters	3	Ry. Patrolmen's International	
Great Lakes Licensed Officers	1	Union, AFL-CIO	21
Lighter Captains' Union, Local		Switchmens Union of North Amer-	
996, ILA, AFL-CIO	3	ica	1
Miscellaneous Classes of Em-			_
ployes	6	Total	111

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Appointed Aug. 15, 1967, to replace A. H. Deane. <sup>2</sup> Appointed Nov. 1, 1967, to replace R. L. Harvey. <sup>3</sup> Appointed Apr. 1, 1968, to replace W. R. Harris. <sup>4</sup> Appointed Apr. 22, 1968, to replace B. G. Upton.

### APPENDIX B

Name	Residence	Date of appointment	Public Law Board number	Parties
Martin I. Rose 3.  Harold N. Weston 2	Grosse Pointe Farms, Mich New York, N.Y	Oct. 17, 1967 Jan. 3, 1968 Jan. 9, 1968 Aug. 14, 1967 Dec. 27, 1967 Aug. 11, 1967 June 30, 1967	9(b) 9(c) 12-3 23 24 28	Erie Lackawanna RR. and Marine Engineers Group. Grand Trunk Western RR. Co. and Order of Railway Conductors & Brakemen. Missouri Pacific RR. and Brotherhood of Railway and Steamship Clerks. Norfolk & Western Ry. Co. and Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen & Enginemen. Ogden Union Ry. and Depot Co. and Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen. St. Louis-San Francisco Ry. Co. and Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen. Detroit & Toledo Shore Line RR. Co. and Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen &
Jacob Seidenberg 1	Minneapolis, Minn	Aug. 9, 1967 April 9, 1968	46 46	Enginemen.  Minnesota, Dakota & Western Ry. Co. and Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen, Louisville & Nashville RR. Co. and Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen, Eigin, Joliet & Eastern Ry. Co. and Order of Railway Conductors & Brakeman. Do. Union Pacific RR. and Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen. Spokane, Portland & Seattle Ry. Co. and Order of Railway Conductors & Brakemen.
	Chicago, Ill			New York, New Haven & Hartford RR. Co. and Brotherhood of Locomotive Fire- men & Enginemen. New York Central RR. (Penn Central) and Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen
David R. Douglass 2Arthur W. Sempliner 1	Oklahoma City, Okla Grosse Pointe Farms, Mich	Jan. 29, 1968 Oct. 18, 1967	69 73	& Enginemen. Belt Ry. Co. of Chicago and Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen. Butte, Anaconda & Pacific RR. and Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen & Enginemen.
	Detroit, Mich	- •	74 76 - 77	Detroit & Toledo Shore Line RR. Co. and Order of Railway Conductors & Brakemen.  Missouri-Kansas-Texas RR. Co. and Brotherhood of Maintenance of Way Employees.  New York, New Haven & Hartford RR. Co. and Brotherhood of Locomotive Engi-
Lloyd H. Bailer 2	Los Angeles, Calif	April 15, 1968	78	neers. Norfolk & Western Railway Co. (Nickel Plate & Clover Leaf Dist.) Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen & Enginemen.
Edgar A. Jones, Jr.¹ Lloyd H. Bailer 2 Harold M. Weston 2 Hubert Wyckoff ¹	Chicago, Ill.  Los Angeles, Calif.  do  New York, N.Y.  Watsonville, Calif.  Falls Church, Va.	Aug. 15, 1967 Mar. 27, 1968 Aug. 30, 1967	80 80 81 82	Illinois Central RR. Co. and Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen. Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe RR. Co. and Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen. Do. Erie-Lackawanna RR. Co. and Brotherhood of Locomotive Engineers. Western Pacific RR. Co. and Transportation-Communication Employees Union. Western Maryland Ry. and Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen & Enginemen.

1. Neutrals appointed pursuant to Public Law 89-456 (Public Law Boards), fiscal year 1967

See footnotes at end of table.

### 1. Neutrals appointed pursuant to Public Law 89-456 (Public Law Boards), fiscal year 1967—Continued

Name	Residence		ate of intment	Public Law Board number	Parties
John H. Dorsey 2	Washington, D.C	Jan.	23, 1968	85	Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific RR. Co. and Brotherhood of Maintenance of Way Employees.
Edgar A. Jones, Jr. <sup>1</sup> Archibald Cox <sup>1</sup>	Los Angeles, Calif	Dec.	18, 1967 11, 1967	86 87	Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Ry. Co. and Brotherhood of Locomotive Engineers. Boston & Maine RR, and Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen.
Leo C. Brown, S. J.2	do -	Feb.	-29.1968	87	Do. Delaware & Hudson RR. Corp. and Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen.
Paul D. Hanlon 2.	Tarrytown, N.Y	Nov.	24, 1967	88	Do.
A. Langley Coffey 1	Oklahoma City, OklaTulsa, Okla.	Aug.	18, 1967	' 90	Houston Belt & Terminal Ry. Co. and Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen. River Terminal RR. Co. and Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen. Do.
H. Raymond Cluster <sup>2</sup>	Washington, D.CBaltimore, Md	Sept	. 6, 1967	91	New York Central RR.—"T&OC"—Southern District and Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen.
Arthur W. Sempliner 2	- Grosse Pointe Farms, Mich.	Aug.	30, 1967	92 93	Clinchfield RR. Co. and Switchmen's Union of North America.
H. Raymond Cluster 2	Washington, D.C Baltimore, Md	Dec.	25, 1967	93	Pennsylvania RR. Co. and Brotherhood of Railroad Trainen. Norfolk & Western Ry. Co. and Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen.
Arthur W. Sempliner 2	Grosse Pointe Farms, Mich Baltimore, Md	Sept	. 13. 1967	95	Indianapolis Union Ry. Co. and Switchmen's Union of North America. Pittsburgh & Lake Erie and the Lake Erie & Eastern RR. Co. and Brotherhood of Locomotive Engineers.
Dudley E. Whiting 2	Detroit, Mich	Oct.	9, 1967	97	Chicago, Milwaukee, St. Paul & Pacific RR. and Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen.
Leo C. Brown, S.J.2	Cleveland, Ohio	Oct.	. 13, 1967 18, 1967	101 102	River Terminal RR. Co. and Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen & Enginemen. Bangor & Aroostook RR. Co. and Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen & Enginemen.
Preston J. Moore 2	Oklahoma City, Okla	Oct.	23, 1967 8, 1967	7 103 7 103	Denver & Rio Grande Western RR. and Switchmen's Union of North America. Do.
Byron R Abernetby 2	Lubbock Tay	Nov	7 196	7 104	Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Ry. Co. and Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen.  Maine Central RR. Co. and Order of Railway Conductors and Brakemen.
Paul D. Hanion 2	Boston, Massdodo	. Oct.	26, 1967	7 106	Bangor & Aroostook RR. Co. and Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen.
Jacob Seidenberg 2	Falls Church, Va	. Oct.	23, 1967	7 107	Port Authority Trans-Hudson Corp. and Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen. Youngstown & Northern RR. and Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen & En-
David R. Douglass 2	Oklahoma City, Okla	Nov	. 2, 196	7 109	ginemen. Chicago River & Indiana RR. Co. and Brotherhood of Locomotive Engineers.
A. Langley Coffey 2	Tulsa, Okla	Oct.	27, 196	7 110 7 111	Southern Pacific Co. (T&L Lines) and Switchmen's Union of North America. Norfolk & Western Ry. Co. and Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen.
Thomas C. Begley 2	Cleveland, Ohio	. Nov	3, 196	7 112	Chicago, Milwaukee, St. Paul & Pacific RR. Co. and Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen.
Levi M. Hall 2	Minneapolis, Minn	Nov	. 9, 1967	113	Pittsburgh & Shawmut RR. Co. and Transport Workers Union of America, AFL-CIO (Railroad Division).
Edward A. Lynch 2	Washington, D.C	. Nov	. 7, 1967	114	Baltimore & Ohio RR. Co. and Brotherhood of Railway, Airline & Steamship Clerks, Freight Handlers, Express & Station Employees.

Don J. Harr 2	Tulsa, Okla	do	115	Southern Pacific Co. (Texas & Louisiana Lines) and Transportation-Communication Employees Union.
Dudley E. Whiting 2	Detroit, Mich	Mar. 25, 1968	116	Detroit, Toledo & Ironton RR. and Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen.
Jacob Seidenberg 2	Falls Church, Va	Dec. 27, 1967	117	Atlanta Joint Terminals and Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen.
Carroll R. Daugherty 2	Evanston, Ill	Dec. 21, 1967	118	Chicago & North Western Ry. Co. and Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen & Enginemen.
	Washington, D.C	*	119	Detroit & Toledo Shore Line RR. Co. and Brotherhood of Railway, Airline and Steamship Clerks, Freight Handlers, Express and Station Employees.
Robert O. Boyd 2	do	Nov. 22, 1967	123	Chicago, Rock Island & Facific RR. Co. & Brotherhood of Locomotive Engineers.
Thomas A. Kenan 2	Oklahoma City, Okla	. Jan. 30, 1968	124	Southern Pacific Co. (Pacific System) and Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen & Enginemen.
David R. Douglass 2	do	Dec. 14, 1967	125	Chicago, West Pullman & Southern RR. Co. and Brotherhood of Railroad Train-
Dobout O Pord ?	Washington, D.C	Nov. 99 1067	126	men. Erie-Lackawanna RR. Co. and Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen.
Mortin I Dogo i	New York, N.Y	Dog 14 1067	127	Long Island RR. and Brotherhood of Locomotive Engineers.
David Dalpiels 2	Chicago III	. Dec. 14, 1907	127	Long Island & K. and Brotherhood of Locomotive Engineers.
David Dollick	Chicago, Ill. Washington, D.C.	Dec 10 1067	128	Indiana Harbor Belt RR. Co. and Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen & Engine-
Robert O. Boyd	- washington, D.O.	. 1000. 10, 1001	120	men.
Reynold C. Seitz 1	_ Milwaukee, Wis	Feb. 5, 1968	129	Ahnapee & Western Ry. Co. and Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen & Enginemen.
Reynolds C. Seitz 2	do	Mar 5 1968	129	Do.
Kieran P. O'Gallagher 2	Chicago III	Dec 13 1967	130	Soo Line R.R. Co. and Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen & Enginemen.
Carroll R. Daugherty 1	Evanston, Ill	Dec. 14, 1967	131	St. Louis-San Francisco Ry. Co. and Brotherhood of Locomotive Engineers.
Carroll R. Daugherty 2	do	Jan. 24, 1968	131	Do.
Don J. Harr 2	Evanston, Ill do Tulsa, Okla	Dec. 18, 1967	132	Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Ry. Co. and Transportation-Communication Em-
	~·· •			ployees Union.
David Dolnick 1	Chicago, Ill	Dec. 22, 1967	133	Great Northern Ry. Co. and Order of Railway Conductors & Brakemen.
Harold W. Davey 2	Ames, Iowa	Dec. 21, 1967	134	Des Moines Union Ry. Co. and Switchmen's Union of North America.
Paul D. Hanlon 2	Boston, Mass	Jan. 17, 1968	135	Monon Railroad Co. and Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen & Enginemen.
Howard A. Johnson	Butte, Mont	. Dec. 21, 1967	137	Denver & Rio Grande Western RR. Co. and Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen & Enginemen.
Paul D. Hanlon 1	Boston, Mass	Mar. 15, 1968	138	Spokane, Portland & Seattle Rv. and Brotherhood of Railroad Signalmen.
Ronald W. Haughton 2	Detroit, Mich	Feb. 9, 1968	139	New York Central RR. (Northern District) and Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen
				& Enginemen.
Robert O. Boyd 2	Washington, D.C	. Jan. 12, 1968	140	Missouri Pacific RR. Co. and Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen.
Robert O. Boyd 2	Sand Springs, Okla	. Mar. 26, 1968	141	Aliquippa & Southern RR. Co. and Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen.
A. Langley Coffey 2	Sand Springs, Okla	. Jan. 4, 1968	142	Lake Terminal RR. Co. and Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen & Enginemen.
Eugene W. DuFlocq 2	New York, N.Y	. Jan. 10, 1968	143	New York Central RR. (New York & Eastern District) except Boston & Albany Division) and BLF&E.
Jacob Seidenberg 2	Falls Church, Va	Jan. 5, 1968	144	Philadelphia, Bethlehem & New England RR. and Steelton & Highspire RR. and
_	•	•	5	Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen & Enginemen.
Mortimer Stone 2	Denver, Colo	Jan. 10, 1968	145	Colorado & Southern Ry. Co. and Brotherhood of Locomotive Engineers.
Robert O. Boyd 2	Washington, D.C	Apr. 10, 1968	146	Louisville & Nashville RR. (NC&St.L. District) & Brotherhood of Railroad
·	<u>-</u> ,	• -,		Trainmen.

See footnotes at end of table.

### 1. Neutrals appointed pursuant to Public Law 89-456 (Public Law Boards), fiscal year 1967—Continued

Name	Residence	Date of appointment	Public Law Board number	Parties
Robert O. Boyd 2 Lloyd H. Bailer 2 Mortimer Stone 2 Robert O. Boyd 2 William Coburn 2 Robert O. Boyd 2 William Coburn 2 Robert O. Boyd 3 Daniel House 2 Robert O. Boyd 3  Arthur W. Sempliner 3 David R. Douglass 2 Preston Moore 2 Paul N. Guthrie 2  John J. McGovern 1 David Dolnick 2 Martin I. Rose 2 Robert O. Boyd 3 Carroll R. Daugherty 2 Preston J. Moore 3  Carroll R. Daugherty 2 Murray M. Rohman 3 Edgar A. Jones, Jr.1 Preston J. Moore 2 Preston J. Moore 2 Preston J. Moore 2 Preston J. Moore 3  Paul D. Hanlon 1 John H. Dorsey 3 David Dolnick 1	Los Angeles, Calif.  Denver, Colo Washington, D.C  do.  New York, N.Y Washington, D.C  Grosse Pointe Farms, Mich Oklahoma City, Okla  do.  Chapel Hill, N.C  Washington, D.C  Chicago, Ill New York, N.Y Washington, DC Evanston, Ill Oklahoma City, Okla  Evanston, Ill Fort Worth, Tex Los Angeles, Calif Oklahoma City, Okla  do.	Jan. 24, 1968 Jan. 22, 1968 Jan. 23, 1988 Jan. 31, 1968 Jan. 31, 1968 Feb. 19, 1968 Feb. 7, 1968 Feb. 7, 1968 Feb. 14, 1968 Feb. 14, 1968 Feb. 23, 1968 Feb. 26, 1968 Apr. 8, 1968 Apr. 8, 1968 Apr. 19, 1968 Mar. 15, 1968	147 148 149 150 151 152 153 164 155 166 157 188 169 169 161 163 164 165 166 167 169 170	South Buffalo Ry. Co. and Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen. Galveston Wharves and Brotherhood of Maintenance of Way Employes. Colorado & Southern Ry. Co. and Order of Railway Conductors & Brakemen. Western Maryland Ry. Co. and Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen. Penn Central (Southern Region) and Brotherhood of Locomotive Engineers. Ogden Union Railway & Depot Co. and Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen & Enginemen. Baltimore & Ohio Railroad and Transportation-Communication Employes Union. Delaware & Hudson RR. Corp. and Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen & Enginemen. Chesapeake & Ohio Ry. Co. and Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen. Illinois Terminal RR. Co. and Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen. Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific RR. Co. and Switchmen's Union of North America. Birmingham Southern RR. Co. and United Steelworkers of America (Diesel Shop Employees). Chicago & Illinois Midland Ry. Co. and Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen & Enginemen. Do. Baltimore & Ohio RR. Co. and Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen and Enginemen. Union Pacific RR. Co. and Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen. Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Ry. Co. (Western Lines) and Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen. Western Pacific RR. Co. and Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen. Western Pacific RR. Co. and Switchmen's Union of North America. Oakland Terminal Ry. and Alameda Belt Line and Switchmen's Union of North America. Oakland Terminal Ry. and Alameda Belt Line and Switchmen's Union of North America. Oakland Re. Co. and Brotherhood Railway Carmen of America. Long Island RR. Co. and Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen of America.
Paul D. Hanlon 3			176	Trainmen.  Penn Central (Boston & Albany Division of Northeastern Region) and Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen.

Paul D. Hanlon 2dodo	May 21, 1968	177	Lake Superior Terminal & Transfer Ry. Co. and Switchmen's Union of North
Paul D. Hanlon <sup>2</sup> do	Mar. 26, 1968	178	America. McCloud River RR. and Order of Railway Conductors & Brakemen.
Paul D. Hanlon <sup>2</sup> do	Apr. 18, 1968	181	Kewaunee, Green Bay & Western RR. Co. and Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen
H. Raymond Cluster 2 Baltimore, Md	Apr 16 1968	182	& Engineers. Pittsburgh & Lake Erie R.R. Co. and Transport Workers Union of America.
Jacob Seidenberg <sup>2</sup> Falls Church, Va.	Apr. 3, 1968	183	Steelton & Highspire RR. Co. and Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen & Engine-
Year Ordan Same	1 0.1000	104	men.
Jacob Seidenberg 2dodo	Apr. 8, 1908	184	Atlanta & West Point RR. Co. and Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen & Engine- men.
Arnold M. Zack 1 New York, N.Y.	Apr. 22, 1968	185	Boston & Maine Corp. and Brotherhood of Locomotive Engineers.
Donald Hamilton 1 Oklahoma City, Okla	ADr. 15, 1968	186	Houston Belt & Terminal Ry, Co. and Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen.
Paul D. Hanlon 2 Boston, Mass	Apr. 17, 1968	188	Chicago, Burlington & Quincy RR. and Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen &
·			Enginemen.
Jacob Seidenberg 2 Falls Church, Va	Apr. 22, 1968	190	Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe RR. Co. and Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen &
		***	Enginemen.
Carroll R. Daugherty 1 Evanston, III  Nicholas H. Zumas 2 Washington, D.C	Apr. 29, 1968	192	Do
Nicholas H. Zumas 2 Washington, D.C	May 16, 1968	193	Missouri Pacific RR. Co. (Western & Southern District) and Transportation-Communication Employees Union.
Donald E. Hamilton 2 Oklahoma City, Okla	May 17 1068	194	Seaboard Coast Line RR. and Transportation-Communication Employees Union.
Lloyd H. Bailer 2 Los Angeles, Calif	June 3 1968	195	Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe RR. Co. and Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen.
Robert O. Boyd 2 Washington, DC	June 17, 1968	196	Butte, Anaconda & Pacific Ry. Co. and Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen.
Edward A. Lynch 2do	May 22, 1968	197	Lehigh & New England Ry, Co. and Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen.
Robert O. Boyd <sup>2</sup> do	May 21, 1968	198	Chicago & Western Indiana RR. Co. and Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen.
Jacob Seidenberg 2 Falls Church, Va Falls Church, Va	May 17, 1968	199	Florida East Coast Ry. Co. and Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen.
Preston J. Moore 2 Oklahoma City, Okla	May 22, 1968	200	Alabama, Tennessee & Northern RR. Co. and Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen.
Carroll R. Daugherty 2 Evanston, Ill	June 6, 1968	202	Western Pacific RR. Co. and Brotherhood of Locomotive Engineers.
Robert O. Boyd 2 Washington, D.C.	June 10, 1968	203	Missouri Pacific RR. Co. (Gulf District) Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen.
Byron R. Abernethy 2 Lubbock, Tex	do	204	Portland Terminal RR. Co. and Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen & Enginemen.
Jacob Seidenberg 2 Falls Church, Va	do	205	Chicago, Milwaukee, St. Paul & Pacific RR. Co. and Brotherhood of Railroad Train-
			men.
Do	June 12, 1968	207	Do.
Robert O. Boyd 2 Washington, D.C		208	Western Maryland Ry. Co. and Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen & Enginemen.
Lloyd H. Bailer 2. Los Angeles, Calif.	June 21, 1968	210	Lehigh Valley RR. Co. and Transportation-Communication Employees Union.
Lloyd H. Bailer 2 Los Angeles, Calif	June 21, 1968	210	Do.

Note: Cases where neutrals were not appointed are not shown.

1 Procedural neutral.

2 Merits neutral.

### 3. Arbitrators appointed—Special Board of Adjustment (Railroad), fiscal year 1968

Name	Residence	Date of appointment	Special Board number	Parties
Arthur W. Sempliner 1 William H. Coburn 2	Grosse Point Farms, Mich	Feb. 16, 1968	195 251	Grand Trunk Western RR. Co. and Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen. Chicago River Indiana RR. Co. and Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen.
David Dolnick 4	Washington, D.C	do	570 570	National Railway Labor Conference and Railway Employes' Department. Do.
W. H. McPherson 6	Ardmore, Okla	Jan. 2.1968	570 570	Do. Do.
Harold M. Gilden 7	Chicago, Ill	Nov. 20 1967	597 597	Southern Railway System and Railway Employes' Department. Do.
Harold M. Gilden 7	Chicago, Ill	do	612 612	Central of Georgia Ry. Co. and Railway Employes' Department. Do.
Harold M. Gilden	. Chicago, Ill	do	613 613	Birmingham Terminal Co. and Railway Employes' Department.
Harold M. Gilden	Washington, D.C	do	614	Atlanta Terminal Co. and Railway Employes' Department.
Harold M. Gilden 7	Washington, D.C	do	614 615	Do. Savannah and Atlanta Ry. Co. and Railway Employes' Department.
Lewis M. Gill	Washington, D.C Philadelphia, Pa	do - Aug. 28, 1967	615 682-1	
A. Langley Coffey	.: Sand Springs, Okla	_ Sept. 12, 1967	733	of Railroad Trainmen. Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Ry. Co. Eastern Lines and Brotherhood of Locomotive
Peter Florey	- Pittsburgh, Pa	June 19, 1968	736	Firemen and Enginemen.  Monongahela RR. Co. and Brotherhood of Locomotive Engineers, Brotherhood o
H. Raymond Cluster	. Baltimore, Md	Sept. 26, 1967	737	Locomotive Firemen & Enginemen.  Disputes Committee and Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen.
Harold M. Weston	New York, N.Y	do	738 739	Long Island Rail Road Co. and American Railway Supervisors Association.  Long Island Rail Road Co. and Brotherhood of Railway Carmen of America.
Martin Wagner	Champaign, Ill	do	739 739	Do. Do.
Jacob Seidenberg	Falls Church, Va	do	739 739	Do. Do.
Harold M. Weston	New York, N.Y	do	739	Do.
Jacob Seidenberg	Champaign, Ill	Mar. 7, 1968	739 740	Do. Penn Central and Brotherhood of Locomotive Engineers, Brotherhood of Locomotive
Harold M. Gilden	_ Chicago, Ill	Feb. 1,1968	741	Firemen & Enginemen, Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen. Eastern, Western and Southeastern Carriers Conference Committees and Brother hood of Locomotive Engineers, Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen and Engine
Laurence E. Seibel Milton Friedman	Washington, D.C	Eeb. 15, 1968 May 23, 1968	742 744	men, Switchmen's Union of North America. Union RR. Co. and United Steelworkers of America. Long Island Rail Road Co. and International Brotherhood of Teamsters, Chauffeurs, Warehousemen & Helpers of America.
A. Langley Coffey	Tulsa Okla	_ May 28, 1968	745	Southern Pacific Co. (Texas and Louisiana Lines) and Switchmen's Union of North America.

Replaced David R. Douglass, resigned.
 Replaced Francis J. Robertson, resigned.
 Replaced Robben W. Fleming, resigned.
 Replaced Howard A. Johnson, resigned.

Replaced Paul Dugan, resigned.
Replaced Martin Wagner, resigned.
Replaced Charles Anrod, resigned.
Replaced J. Harvey Daly, resigned.

## 2. Arbitrators appointed—Arbitration boards, fiscal year 1968

Name	Residence Date of appointment		Arbitration and case number	Parties					
Russell A. Smith 1	Ann Arbor, Mich	Apr. 3, 1968	Arbitration 293, case E-312	Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Ry. Co. and Brotherhood of Railway, Airline & Steamship Clerks, Freight Handlers Express & Station Employees.					
Sam Kagel	San Francisco, Calif	July 6, 1967	Arbitration 296, case A-8106	Pan American World Airways, Inc. and Transport Workers Union of America.					
Harry Platt	Detroit, Mich	Aug. 21, 1967	Arbitration 297, case A-8024.	Chesapeake & Ohio Ry. Co. and Seafarers' Int'l. Union of North America AFL/CIO.					
Paul D. Hanlon	Portland, Oreg	July 31, 1967	Arbitration 298, case A-7948.	National Railway Labor Conference and Five Cooperating Railway Labor Organizations.					
Sam Kagel	San Francisco, Calif	Oct. 17, 1967	Arbitration 299, case A						
A. Langley Coffey	Tulsa, Okla	Feb. 20, 1968	Arbitration 300, case A-8148.						
Don Hamilton	Oklahoma City, Okla	May 22, 1968	Arbitration 301, case A	St. Louis-San Francisco Ry. Co. and American Train Dispatchers Association.					

1 Vice J. Glenn Donaldson, deceased.

## 4. Arbitrators appointed pursuant to Union Shop Agreements, fiscal year 1968

Name	Residence	Date of appointment	Carrier	Organization	Individuals involved
Ronald W. Haughton	Mich.	•	Marquette District).	International Association of Machinists & Aerospace Workers. International Association of Machinists & Aerospace Workers.	

## APPENDIX B

5. Referees appointed—System Board of Adjustment (Airline), fiscal year 1968

Name	Residence	Date of appointment	Parties
Arthur S. Sachs	New Haven, Conn	July 7, 1967	Northwest Airlines, Inc., and International Association of Machinists & Aerospace Workers.
Ronald W. Haughton	Detroit, Mich	do	Do.
Benjamin H. Wolf	Detroit, Mich	do	Do.
John R. McCandless	Oklahoma City, Okla	do	Do.
John C. Harrington	do	do	Do.
N Martin Stringer	do	do	Do.
Sar A. Levitan	Washington, D.C.	July 10, 1967	Do.
Nicholas H. Zumas	do	Aug. 3, 1967	Do.
Albert Epstein	New York, N.Y.	do	Do.
Don Gladden	Ft. Worth, Tex	do	Do.
John R. McCandless	Oklahoma City, Okla	do	Do.
I. Fred Holly	Knoxville, Tenn	do .	Northwest Airlines, Inc., and Air Line Pilots Association, International.
Edgar Allan Jones, Jr.	Los Angeles, Calif	do	Qantas Airways and Air Line Dispatchers Association.
Don Gladden	Ft. Worth, Tex	dodo	Qantas Airways and Air Line Dispatchers Association.  Northwest Airlines, Inc., and International Association of Machinists & Aerospace
			Workers.
John J. McGovern	Washington, D.C	Aug. 4, 1967	Do.
Frank J. Gleeson	Minneapolis, Minn	Aug. 9, 1967	North Central Airlines, Inc., and Air Line Pilots Association, International.
George S. Ives	Washington, D.C.	Aug. 14, 1967	Eastern Air Lines, Inc., and Air Line Dispatchers Association.
Laurence E. Seibel	do	do	Northwest Airlines, Inc., and International Association of Machinists & Aerospace
			Workers.
Herbert J. Mesigh	Oklahoma City, Okla	Aug. 16, 1967	Do.
Albert Epstein	New York, N.Y.	do	Do.
David H. Brown	New York, N.Y	Aug. 17, 1967	Do.
Phillip G. Sheridan	Everett, Wash	Aug. 18, 1967	Braniff International Airways, and Air Line Pilots Association, International.
David H. Brown	Sherman, Tex	do	Do.
Paul C. Dugan	Kansas City, Mo	Aug. 25, 1967	Ozark Airlines, Inc. and Air Line Pilots Association, International.
Wilmont Sweeney	Oakland, Calif	do	Northwest Airlines, Inc., and International Association of Machinists & Aerospace
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		Workers.
Albert Epstein	New York, N.Y	Aug. 28, 1967	Do.
Arthur Stark	do	Sept. 11, 1967	Do.
Arthur Stark	do	Sept. 25, 1967	American Airlines, Inc., and Air Line Dispatchers Association.
David H. Brown	Sherman, Tex	Sept. 28, 1967	Trans World Airlines, Inc., and International Association of Machinists & Aerospace
	•		Workers.
ames C. Vadakin	Coral Gables, Fla	Sept. 29, 1967	British West Indian Airways, Inc., and International Association of Machinists & Aero-
	·		space Workers.
Oonald Marrs	Oklahoma City, Okla	Oct. 9, 1967	Ozark Airlines, Inc. Aircraft Mechanics Fraternal Association.
Benjamin Wolf	Tarrytown, N.Y	Oct. 17, 1967	Pan American World Airways, Inc., and Transport Workers Union of America.
Frank J. Gleeson	Minneapolis, Minn	do	Northwest Airlines, Inc., and International Association of Machinists & Aerospace
	•		Workers.

Paul N. Guthrie	Chapel Hills, N.C	Oct. 18, 1967	Airlift International, and Airline Pilots Association, International.
Milton Friedman	Merrick, N.Y	Oct. 17, 1968	Aeronaves de Mexico and International Association of Machinists & Aerospace Workers.
Francis J. Robertson	Washington, D.C.	Oct. 18, 1967	Trans World Airlines, Inc. and Air Line Employees Association.
David H. Stowe	Bethesda, Md	do	Airlift International and Air Line Pilots Association.
Laurence E. Seibel	Washington, D.C.	do	Airlift International and Air Line Employees Association.
Nicholas H. Zumas	do	Oct. 23, 1967	Airlift International, Inc. and Air Line Pilots Association.
Ross Hutchins	Tulsa, Okla	- Oct. 24, 1967	Braniff International Airways and Air Line Pilots Association.
David H. Stowe	Bethesda, Md	. Oct. 25, 1967	Do.
Nathan Cayton	Washington, D.C.	do	Do, •
John H. Dorsev	do	do	. Do.
L. W. Horning	Sarasota, Fla	Oct. 27, 1967	$\mathbf{Do}$ .
Nicholas H. Zumas	Washington, D.C.	do	$\mathbf{Do}$ .
Laurence E. Seihel	da	da	$\overline{Da}$ .
John J. McGovern Claude'S. Woodie	do	Oct. 30, 1967	Do.
Claude'S, Woodie	Oklahoma City, Okla	Nov. 8, 1967	Braniff International Airways and International Association of Machinists & Aerospace
			Workers
Albert Epstein	New York, N.Y.	Nov 9 1967	New York Airways and International Association of Machinists & Aerospace Workers.
Joseph Shister	Buffalo, N.Y	dn ,,,,,,,	Trans-Texas Airways and International Association of Machinists & Aerospace Workers.
Laurence E. Seibel	Washington, D.C.	Nov 14 1967	Capitol Airways, Inc., and International Brotherhood of Teamsters, Chauffeurs, Ware-
J. Fred Holly	Knoxville, Tenn	Nov 30 1067	Capitol Airways, Inc., and International Association of Machinists & Aerospace Workers.  New York Airways, Inc., and International Association of Machinists & Aerospace
Milton Friedman	Merrick, N.Y	do	New York Airways Inc. and International Association of Machinists & Agrospace Workers.
Kieran P O'Gallagher	Chicago III	Dec. 4 1067	Northwest Airlines, Inc., and International Association of Machinists & Aerospace
moran 1.0 danagnor	Omoago, in	- Dec. <del>1</del> , 1901	Workers. The., and thernational Association of Machinests & Aerospace
Laurence E. Seibel	Weshington D.C.	T)00 E 1000	Do.
David H. Brown	Sharman Toy	Dec. 5,1905	DO.
David II. Diowii	Sueiman, 1ex	- Dec. 5, 1967	British Overseas Airways Corporation, and International Association of Machinists &
John Zarboni	Oblahama City Obla	a.	Aerospace Workers. Northwest Airlines, Inc., and International Association of Machinists & Aerospace
John Zarboni	Okianoma City, Okia		Workers. Airlines, Inc., and International Association of Machinists & Aerospace
Frank I Glosson	Minneapolia Minn	The 15 1005	WORKERS.
Tahn F Samhawar	Chicago III	- Dec. 10, 1967	North Central Airlines, Inc., and Americal Railway Supervisors Association.
John F. Sembowei	Chicago, In	ao	Northwest Airlines, Inc., and International Association of Machinists & Aerospace
LeRoy Powers	Oblahama Gitar Obla		Workers.
N. Martin Stringer	Oklahoma City, Okla	do	
Cor A Torritor	Uklanoma City, Okla	. Dec. 18, 1967	Do:
Sar A. Levitan	wasnington, D.C	do	Do.
John J. McGovern	ao	do	Do.
Robert A. Franden	Tuisa, Okia	do	
John C. Harrington	Oklahoma City, Okla	Dec. 19, 1967	Do.
Nicholas H. Zumas	Washington, D.C.	Dec. 28, 1967	Do. Do. New York Airways, Inc., and International Association of Machinists & Aerospace
Albert W. Epstein	New York, N.Y	do	New York Airways, Inc., and International Association of Machinists & Aerospace
·	· · · ·		Workers.
David H. Stowe	Washington, D.C.	do	National Airlines, Inc., and Air Line Pilots Association, International.
Milton Friedman	Merrick, N.Y	do	WORKERS. National Airlines, Inc., and Air Line Pilots Association, International. Northwest Airlines, Inc., and International Association of Machinists & Aerospace
			WOLKELS.
Frank Gleeson	Minneapolis, Minn	Dec. 29, 1967	Do.
Harold M. Gilden	Chicago, Ill	do	Do.
Mark Kahn 1	Detroit, Mich	Jan. 26, 1968	Trans-Texas Airways, Inc., and Air Line Pilots Association, International.
See footnotes at end of table.		, :	
ace foundies at end of table.			

See footnotes at end of table.

## 5. Referees appointed—System Board of Adjustment (Airline), fiscal year 1968—Continued

Name	Residence	Date of appointment	Parties
Nicholas H. Zumas	Washington, D.C	Jan. 30, 1968	Northwest Airlines, Inc., and International Association of Machinists & Aerospace
Preston J. Moore	Oklahoma City, Okla	đo	Workers.  Braniff International Airways, and International Association of Machinists & Aerospace Workers.
John McGovern	Washington, D.C	do	Workers.  Northwest Airlines, Inc., and International Association of Machinists & Aerospace Workers.
Paul C. Dugan	Kansas City, Mo New York, N.Y	Jan. 31, 1968 Feb. 5, 1968	Ozark Airlines, Inc., and Air Line Pilots Association, International.  Pan American World Airways, Inc., and Transport Workers Union of America, Railroad Division.
Ronald W. Haughton	Detroit, Mich	Feb. 9, 1968	Northern Consolidated Airlines, Inc., and Air Line Dispatchers Association. British Overseas Airways Corporation, and International Association of Machinists & Aerospace Workers.
Albert Epstein Nicholas H. Zumas	Merrick, N.Y	do	Do. – British Overseas Airways Corporation, and Communication Workers of America. National Airlines, Inc., and Air Line Pilots Association, International
James C. Hill	Huntington, N.Y	do	Tag Airlines, Inc., and Air Line Pilots Association, International. Air France, and International Association of Machinists & Aerospace Workers. Eastern Airlines, and nonmanagement request for review procedures. Western Airlines, and International Brotherhood of Teamsters, Chauffeurs, Warehouse-
Robert A. Franden	Tulsa, Okla	do	men & Helpers of America.  Northwest Airlines, Inc., and International Association of Machinists & Aerospace Workers.
Arthur S. Sachs	New Haven, Conn	Mar. 14, 1968	National Airlines, Inc., and Air Line Pilots Association, International Bonanza/West Coast/Pacific (Merger Panel) and Air Line Pilots Association Interna- tional.
		•	Northwest Airlines, Inc., and International Association of Machinists & Aerospace Workers.
Milton Friedman Sar Levitan	Merrick, N.Y	dodo	British Overseas Airways Corporation, and Communication Workers of America. Northwest Airlines, Inc., and International Association of Machinists & Aerospace Workers.
Arthur Stark	New York, N.Y	Mar. 18, 1968	Pan American World Airways, Inc., and Brotherhood of Railway, Airline and Steamship clerks, Freight Handlers, Express & Station Employees.
Dallas A. Blankenship	Dallas, Tex	Mar. 26, 1968	Northwest Airlines, Inc., and Air Line Stewards and Stewardesses Association, International.
Lloyd H. Bailer	Los Angeles, Calif	Mar. 27, 1968	Western Air Lines, IncPacific Northern Airlines (Merger), and Air Line Pilots Association, International.
Frank J. Gleeson	Minneapolis, Minn	do	Northwest Airlines, Inc., and Air Line Stewards an Stewardesses Association, International.
Robert A. Franden Bert L. Luskin	Tulsa, Okla		Do.  Northwest Airlines, Inc., and International Association of Machinists & Aerospace Workers.

Murray M. Ronman	Fort Worth, Tex	do	Alaska Airlines/Cordova Airlines, and Air Line Pilots Association, International. Northwest Airlines, Inc., and Air Line Stewards and Stewardesses Association, International.
			Northwest Airlines, Inc., and International Association of Machinists & Aerospace
			Air France and International Association of Machinists & Aerospace Workers. Northwest Airlines, Inc., and International Association of Machinists & Aerospace Workers.
Peyton M. Williams George S. Ives	Washington, D.C.	do	Do. Do.
John J. McGovern	do	May 3, 1968	Do.
James C: Vadakin	. Coral Gables, Fla	Mar. 27, 1968	Airlift International Inc, and Air Line Employees Association.
Samuel Kagel	•	- '	Clerks, Freight Handlers, Express & Station Employees.
Nicholas H. Zumas	Washington, D.C	Apr. 5, 1968	Northeast Airlines, and Air Line Stewards and Stewardesses Association, International.
	Bethesda, Md		British Overseas Airways Corporation and International Association of Machinist & Aerospace Workers.
Nicholas H. Zumas	Washington, D.C	Apr. 17, 1968	Ozark Airlines, Inc., and Airlift Mechanics Fraternal Association.
	do		Northwest Airlines, Inc., and Air Line Stewards and Stewardesses Association, International.
Laurence E. Selbel	do	do	Do
Albert Epstein	New York, N.Y	do	Air France and International Association of Machinists & Aerospace Workers.
			Pan American World Airways, Inc., and Brotherhood of Railway, Airline and Steamship Clerks, Freight Handlers, Express & Station Employees.
			Northwest Airlines, Inc., and International Association of Machinists & Aerospace Workers.
David S. McLaughlin	New York, N.Y	do	Do. ·
Jerry L. Goodman.	Tulsa, Okla	May 20, 1968	Do
Bert Luskin	Chicago, Ill	May 22, 1968	Do.
John A. Zerboni	Oklahoma City Okla	May 27 1968	Do.
John J. McGovern	wasnington, D.C.	do	Do.
David H. Stowe	do	June 6, 1968	Pan American World Airways, Inc., and Transport Workers Union of America, Airline Division.
Nicholas H. Zumas	Washington, D.C	June 13, 1968	Northwest Airlines, Inc. and International Association of Machinists & Aerospace Workers.
Laurence E. Seibel	do	do	Do.
David H. Brown	Sherman, Tex	June 14, 1968	Do.
John J. McGovern	Washington, D.C.	do	Do.
Preston J. Moore			Workers
Albert Epstein	New York, N.Y.	do	Northwest Airlines, and Air Line Stewards and Stewardesses Association, International.
Laurence E. Seibel	Washington, D.C	June 20, 1968	National Airlines, Inc., and International Association of Machinists & Aerospace Workers.
David H. Brown	Sherman, Tex	do	Do
Nelson Bortz	Bethesda, Md	do	National Airlines, Inc., and Air Line Dispatchers Association.
Jerome Lande	New York, N.Y	June 28, 1968	National Airlines, Inc., and Air Line Dispatchers Association.  Northwest Airlines, Inc., and Air Line Stewards and Stewardesses Association, International.

<sup>1</sup> Replaced William H. Christian.

APPENDIX C

Table 1.—Number of cases received and disposed of, fiscal years 1935-68

Status of cases	34-year period, 1935–68	Fiscal year 1968	Fiscal year 1967	Fiscal year 1966	Fiscal year 1965	Fiscal year 1964	5-year period, 1960–64 (average)	5-year period, 1955–59 (average)	5-year period, 1950–54 (average)	5-year period, 1945–49 (average)	5-year period, 1940-44 (average)
						All types	of cases				
Cases pending and unsettled at beginning of period New cases docketed	96 12, 625	629 315	545 420	336 560	281 359	286 306	248 302	202 413	136 415	172 463	126 381
Total cases on hand and received	12, 721	944	965	, 896	640	592	550	615	551	635	507
Cases disposed ofCases pending and unsettled at end of period	12, 136 571	359 571	336 629	351 545	304 336	311 281	289 261	401 214	403 148	496 139	347 160
				ation cases							
Cases pending and Insettled at beginning of period New cases docketed	3, 999	23 67	16 99	42 84	13 95	13 54	17 62	22 100	34 136	50 176	34 149
Total cases on hand and received	4, 023	90	115	126	108	67	79	. 122	170	226	183
Cases disposed of	4,006 17	73 17	92 23	110 16	66 42	54 13	62 17	102 20	137 33	186 40	139 44
•						Mediatio	on cases			<u>-</u>	
Cases pending and unsettled at beginning of period New cases docketed	72 8, 510	603 245	526 319	290 472	265 261	271 246	228 235	173 304	102 276	122 286	91 230
Total cases on hand and received	8, 582	848	845	762	526	517	463	477	378	408	321
Cases disposed of	8, 018 550	284 550	242 603	236 526	236 290	252 265	221 241	290 187	264 114	309 99	208 115
						Interpreta	tion cases				
Cases pending and unsettled at beginning of period New cases docketed	None 118	3	3 2	4	3	2 6	. 3 .5	6 9	0 3	0 1	1 2
Total cases on hand and received	118	6	5	8	6	8	8	15	3	1	3
Cases disposed of	. 114	2 4	2 3	5 3	2 4	5 3	5 3	8 7	2 1	. 1	2

Table 2.—Disposition of mediation cases by method, class of carrier, issue involved, fiscal year 1968

•		Dis	position	by type o	f carrier				Disposition by major issue involved					
			R	ailroads			Rail-	Air-	New agreement Rates of pay Rules					
	Total, all cases	Class I	Class II	Switch- ing and terminal	railroads	Miscel- laneous carriers	roads, total	lines, - total	Rail- road	Air- line	Rail- road	Air- line	Rail- road	Air- line
Total	284	142	49	10	1	10	212	72	2	3	51	50	159	19
Mediation agreement. Arbitration agreement. Withdrawn after mediation Withdrawn before mediation Refusal to arbitrate by:	180 1 15 15	90 1 3 12	25 0 3 3	10 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	5 0 1 0	130 1 7 15	50 0 2 0	2 0 0 0 0	3 0 0 0	32 1 2 4	33 0 1 0	96 0 5 11	14 0 1 0
Carrier Employees Both Dismissal	$\begin{pmatrix} 12\\13\\54 \end{pmatrix}$	11 0 21	0 0 13	0 0 0	0 0 1	1 0 2	12 0 37		0 0	0 0 0	3 0 7	1 0 14	9 0 30	0 0 3

Table 3.—Representation cases disposition by craft or class, employees involved and participating, fiscal year 1968

	_		Ra	iilroads			1	Airlines	
	Total all cases	Num- ber cases	Num- ber of craft or class	Number employ- ees in- volved	Number of ent- ployees partici- pating	Num- ber cases	Num- ber of craft or class	Number employ- ees in- volved	Number of em- ployees partici- pating
Total.		37	46	8, 840	6, 882	36	39	28, 152	6, 515
DISPOSITION									
Certification based on election	<b>.</b>	27	35	4, 588	4, 197	23	25	5, 819	4, 979
thorization		4	5	2, 717	2, 651	1	1	7	$\epsilon$
gation Withdrawn before investi-		1	1	1	0	3	4	20, 796	C
gation		2 3	2 3	1, 451 83	0 34	0 9	0 9	0 1, 530	0 443
Total all cases	73			36, 992	13, 397				

Table 4.—Number of cases disposed of by major groups of employees, fiscal year 1968

Major groups of amplement		Numb	er of—	
Major groups of employees	All types of cases	Represen- tation cases	Mediation cases	Interpreta- tion cases
Grand total, all groups of employees	359	73	284	2
Railroad total	249	37	212	0
Combined groups, railroad Train, engine and yard service. Mechanical foremen. Maintenance of equipment. Clerical, office, station and storehouse. Yardmasters. Maintenance-of-way and signal. Subordinate officials in maintenance of way. Agents, telegraphers, and towerman. Train dispatchers. Technical engineers, architects, draftsman, etc. Dining-car employees, train and pullman porters. Patrolmen and special officers. Marine servicemen. Miscellaneous railroad.	17 154 6 4 10 4 10 1 6 3 0 6 3 16	4 77 22 0 3 2 1 1 2 0 0 2 2 7 7	13 147 4 2 10 1 1 8 0 4 4 3 0 4 1 1 9 6	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Airline total	110	36	72	2
Combined airline_ Mechanics Radio and teletype operators Clerical, office, stores, fleet and passenger service_ Stewards, stewardesses, and flight pursuers Pilots Dispatchers Meteorologists Filight engineers Miscellaneous airline	9 28 3 14 13 17 9 2 3 12	3 7 1 9 2 3 4 1 1	5 20 2 5 11 14 1 1 2 7	1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

Table 5.—Number of crafts or classes and number of employees involved in representation cases, by major groups of employees, fiscal year 1968

	Number	Number	Employees	s invol <b>v</b>	ed
	of cases	of crafts or classes	Number	Perce	nt
Grand total, all groups of employees	73	85	36, 992		100
Railroad, total	37	46	8,840		24
Train service. Engine service. Yard service.  Mechanical foremen. Maintenance of equipment. Clerical, office, station, and storehouse. Yardmasters. Maintenance of way and signal. Subordinate officials, maintenance of way. Agents, telegraphers, and towermen Dispatchers. Technical engineers, architects, draftsman, etc. Patrolmen and special officers. Marine service. Combined groups, railroad.	3 3 3 2 2 2 0 3 2 1 2 0 0 0 2 7 4 3	3 3 3 2 2 2 0 3 2 1 2 0 0 0 2 7 7 3	251 881 3, 276 80 0 1, 212 37 5 9 0 0 8 251 2, 744 8	(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	2 9 0 3
Airline, total	36	39	28, 152		76
Mechanics Flight navigators Clerical, office, stores, fleet and passenger service Stewards, stewardesses, and pursers Stocks and stores employees Pllots Pllots Flight engineers Combined groups, airline Dispatchers Commissary Radio operators and teletype Miscellaneous airline	7 1 7 2 2 3 1 3 4 1 1	7 1 7 2 2 3 1 6 4 1	3, 092 9 22, 175 316 65 45 1, 360 732 25 102 18 213	(t)	60

<sup>1</sup> Less than 1 percent.

Table 6.—Number of crafts or classes certified and employees involved in representation cases by types of results, fiscal year 1968

	Certifications issued to—								
	Nation	al organiz	ations	Lo	cal unio	ns	•	Number	
•	Craft	Emplo involv		Craft		Employees involved		of employees involved	
	or class	Num- ber	Per- cent	or class	Num- ber	Per- cent	class		
RAILROADS					_				
Representation acquired: Elections Proved authorizations Representation changed:	7 3	62 11	(1) (1)		0	0	7 3	62 11	
Elections Proved authorizations Representation unchanged:	$^{14}_{\ 2}$	2, 472 2, 706	20 21	6 0	130 0	49 0	$^{20}_2$	2,602 2,706	
Elections Proved authorizations	3	1,847 0	15 0	1 0	76 0	29 0	4 0		
Total, railroads	29	7, 098	56	7	206	78	36	7, 304	
AIRLINES Representation acquired: Elections	11 1	286 7	(1) (1)	3 0	58 0	22 0	14 1	244 7	
Representation changed: Elections Proved authorizations Representation unchanged:	9	3, 949 0	31 0	0	0	0 0	8	3, 949 0	
Elections	2	1, 522	12	0	0	0	2	1, 522	
Total, airlines	23	5, 764	44	3	58	22	26	5, 822	
Total, combined railroad and airline	52	12,862	100	10	264	100	62	13, 126	

<sup>1</sup> Less than 1 percent.

Note.—These figures do not include cases that were either dismissed or withdrawn.

-7

Table 7.—Strikes in the railroad and airline industries, July 1, 1967, to June 30, 1968

Case number	Carrier	Union	Craft or class	Number of employees	Date	began	Date	ended	Days duration	Issues	Disposition
A-8032 A-7949. EB-169	West Coast Airlines	ALEABB SMWIA IBEW BRCA IBFO	AgentsShopcrafts	734	July July	,3, 1967 16, 1967	July 16 July 1			Wages and rules Wages	Mediation agreement. Settled by determination of Special Railroad Board under Public Law 90-54.
A-7470 A-8163 A-7544	Interstate RailroadQantas Empire Airways, Ltd. Missouri Pacific RR	BLFE IAM BRT	Enginemen Mechanics Trainmen-yardmen	350			Feb. 2	1, 1968	66	Wages and rulesdodo	Settled by parties.  Mediation agreement.
A-7556 A-7663	Texas & Pacific RR	BRT	do	{8, 500	Feb.	5, 1968	Feb. 9	9, 1968	51	Crew consist	Settled by parties.

<sup>1</sup> Not available—Sporadic work stoppages started on certain major carriers but were terminated on passage of Public Law 90-54.

Table 8.—Number of labor agreements on file with the National Mediation Board according to type of labor organization and class of carrier, fiscal years 1935–68

Fiscal year	All carriers	Class I	Class II	Switching and terminal	Electric	Express and pullman	Miscel- laneous railroad carriers	Air carriers
968	5, 285	3, 145	780	771	164	14	87	324
967	5, 275	3, 143	778	771	164	14	87	318
966	5, 235	3, 134	776	770	164	14	87	290
965	5, 230	3, 132	775	770	164	14	87	288
964	5, 228	3, 132	775	769	164	14	87	287
963	5, 226	3, 132	774	769	164	14	87	286
962	5, 221	3, 131	772	767	164	14	87	286
961	5, 220	3, 131	772	767	164	14	87	285
960	5, 218	3, 131	772	766	164	14	87	284
959	5, 215	3, 130	772	766	164	14	87	282
958	5, 205	3, 126	770	764	164	14	87	280
957	5, 196	3, 117	770	764	164	14	87	280
956	5, 190	3, 117	769	763	164	14	86	277
955		3, 116	763	763	163	14	86	275
950	5,092	3,094	752	749	159	13	84	241
945		2, 913	735	705	150	8	56	98
940		2,708	684	603	108	8	38	44
935	3,021	2,335	347	334		. 5		
National organizations:	* 100	0.00=	***	750	* 400			
1968	5, 160	3, 087	776	753	160	14	86	312
1967	5, 150	3,085	774	753	160	14	86	306
1966		3,077	772	752	160	14	86	278
1965		3,076	771	752	160	14	86	276
1964	5, 133	3,076	771	751	160	14	86	275
1963	5, 131	3,076	770	751	160	14	86	274
1962	5, 127	3,076	768	749	160	14	86	274
1961		3,076	768	749	160	14	86	273
1960		3,076	768	748	160	14	86	272
1959		3,075	768	748	160	14	86	270
1958		3,071	766	746	160	14	86	268
1957		3,062	766	746	160	14	86	- 268
1956	5,096	3,062	765	745	160	14	85	265
1955		3,061	759	745 731	159	14	85	263
1950		3,040	748		155	13	83	229
1945		2, 865 2, 668	732	687 588	146	8	56	91
1940		2, 254	681 347	334	106	- 8 - 6	38	39
1935Other organizations:	2, 310	2, 2172	041	004		- 0		·
1968	97	58	4	18	4		. 1	12
1967	97	58	4	18	4			12
1966	96	57	4	18	4			12
1965		56	4	18	4		· -	12
1964		56	4	18	4			12
1963		56	4	18	4		ī	12
1962		55	â	18	å			12
1961		55	4	18	4			12
1960	94	55	4	18	â			12
1959		55	4	18	4			12
1958		55	4	18	4		-	12
1957		55	Î.	18	4		î	12
1956		55	4	18	4			12
1955		55	4	18	1			12
1950		54	4	18	4		_	15
1945		48	3	18	. 4			17
1940		40	3	15	9		. <b></b>	į
				10				

Table 9.—Cases docketed and disposed of by the National Railroad Adjustment Board, fiscal years 1935-68 inclusive

## ALL DIVISIONS

Cases	34 year period, 1935–67	1968	1967	1966	1965	1964
Open and on hand at beginning of period New cases docketed		5, 346 1, 395	6, 090 1, 689	6, 245 1, 554	<sup>2</sup> 6, 559 1, 571	16, 864 1, 731
Total number of cases on hand and docketed	68, 123	6, 741	7, 778	7, 799	8, 130	8, 595
Cases disposed of	63, 099	1, 717	2, 433	1, 709	1,884	2, 03
Decided without referee	28, 343	150 1,064 503	143 1, 295 995	166 1, 140 403	163 1, 172 1 559	49 1, 346 640
Open cases on hand close of period	5, 024	5, 024	5, 346	6, 090	6, 245	6, 560
HeardNot heard	427 4,597	427 4, 597	586 4, 760	560 5, 530	702 5, <b>54</b> 3	78 5, 77
• FI	RST DIVI	SION				
Open and on hand at beginning of period New cases docketed		3, 509 358	4, 049 446	4, 056 490	4, 062 564	<sup>2</sup> 3, 84 <sup>5</sup>
Total number of cases on hand and docketed	42, 221	3, 867	4, 495	4, 546	4, 626	4, 588
Cases disposed of	38, 922	568	986	497	570	523
Decided without referee	10, 783	110 140 318	135 107 744	158 79 260	141 79 350	37 103 383
Open cases on hand close of period	3, 299	3, 299	3, 509	4, 049	4, 056	4, 062
HeardNot heard		3, 172	3, 359	163 3, 886	172 3,884	185 3, 87
SEC	OND DIV	ISION				
Open and on hand at beginning of period New cases docketed		380 211	337 338	286 238	270 205	<b>3</b> 5-
Total number of cases on hand and docketed	. 5, 768	591	675	• 524	475	55
Cases disposed of	5, 404	287	295	187	189	28
Decided without referee	3,817	36 236 15	$\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 264 \\ 30 \end{array}$	0 156 31	182 5	267 18
Open cases on hand close of period	. 304	304	380	337	286	27
HeardNot heard		51 253	65 315	90 247	141 172	21.
TE	IRD DIV	ISION				
Open and on hand at beginning of period New cases docketed		1, 361 715	1, 666 776	1, 872 719	<sup>2</sup> 2, 196 693	2, 59 71
Total number of cases on hand and docketed	17, 732	2, 076	2, 442	2, 591	2, 889	3, 31
Cases disposed of	16, 408	751	1,081	925	1, 017	1, 11
Decided without referee	_ 12, 232	1 596 154	5 867 209	837 84	19 822 176	89 21
Open cases on hand close of period	1, 324	1, 324	1, 361	1, 666	1,872	2, 19
Heard Not heard		157 1, 167	321 1,040	276 1, 390	399 1, 472	52 1, 67

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 9.—Cases docketed and disposed of by the National Railroad Adjustment Board, fiscal years 1935-68 inclusive—Continued

#### FOURTH DIVISION

Cases	34 year period, 1935-67	1968	1967	1966	1965	1964
Open and on hand at beginning of period New cases docketed	2, 404	97 111	39 129	32 107	31 109	64 80
Total number of cases on hand and docketed.	2, 404	208	108	139	140	144
Cases disposed of	2, 307	111	71	100	108 ·	113
Decided without referee.  Decided with referee.  Withdrawn	310 1,511 486	3 92 16	2 57 12	4 68 28	79 28	7 83 23
Open cases on hand close of period	97	97	97 .	39	32	· 31
HeardNot heard	92 5	92 5	50 47	32 7	17 15	24 7

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm I}$  Adjusted to correct error of 54 First Division cases previously reported as withdrawn.  $^{\rm 2}$  Adjusted to reflect closing 1 case in previous fiscal year.

## ERRATA SHEET

(Substitute this sheet for Pages 79 and 80—34th Annual Report of National Mediation Board for fiscal year ended June 30, 1968.)

Table 9.—Cases docketed and disposed of by the National Railroad Adjustment Board, fiscal years 1935-68 inclusive

#### ALL DIVISIONS

- Cases	34 year period, 1935–68	1968	1967	1966	1965	1964
Open and on hand at beginning of period New cases docketed	68, 123	5, 346 1, 395	6, 090 1, 689	6, 245 1, 554	<sup>2</sup> 6, 559 1, 571	16, 864 1, 731
Total number of cases on hand and docketed	68, 123	6, 741	7,778	7, 799	8, 130	8, 595
Cases disposed of	63, 099	1, 717	2, 433	1,709	1,884	2, 035
Decided without referee	- 12, 561 28, 343 22, 195	150 1,064 503	143 1, 295 995	166 1, 140 403	163 1, 172 1 559	49 1,346 640
Open cases on hand close of period	5, 024	5, 024	5, 346	6, 090	6, 245	6, 560
Heard Not heard	427 4, 597	427 4, 597	586 <b>4,</b> 760	5, 530	702 5, <b>543</b>	784 5, 776
FIF	RST DIV	ISION				
Open and on hand at beginning of period New cases docketed	42, 221	3, 509 358	4, 049 446	4, 056 490	4, 062 564	1 3, 847 738
Total number of cases on hand and docketed	42, 221	3,867	4, 495	4, 546	4, 626	4, 585
Cases disposed of	38, 922	568	986	497	570	523
Decided without referee Decided with referee Withdrawn	10, 634 10, 783 17, 505	110 140 318	, 135 107 744	158 79 260	141 79 350	37 103 383
Open cases on hand close of period	3, 299	3, 299	3, 509	4, 049	4, 056	4, 062
Heard Not heard	3, 172	127 3, 172	3, 359	163 3, 886	172 3,884	185 3,877
SEC	OND DI	VISION	******		1	**
Open and on hand at beginning of period New cases docketed	5, 768	380 211	337 338		270 205	355 198
Total number of cases on hand and docketed	5, 768	591	675	524	475	553
Cases disposed of	5, 464	287	295	187	189	283
Decided without referee	727 3,817 920	36 236 15	1 264 30	0 156 31	182 5	267 15
Open cases on hand close of period	304	304	380	337	286	270
Heard Not heard	51 253	51 253	65 315	90 247	141 172	55 215
TH	IRD DIV	ISION				
Open and on hand at beginning of period New cases docketed	17, 732	1, 361 715	1, 666 776	1, 872 719	2 2, 196 693	2, 598 715
Total number of cases on hand and docketed	17, 732	2, 076	2, 442	<b>2,</b> 591	2, 889	3, 313
Cases disposed of	16, 406	751	1, 081	925	1, 017	1, 116
Decided without referee Decided with referee Withdrawn	900 12, 222 3, 284	1 596 154	5 867 209	837 84	19 822 176	893 219
Open cases on hand close of period	1, 324	1, 324	1, 361	1, 666	1,872	2, 197
HeardNot heard	157 1, 167	157 1, 167	321 1,040	276 1,390	399 1, 472	520 1, 677

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 9.—Cases docketed and disposed of by the National Railroad Adjustment Board, fiscal years 1935-68 inclusive—Continued

#### FOURTH DIVISION

Cases	34 year period, 1935–68	1968	1967	1966	1965	1964
Open and on hand at beginning of period New cases docketed	2, 404	97 111	39 129	32 107	31 109	64 80
Total number of cases on hand and docketed	2, 404	208	168	139	140	144
Cases disposed of	2, 307	111	71	100	108	113
Decided without referee.  Decided with referee.  Withdrawn.	310 1,511 486	3 92 16	2 57 12	4 68 28	79 28	7 83 23
Open cases on hand close of period	97	97	97	39	32	31
HeardNot heard	92 <b>5</b>	92 5	50 47	32 7	17 15	24 7

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Adjusted to correct error of 54 First Division cases previously reported as withdrawn.
<sup>2</sup> Adjusted to reflect closing 1 case in previous fiscal year.

#### Note:

Certain numerical footnotes omitted or incorrectly designated in Table 10 (Pages 81 through 86-Employee representation on selected rail carriers) were intended to indicate the following:

Atlantic Coast Line RR Seaboard Air Line RR Co. 7-1-67.

New York Central RR Merged into Penn Central 2-1-68 Pennsylvania RR

Table 10.—Employee representation on selected rail carriers as of June 30, 1968

			·							
Railroad	Engineers	Firemen and hostlers	Conductor	Brakemen, flagmen, s and baggage- men	Yard- foremen, helpers, and switch- tenders	Yard- masters	Clerical office, station, storehouse	Mainte- nance-of- way em- ployees	Teleg- raphers	Dispatcher
Alman Conton & Voungatourn Br	TO T 17'	DIELE	שת ת	BRT	BRT	BRT	BRAC	DMW	TCEU	A TID A
Akron, Canton & Youngstown Ry Ann Arbor RR	. BLE	BLF&E.	BKT				BRAC		TCEU	
Atchison, Topeka & Sante Fe Ry	. Dirar	DULKE.	- DK1			RYA		BMW	TCEU	
Gulf, Colorado & Sante Fe Ry.	. Dr	DITCE.	OROB	BRT	BRT	RYA	. (#)	(#)	. (#)	(8),
Panhandle & Sante Fe Ry	. DLE	BLEVE	ORCB	854	BRT	RYA	- (第)	第	- 深	- Æ(*
Atlanta & West Point RR	BLE	BLF&E.	BRT	BRT	BRT	X	BRAC		TCEU	- Λ.Τ.Τ.Α Α.Τ.Τ.Α
Atlantic Coast Line RR 1	BLE	BLE&E	ORCE	BRT		RYNA		BMW	TCEU	
Atlantic Coast Line RR <sup>1</sup> Baltimore & Ohio RR	BLE	BLEAE	ORCB		BRT		BRAC	BMW	TCEU	
Bangor & Aroostock RR	BLEGE	BLE&E	BRT	BRT	BRT	X		BMW	TCEU	
Bessemer & Lake Erie RR	BLF&E	BLF&E	BRT	BRT	BRT	X	BRAC	BMW		X.
Boston & Maine RR	BLE	BLF&E	BRT	BRT	BRT	RYA		BMW	TCEU	ATDA.
Central of Georgia Ry			ORCB	BRT	SUNA	RYA		BMW	TČEU	ATDA.
Central RR. of New Jersey	BLE	BLF&E	ORCB	BRT	BRT	RYNA	BRAC	BMW	TCEU	ATDA.
Central Vermont Ry	. BLE	BLF&E_	. BRT	BRT			BRAC	BMW		ATDA.
Chesapeake & Ohio Ry	. BLE	BLF&E.	ORCB	BRT	. BRT	RYNA		BMW	. TCEU	. ATDA.
Chicago & Eastern Illinois RR Chicago & Illinois Midland Ry Chicago & North Western Ry	. BLE	BLF&E.	_ BRT	BRT	. BRT	ARSA		BMW	TCEU	
Chicago & Illinois Midland Ry.	BLF&E	BLF&E_	_ BRT	. BRT	. BRT	X		BMW	TCEU	
Chicago & North Western Ry	. BLE	BLF&E.	. ORCB	. BRT	BRT-	RYA	BRAC	BMW	TCEU	_ ATDA.
					ORCB.				_ ~ ~	
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy RR	. BLE	BLF&E.	ORCB	. BRT	. BRT	RYA			TCEU	
Chicago, Great Western Ry	- BLE	BLF&E.	- ORCB	_ <u>BRT</u>	- BRT	RYA	BRAC	BMW		ATDA.
Chicago, Milwaukee, St. Paul & Pacific RR	. BLE	BLF&E.	- OKCR	. <u>BRT</u>	BRT	RYA	BRAC	BMW	TCEU.	
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific Ry	- RPE	BLE&E-	BRT	BRT	SUNA	RYA	BRAC		. TCEU	
Clinchfield RR Colorado & Southern Ry	- Bre	BPE&E	- ORCE	BRT	- SUNA		BRAC	BMW	TCEU	ATDA.
Colorado & Southern Ry	- Bre	BLF&E.	- OKCR	BRT	BRT BRT	BRT	BRAC	BMW BMW	X	AIDA.
Colorado & Wyoming Ry	BLEWE	BLF&E.	- BRT	DKT	BRT		BRAC		TCEU.	ATDA.
Delaware & Hudson RR  Denver & Rio Grande Western RR	- DIE	DIFAE.	ORCD	DDM	SUNA	RYA		BMW-	TCEU	
Deliver & Nio Grande Western KK	- DLE	. DLFGE.	- OROB	. DKI	- SUNA	.K 1 A	- DRAC	SMWIA		. AIDA.
Detroit & Toledo Shore Line RR	BLF&E	BLF&E	ORCB	BRT .	BRT	RYA .: .	BRAC		TCEU	ATDA.
Detroit, Toledo & Ironton RR				BRT	BRT	X	BRAC		TCEU	ATDA.
Duluth, Missabe & Iron Range Rv	BLF&E	BLF&E.	· ORCB	BRT	BRT	RYA	BRAC	BMW	TCEU.	ATDA.
Duluth, Winnipeg & Pacific Ry	. BLF&E	BLF&E.	BRT	. BRT	. BRT	RYA	BRAC	BMW	TCEU	TCEU.
Elgin, Joliet & Eastern	. BLE	BLF&E_	ORCB	BRT		BRT		BMW	TCEU	. LŬ.
Erle Lackawanna RR	_ BLE	BLF&E.	BRT	. BRT	. BRT	RYA	BRAC	. BMW	. TCEU	ATDA.
Florida East Coast Ry	. BLE			. BRT	BRT	LU	BRAC	. BMW	TCEU	LU.
		BLF&I	S.							

<sup>1</sup> Merged int. Seaboard Coast Line RR. Co., effective July 1, 1967.

Table 10.—Employee representation on scleeted rail carriers as of June 30, 1968—Continued

Railroad	Engineers	Firemen and hostlers	Conductor	Brakemen, flagmen, s and baggage- men	Yard- foremen, helpers, and switch- tenders	Yard- masters	Clerical office, station, storehouse	Mainte- nance-of- way em- ployees	Teleg- raphers	Dispatche
Fort Worth & Denver Ry Georgia & Florida RR Georgia & Florida RR Georgia RR, Lessee org. Grand Trunk Western RR Great Northern Ry. Green Bay & Western RR Gulf, Mobile & Ohio RR Illinois Central RR Illinois Terminal RR Kansas City Southern Ry. Kansas, Oklahoma & Gulf Ry Lake Superior & Ishpeming RR Lehigh & Hudson River Ry. Lehigh & New England RR Lehigh & New England RR Louisiana & Arkansas Ry  Louisville & Nashville RR Maine Central RR Midland Valley RR Mississippi Central RR Mississippi Central RR Missouri-Kansas-Texas RR	BLE BLE BLE BLE BLE BLE BLE BLE BLE BLF&E BLF	BLF&E BLF&E BLF&E BLF&E BLF&E BLF&E BLF&E BLF&E BLF&E BLF&E BLF&E BLF&E BLF&E BLF&E BLF&E	BRT ORCB ORCB ORCB BRT ORCB BRT ORCB BRT ORCB BRT ORCB BRT ORCB BRT ORCB ORCB ORCB	BRT BRT ORCB ORCB BRT BRT BRT BRT BRT BRT BRT BRT BRT BR	BRT BRT SUNA SUNA BRT	X X RYA RYA SA BRC RYA (*) X (*) X (*) RYA RYA RYA RYA RYA BRT BRT	BRAC BRAC BRAC BRAC BRAC BRAC BRAC BRAC	BMW	TCEU TCEU TCEU TCEU TCEU TCEU TCEU TCEU	ATDA. ATDA. ATDA. ATDA. (*). ATDA.
Missouri-Kansas-Texas RR. of Texas. Missouri-Kansas-Texas RR. of Texas. Missouri Pacific RR. Monon RR. Monotour RR. Newada Northern Ry. New York Central RR. Ohio Central Lines. Cleveland, Cincinnati, Chicago & St. Louis Ry. Michigan Central RR. Boston & Albany RR.	BLE	BLF&E BLF&E BLF&E BLF&E BLF&E BLF&E BLF&E	ORCB ORCB ORCB BRT ORCB ORCB ORCB	BRT BRT BRT BRT BRT BRT BRT BRT BRT	(#)	(#) RYA RYA RYNA X (*) RYNA RYNA RYNA	(#). BRAC BRAC BRAC BRAC (#) BRAC	BMWBMWBMWBMWBMWBMWBMW(#)BMWBMWBMW	(#)	(#). ATDA. ATDA. (*). ATDA. (#). ATDA. (#).

New York, Chicago & St. Louis RR ! New York, New Haven & Hartford RR. New York, Susquehanna & Western RR.	BLE BLF&E BLF&E	BRT BRT	BRT	BRT		BMW	TCEU TCEU	ATDA.
Norfolk & Western Ry	BLE BLF&E	ORCB BRT		X		BMW	TCEU	TCEU.
Norfolk Southern Ry	BLE BLF&E	ORCB BRT		RYA	BRAC			
Northern Pacific Ry	BLE BLF&E	ORCB BRT			BRAC		TCEU	
Northern Pacific RR.	BLE BLF&E	ORCB BRT	ORCB-	(*)	BRAC	BMW	TCEU	ATDA.
			BRT.					
Pennsylvania RR	BLE BLF&E	BRTBRT	BRT		BRAC		TCEU	
Pennsylvania Reading Seashore Lines	BLE BLF&E	BRT BRT	BRT	BRT	BRAC		TCEU	
Pittsburgh & Lake Erie RR	BLE BLF&E	ORCB BRT	BRT	RYA		BMW		
Pittsburgh & Shawmut RR	BLF&E BLF&E	BRT BRT	(*)	(*)	X	BMW		ATDA.
Pittsburgh & West Virginia Ry 1	BLEBLF&E	BRT BRT		RYA	BRAC		TCEU	
Reading CoRichmond, Fredericksburg & Potomac RR	BLE BLF&E.	ORCB BRT	BRT	BRT	BRC	BMW		
Richmond, Fredericksburg & Potomac RR	BLE BLE	ORCB ORCB	BRT	RYNA	BRC	BMW		
St. Louis-San Francisco Ry		ORCB BRT	BRT	RYA	BRC	BMW	TCEU	
St. Louis Southwestern Ry		BRT BRT	BRT	BRT	BRC	BMW		ATDA.
San Diego & Arizona Eastern Ry	BLE BLE	ORCB ORCB	$\mathbf{BRT}$	(*)	BRC	BMW	TCEU	(*).
Seaboard Air Line RR 2		ORCB BRT	BRT	RYNA	BRC	BMW	TCEU	ATDA.
Soo Line RR, Co		ORCB BRT	BRT	RYA	BRC	BMW	TCEU	ATDA.
Southern Pacific Co. (Pacific Lines)		ORCB BRT	SUNA	RYNA	BRC	BMW	TCEU	
Southern Pacific Co. (Texas and Louisiana Lines)	BLE BLF&E.	ORCB BRT	SUNA	RYNA	BRC	BMW	TCEU	ATDA.
Southern Ry	BLE BLF&E.	ORCB BRT		RYA	BRC	BMW	TCEU	
Georgia, Southern Florida Ry		ORCB BRT	$BRT_{-}$	RYA	BRC	BMW	TCEU	ATDA.
Cincinnati, New Orleans & Texas Pacific Ry	BLE BLF&E.	ORCB BRT	SUNA	RYA	(#)	(#)	TCEU	(#).
New Orleans & Northeastern RR	BLE BLF&E.	ORCB BRT	SUNA	RYA	(#)	(#)	(#)	(#).
Alabama Great Southern Ry	BLE BLF&E.	ORCB BRT	BRT	RYA	(#)	(#)	(#)	<u>(#)</u> .
Spokane International RR		ORCB ORCB	$\underline{SUNA}$	RYA	BRC	BMW	TCEU	LU.
Spokane, Portland & Seattle Ry.		ORCB ORCB	BRT	RYA	BRC	BMW		
Staten Island Rapid Transit Ry	BLE BLF&E.	ORCB BRT	BRT	RYA	BRC	BMW	TCEU	
Tennessee Central Ry		ORCB ORCB	BRT	BRT	BRC	BMW	TCEU	
Texas & Pacific Ry		ORCB BRT	BRT	RYA	BRC	BMW	TCEU	ATDA.
Texas Mexican Ry	BLE BLF&E.	BRT BRT	BRT	(*)	BRC	BMW	TCEU	(*).
Toledo, Peoria & Western RR	BLF&E. BLF&E.	BRTBRT	BRT	<u>(*)</u>	BRC	BMW	TCE U	(*).
Union Pacific RR		ORCB BRT	BRT	RYA	BRC	BMW	(*)	ATDA.
Utah Ry		ORCB. ORCB	BRT	(*)	X	BMW	TCEU	ATDA.
Wabash RR 1		ORCB BRT	BRT	RYA	BRC	BMW	TCEU	ATDA.
Western Maryland Ry		BRT BRT	BRT	RYA	BRC	BMW	TCEU	
Western Pacific RR	BLE BLF&E.	ORCB BRT	SUNA	RYA	BRC	BMW	TCEU	ATDA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Merged into Norfolk and Western Ry. Co., effective Oct. 16, 1964. Merged into Seaboard Coast Line RR. Co., effective July 1, 1967. Merged into Penn Central effective Feb. 1, 1968.

Railroad	Machinists	Boiler- makers, black- smiths	Sheet metal workers	Electrical workers	Carmen, coach cleaners	Power house employees, shop laborers	Signalmen	Mechanical foremen, supervisors	Dining-car stewards	Dining-car cooks and waiters
Akron, Canton & Youngstown Ry	IAMAW LAMAW	BB	SMWIA SMWIA (#) (#) SMWIA SMWIA SMWIA SMWIA SMWIA SMWIA SMWIA SMWIA SMWIA SMWIA SMWIA SMWIA	IBEW	BRCA BRCA (#) BRCA	IBFO	BRS BRS (#) BRS BRS BRS BRS BRS BRS BRS BRS BRS BRS BRS BRS		(*)(*)(*)(*)	(*). (*). (*). (*). (*). (*). HRE. UTSE. UTSE. (*). UTSE. UTSE. (*). (*). HRE. (*).
Chicago & North Western Ry. Chicago, Burlington & Quincy RR Chicago, Great Western Ry. Chicago, Milwaukee, St Paul & Pacific RR Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific Ry. Clinchfield RR Colorado & Southern Ry. Colorado & Wyoming Ry. Delaware & Hudson RR Denver & Rio Grande Western RR Detroit & Toledo Shore Line RR Detroit & Toledo & Ironton RR Duluth, Missabe & Iron Range Ry. Duluth, Winnepeg & Pacific Ry. Elgin, Joliet & Eastern Ry. Erie-Lackawanna RR Florida East Coast Ry. Fort Worth & Denver Ry. Georgia & Florida RR	IAMAW	BB	SMWIA.	IBEW IBEW IBEW IBEW IBEW IBEW IBEW IBEW	BRCA BRCA	IBFO	BRS	ARSA ARSA ARSA ARSA ARSA ARSA ARSA ARSA ARSA	ORCB BRT (*) BRT BRT (*) BRT BRT (*) BRT (*) (*) (*) (*) (*) (*) BRT (*) (*) (*) BRT (*) (*) BRT (*) (*) BRT (*) (*) BRT (*) (*) (*) BRT	HRE. BSCP. (*). HRE. HRE. BSCP. (*). (*). (*). (*). (*). (*). (*). (*)

Great Northern Ry	IAMAW BB	SMWIA IBEW	BRCA IBFO	_ BRS	_ (#)	BRT	HRE- ORCB.
Green Bay & Western RR			BRCA BMW	BRS		- (*)	. (*).
Gulf Mobile & Ohio RR	IAMAW BB		BRCA IBFO				HRE.
Illinois Central RR	- IAMAW BB		BRCA IBFO	BRS			- /*\
Illinois Terminal RR Kansas City Southern Ry	- 1AMAW BB	SMWIA IBEW	BRCA IBFO	- IBEW	- ARSA	- 💬	- <del>(*)</del> .
Vancas Oklahama & Gulf Dy	- IAMAW BB	SMWIA IBEW	BRCA IBFO	BRS	- ARSA	- A	. H.K.E.
Kansas Oklahoma & Gulf Ry Lake Superior & Ishperning	- da	() <del>-</del>	DRUA IDFU	- <del>(</del> )		- 🕍	- 🔀•
Lehigh & Hudson River Ry	TAMAW BB	X X	BRCA IBFO	BDG		- (*)	- /*/.
Lehigh & New England RR	TAMAW BB	SMWIA TREW	BRCA X	X		- }*{	- }*{:
Lehigh Valley RR	TAMAW BB	SMWIA TREW	BRCA IBFO				HRE.
Long Island Railroad	IAMAWBB	SMWIA BEW	BRCA IFBO			. (*)	(*).
Louisiana & Arkansas Ry	_ IAMAW BB	SMWIA IBEW	BRCA IBFO				
Louisville & Nashville RR	IAMAW BB/	SMWIA IBEW	BRCA IBFO	BRS		- BRT	. ĤRE.
	URRWA						
Maine Central RR	- IAMAW BB	SMWIA IBEW	BRCA IBFO				
Midland Valley RR	_ IAMAW BB	SMWIA IBEW	BRCA IBFO	_ IBEW		- (*)	- (*).
Mississippi Central RR	- <u>1AMAW BB</u>	SMWIA IBEW	BRCA IBFO	(#)		- (*)	- ( <u>*)</u> .
Missouri-Kansas-Texas RR	- IAMAW BB	SMWIA IBEW	BRCA IBFO	BRS	_ ARSA	- BRT	_ HRE.
Missouri-Kansas-Texas RR. of Texas	- (#) (#)	(#) (#)	·- (#) (#)	- (#)		- (#)	- (#). TTD 17
Missouri Pacific RR Monon RR	- IAMAW BB	SMWIA IBEW	BRCA IBFO	BRS	- ARSA	- BRT	HKE.
Monongahela Ry		- OMWIA TOEW	BRCA IBFO	- DNO	- ARSA	- DR.1	_ H IV 15.
Montour RR			BRCA IBEO	Y		- >*<	· }*{·
Nevada Northern Rv	X SA	SA X				- (*)	
New York Central RR.3	IAMAW BB	SMWIA. IBEW	BRCA IBFO	RBS	ARSA	ÀRSA	HRE.
Ohio Central Lines	. (#) (#)	., (#) (#)	(#) (#)	_ BRS	_ ARSA	- ARSA	_ (#).
Cleveland, Cincinnati, Chicago & St. Louis Ry	. IAMAW BB	ŚMWIA ÍBEW	_ BRCA ÎBFO	_ BRS	_ ARSA	- ARSA	- (#) <b>.</b>
Michigan Central RR	- (#) (#)	(#) (#)	(#) IBFO	BRS	_ ARSA	- ARSA	. (#) <b>.</b>
Boston & Albany RR.	_ (#) (#)	(#) (#)	(#) IBFO	_ BRS	_ ARSA	- ARSA	_ (#)
New York, Chicago & St. Louis RR.1	- <u>IAMAW</u> BB	SMWIA IBEW	BRCA IBFO	BRS	_ ARSA	- (*) <sub></sub>	HRE.
New York, New Haven & Hartford	- IAMAW BB	SMWIA IBEW	BRCA IBFO	BRS		- BRT	. HRE.
Boston & Albany RR  New York, Chicago & St. Louis RR.  New York, New Haven & Hartford  New York, Susquehanna & Western RR  Norfolk & Western Ry  Norfolk Southern Ry	- IAMAW BB	SMWIA IBEW	- BRCA IBFO	BRS	_ ARSA	- (*)	- (*).
Norfolk Southorn Dr.	- IAMAW BB	SMWIA IBEW	BRCA IBFO	BKS		- BRT	HRE.
Norfolk Southern Ry Northern Pacific Ry							
restricted activity	- IAMAW DD	BMWIA IDEW	BRUA IBFU	DRS	(#)	- DK1	HRE.
Northwestern Pacific RR	TAMAW RR	SMWIA TREW	BRCA IBFO	(#)	T.TI	(*)	
Pennsylvania RR 3	TAMAW TIRRWA	/ SMWIA TIRRWA	URRWA URRWA	BBS	SA	RRT	RRFWU.
	BB.	•			- ~		
Pennsylvania Reading Seashore Ln.	_ IAMAW (*)	SMWIA IBEW	BRCA IBFO	BRS		. (*)	_ (*).
Pittsburgh & Lake Erie RR	_ IAMAW _ BB	_ SMWIA IBEW	URRWA IBFO	UMW	_ ARSA	_ (*)	_ (*j.
Pittsburgh & Shawmut RR Pittsburgh & West Virginia Ry 1	_ URRWA_ URRWA	. (*) URRWA	_ URRWA_ URRWA	. (*)		- (*) - (*)	_ (*).
Pittsburgh & West Virginia Ry 1	_ IAMAW BB	ŚMWIA IBEW	BRCA IBFO	BRS		- (*)	_ (*)
136 11 - 37 - 631 - 377							

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Merged into Norfolk and Western Ry. Co., effective Oct. 16, 1964. <sup>3</sup> Merged into Penn Central effective Feb. 1 1968.

Table 10.—Employee representation on selected rail carriers as of June 30, 1968—Continued

. Railroad	Machinists	Boiler- makers, black- smiths	Sheet metal workers	Electrical workers	Carmen, coach cleaners	Power house employees, shop laborers	Signalmen	Mechanical foremen, supervisors	Dining-car stewards	Dining-car cooks and waiters
Reading Co	IAMAW	BB BB/ IBEW.	SMWIA	IBEW	BRCA	IBFO	BRS	RED	BRT	HRE. (*).
St. Louis-San Francisco Ry	IAMAW		SMWIA	IBEW	BRCA	IBFO	BRS	. (*)	BRT	HRE.
St. Louis Southwestern Ry. San Diego & Arizona Eastern Ry. Seaboard Air Line R R 2. Soo Line RR. Co. Southern Pacific Co. (Pacific Lines). Southern Pacific Co. (Texas and Louisiana Lines). Southern Ry. Georgia, Southern & Florida. Cincinnati, New Orleans & Texas Pacific Ry. New Orleans & Northeastern RR. Alabama Great Southern Ry. Spokane International RR. Spokane International RR. Spokane Portland & Seattle Ry. Staten Island Rapid Transit Ry. Texas & Pacific Ry. Texas & Pacific Ry. Texas Maxican Ry. Toledo, Peoria & Western RR. Union Pacific RR. Utah Ry.	IAMAW	BB BB BB BB BB BB (#)(#)(#) (#B) BB BB BB BB BB BB BB BB BB	SMWIA SMWIA SMWIA SMWIA SMWIA SMWIA (#) (#) (*) SWWIA SMWIA SMWIA SMWIA SMWIA SMWIA SMWIA SMWIA SMWIA	B E W	BRCA BRCA BRCA BRCA (#) (#) (#) (#) BRCA BRCA BRCA BRCA BRCA BRCA BRCA	X. IBFO IBFO IBFO IBFO (#) (#) IBFO	(*). BRS. BRS. BRS. BRS. (#). (#). (#). (*). BRS. BRS. (#) (*). BRS. BRS. (*). BRS. BRS.	ARSA ARSA ARSA ARSA	X	(#). E. HHRE. HHRE. HHRE. (*). (*). (*). (*). (*). (*). (*). (*).
Wabash RR <sup>1</sup> Western Maryland Ry Western Pacific RR	IAMAW	BB	SMWIA		BRCA	IBFO	BRS BRS	ARSA	BRT (*) BRT	HRE. (). HRE.

#Included in System Agreement.
\*Carriers report no employees in this craft or class.
X Employees in this craft or class but not covered by agreement.

Merged into Norfolk and Western Ry. Co., effective Oct. 16, 1964.
 Merged into Seaboard Coast Line RR. Co., effective July 1, 1967.
 Merged into Penn Central effective Feb. 1, 1968.

Table 10.—Employee representation on selected air carriers as of June 30, 1968—Continued

Airline	Pilots	Flight engineers	Flight navigators	Flight dispatchers	Steward- esses and pursers	Radio and teletype operators	Mechanics	Clerical, office, stores, fleet and passenger service	Stock and stores
Allegheny Airlines, Inc.	ALPA			LU	ALPA		IAMAW		IAMAW.
American Airlines Inc	A P A	. FEIA		ALDA	TWU	TWU	. TWU	TWU 1	TWU.
Honganga Airlines*	ALIPA			ALDA	ALPA	<b></b> -	. IBT	OPEIU	IBT.
Propiff Airmore Inc	A 1.12 A			ALDA	ATPA	. CWA	IAMAW		( <sup>2</sup> ).
					ALPA		IAMAW	ALEA	IAMAW.
Continental Airlines Tre	A T.TO A	/31		A L/13A	ALPA		IAMAW	IAMAW1_	IAMAW.
Delta Air Lines Inc	ALPA			. ALDA			IAMAW		
Eastern Air Lines Inc	AL/PA	. A L/PA	<b></b>	. ALDA	TWU	. CWA	IAMAW	IAMAW 1	IAMAW.
Flying Tiger Lines, Inc.	. ALPA	. FEIA	. TW U						
Frontier Airlines	- ALPA			ALDA	ALPA		IAMAW	ALEA	IAMAW.
Loc Angolos Airmove	ΔΙ.ΌΔ			ALDA	ALPA				T . 3 377
Mohawk Airlines, Inc.	. ALPA			. ALDA	ALPA		IAMAW		IAMAW.
National Airlines Inc	ALPA	. FE1A		. ALDA	ALPA	. CWA	. IAWIA W	ALEA	IAMAW.
North Central Airlines, Inc.	- ALPA			. ĄĻDĄ	ALPA		. IAMA W	ALEA	
Northeast Airlines, Inc.	ALPA	. <u>i</u> AM		ALDA		TWU	IAMAW		
Northwest Airlines, Inc	- YPEA	IAM	_ TW U	- ALDA		IBT	A MITA	IAMAW.	IAMAW.
Ozark Air Lines	ALPA					. IDI	AMEA	ALEA	
Pacific Air Lines, Inc.* Pan American World Airways, Inc.	ALPA	. ALPA		. ALDA	MULT		TWIT	BBC	IDT
Pan American World Airways, Inc Piedmont Aviation, Inc	- ALPA	. FEIA		ALDA	ATDA		. 1 ** 0	DRC	. 101.
Southern Airways, Inc	ALPA			ALDA	APPLIA		A T.E.A		•
Trans-Texas Airways	ALPA			ALDA	TWII			ALEA	TAMAW
Trans World Airlines, Inc.	ATDA	A T .D A	TWIT	TWII	TWII	ALEA	TAMAW	IAMAW 1	TAMAW.
United Air Lines, Inc.	AUFA	(A)	- TWIT	ALDA	ALPA	CWA	IAMAW.	TAMAW 1	TAMAW.
Western Airlines, Inc.	ATPA	· /s/	,, 0	ALDA	ALPA		IBT	BRC	IBT.
West Coast Airlines*	ALPA	- (-)		ALDA.	ALPA		IAMAW.		IAMAW.1
West Coast Allines	- 11D1 A								

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Representing only a portion of the craft or class.
<sup>2</sup> Included in C.O.S.F. & P.S.

<sup>3</sup> There is an agreement on file with the Board providing that Continental Airlines recognizes ALPA as the exclusive bargaining agent for all flight deck operating crew members.

In case R-3463 it was found that all flight deck crew members on United Air Lines, Inc., in job classifications of pilot or captain, reserve pilot, copilot and second

officer or flight engineer constitute one craft or class. Following an election ALPA was certified for this craft or class.

5 There is an agreement on file with the Board providing that the Second Officers Association has relinquished representation in favor of ALPA.

5 Employees represented by Monty Ward, an individual.

\*Merged into Air West, Inc., effective Apr. 9, 1968.

# Table 10.—Employee representation on selected rail carriers as of June 30, 1968—Continued

Railroad	Licensed deck employ- ees	Licensed engine- room employ- ees	Un- licensed deck employ- ees	Un- licensed engine- room employ- ees	Captains, lighters, grain boats	Hoist- ing engi- neers	Float- watch- men, bridge- men, bridge operators	Cooks, chefs, waiters
Ann ArborAtchison, Topeka & Sante Fe.	NMEBA MMP	NMEB NMEB	SIUA IUP	SIUA IUP		SIUA		SIUA
Baltimore & Ohio Central R.R. of New Jersey.	MMP MMP	TWU NMEB	SIUA TWU	TWU TWU	ILA ILA	IOE IOE	MMP TWU	
Chesapeake & Ohio (P.M. Division). Chicago, Milwaukee, St. Paul & Pacific.	MMP MMP MMP	NMEB GLLO NMEB	SIUA NMU IUP	UMW NMU IUP		IUP		NMU IUP
Erie-Lackawanna R.R. Co.	MMP	NMEB	SIUA	IBT	TWU- ILA	TWU	UMW	
Grand-Trunk Western Lehigh Valley Long Island Missouri-Illinois	GLLO TWU RMU MMP	NMEBA NMEB NMEB NMEB	NMU TWU RMU MMP	NMU TWU RMU NMEB	ILA	IOE	TWU TWU	NMU
New York Central New York, New Haven & Hartford.	MMP MMP	NMU NMEB	SIUA SIUA	TWU TWU	ILA ILA		SIUA NMEB	
Norfolk Southern Pennsylvania Reading Southern Pacific	MMP MMP MMP MMP	NMEB NMU NMEB NMEB	SIUA NMU IUP	TWU NMU IUP	NMU	IOE		HRE NMU IUP
(Pac. Lines). Southern Staten Island Rapid Trans.	MMP MMP	NMEB	MMP MMP	TWU				
Wabash Western Maryland	GLLO	GLLO	UMW	UMW			SIUA	
Western Pacific	MMP	NMEB	IUP	IUP				-

### MARINE

BRAC	Brotherhood of Railway, Airline & Steamship Clerks, Freight Handlers, Express & Station Employees
GLLO	Great Lakes Licensed Officers Organization
HRE	Hotel & Restaurant Employees & Bartenders International Union
IBL	International Brotherhood of Longshoremen
ILA	International Longshoremen's Association
IOE	International Union of Operating Engineers
IUP	Inlandboatmen's Union of the Pacific
MMP	International Organization of Masters, Mates and Pilots
NMEBA	National Marine Engineers Beneficial Association
NMU	National Maritime Union of America
RMU	Railroad Marine Union
SIUA	Seafarers International Union of North America
TWU	Transport Workers Union of America, Railroad Division
UMW	United Mine Workers of America, District 50

#### RAIL ROADS

American Railway Supervisors Association American Train Dispatchers Association International Brotherhood of Boilermakers, Iron Ship Builders, Blacksmiths, Forgers and ARSA ATDA Helpers Brotherhood of Locomotive Engineers
Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen and Enginemen
Brotherhood of Maintenance of Way Employees
Brotherhood of Railway, Airline & Steamship Clerks, Freight Handlers, Express & Station BLE BLF&E BMW BRAC Employees
Brotherhood of Railway Carmen of America
Brotherhood of Railroad Signalmen
Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen
Brotherhood of Sleeping-Car Porters
Railroad Food Workers Union—TWU-AFL-CIO
Hotel & Restaurant Employees & Bartenders International Union
International Association of Machinists and Aerospace Workers, AFL-CIO
International Association of Railway Employees
International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers
International Brotherhood of Firemen and Oilers Employees BRCA BRS BRT BSCP RRFWU HRE IAMAW IARE IBEW IBFO LU ORCB RED RYA International Brotherhood of Firemen and Oilers International protections of Protection of Conductors and Brakemen Railway Employees' Department, AFL-CIO Railroad Yardmasters of America Railroad Yardmasters of North America RŶÑA Railroad Yardmasters of North America
System Association, Committee or Individual
Sheet Metal Workers International Association
Switchmen's Union of North America
Transportation-Communication Employees Union
Transport Workers Union of America, Railroad Division
United Mine Workers of America, District 50
United Transport Service Employees
United Steel Workers of America SA SMWIA SUNA URRWA UMW UTSE USWA

#### AIRLINES

ALEA	Air Line Employees Association
ALDA	Air Line Dispatchers Association
ALPA	Air Line Pilots Association International
ALSSA	Air Line Stewards & Stewardesses Association. Int'l.
AMFA	Aircraft Mechanics Fraternal Association
APA	Allied Pilots Association
BRAC	Brotherhood of Railway Airline & Steamship Clerks, Freight Handlers, Express & Station
	Employees
CWA	Communication Workers of America
FEIA	Flight Engineers International Association
IAMAW	International Association of Machinists & Aerospace Workers, AFL-CIO
IBT	International Brotherhood of Teamsters, Chauffeurs, Warehousemen & Helpers of America
OPEIU	Office & Professional Employees International Union, AFL-CIO
TWU	Transport Workers Union of America, Airline Division
	Transport it drawed of the drawing granted polytopia